



A Comprehensive Review on the Formation of Neutrophil Extracellular Traps in Animals

Sheza Farooq*

Division of Animal Biotechnology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

***Corresponding Author:** Sheza Farooq, Division of Animal Biotechnology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

Received: November 25, 2025

Published: December 31, 2025

© All rights are reserved by **Sheza Farooq**.

Abstract

The formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) is a complex and fascinating phenomena that is crucial for understanding the way the innate immune system reacts to infections. Activated neutrophils release chromatin fibres and antimicrobial compounds during this process, forming a web-like structure that traps and immobilizes invasive microbes. NETs are a double-edged sword in host defense because their ability to entrap pathogens is balanced by the risk of tissue injury and autoimmune reactions. This article gives an overview of the molecular mechanisms behind NET formation, including the crucial role of reactive oxygen species (ROS), specific signalling pathways with emphasis on NET formation in various pathological conditions of animals.

Keywords: NETs; ROS; NETosis

Introduction

The most abundant leukocyte in blood, neutrophils, are crucial components of the host's innate immune system. After identifying pathogens, neutrophils release granules and create neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which they use to entrap and destroy invading microorganisms [1] and this process is known as NETosis. It is an active type of cell death that happens in response to mostly pathogen-induced activation of neutrophils and resulting in the release of decondensed chromatin into the extracellular environment. According to Kaplan, *et al.* [2], cytokines, microcrystals, immune complexes, various illnesses, antibodies, and other physiological stresses can all contribute to NETosis. The induction of NETosis requires reactive oxygen species (ROS), the main source of which is NADPH oxidase. NADPH oxidase activation necessitates both the production of ROS in the mitochondria and

an increase in the amount of Ca^{2+} in the cytoplasm. According to Vorobjeva, *et al.* [3], NETosis involves the release of granule components into the cytosol, histone modification, and chromatin decondensation.

The early/rapid ROS-independent method and the conventional ROS-dependent NET-formation pathway are the two main NET release techniques that have been described [4,5]. Myeloperoxidase is necessary for neutrophil elastase to be released from the membrane-associated complex known as the azurosome and activated as a result of an oxidative burst [6]. From the cytoplasm to the nucleus, neutrophil elastase translocates [6]. Furthermore, neutrophil elastase degrades histones and helps chromatin decondense. Peptidyl arginine deiminase 4 (PAD4), which is found in NETs, is the main enzyme responsible for the primary post-translational modification known as histone deimination [7].

This review focuses on the ROS-dependent and independent pathways of NETosis, their antimicrobial activity, role in autoimmune diseases with a focus on the formation of NETs in different pathological conditions of animals.

ROS-dependent NETosis

The substance most commonly used to induce NETosis is the phorbol ester, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), a synthetic activator of the 19 enzymes in the protein kinase C (PKC) family. PKC is directly responsible for both the production of ROS and the activation of NADPH oxidase. Patients with chronic granulomatous illness do not have neutrophils that can produce NETs when PMA is present [8,9].

Since NADPH oxidase inhibitors such as diphenylene iodonium (DPI) and ROS-scavengers block NETosis caused by PMA and *S. aureus*, ROS production is necessary [8,10]. Exogenous hydrogen peroxide, however, has the potential for producing NETs since it is membrane permeable and stimulates MPO downstream of NADPH oxidase. Although superoxide by itself is not necessary for NET release, it is crucial for its conversion to hydrogen peroxide and perchloric acid.

ROS-independent NETosis

Occasionally, ROS-independent processes can also cause NETosis. In adult cells with inactivated NADPH oxidase, ROS synthesis is able to make up for the neonatal neutrophils' deficiency in NET formation, but this is not the case in neonatal neutrophils [11]. This indicates that neonatal neutrophils lack the downstream signalling capacity that ROS provides. NADPH oxidase-independent NETosis can be induced by a variety of stimuli, including calcium ionophores [12]. Additionally, a unique and extraordinarily rapid technique of NETosis in response to *S. aureus* demonstrated that the first 5 - 60 minutes of NETosis are ROS-independent [4]. The NETosis pathway that is not based on NOX, however, has its own set of mechanisms that are not well understood.

Anti-microbial activity of NETs

Through ROS-dependent and ROS-independent methods, neutrophils can get rid of invasive infections [13]. Granule proteins and anti-microbial enzymes are released by neutrophils, and these substances work with chromatin to kill bacteria and eliminate their virulence factors [1]. Exogenous or secreted microbial deoxyribonuclease (DNases) protect

bacteria against NET death, and extracellular DNA has rapid membrane-damaging antibacterial activity [14]. Investigations into several NET components revealed that they are necessary for the microbial eradication. Histones are the most common proteins that are NET-bound and have antibacterial action that acts directly on the membrane [15,16]. Chromatin associated histones play a significant role in the destruction of various pathogens such as *Shigella* and *Staphylococcus*. While MPO found in NETs provide the bactericidal action required to kill these pathogens in the presence of hydrogen peroxide, purified NET chromatin alone is not very effective at killing *S. aureus* [17]. The removal of *Neisseria* by NETs requires the granular serine protease cathepsin G [14]. LL-37, lactoferrin, neutrophil elastase, and proteinase-3 (PR3) are other NET components associated with antibacterial activities [2]. Defensins, LL 37, MPO, and cationic proteases such neutrophil elastase, cathepsin G, and proteinase-3 are examples of positively charged peptides and proteins that can damage microbial membranes to kill the pathogens or prevent microbial development [18].

NETosis associated-autoimmune diseases

Recent studies have connected autoimmune responses to the emergence of NET. For instance, neutrophils of patients with rheumatoid arthritis demonstrated increased spontaneous NET production when compared to healthy individuals [19]. According to Garcia-Romo, *et al.* [20] and Kessenbrock, *et al.* [21] the majority of autoantibodies found in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and vasculitis are directed against NETs. The molecular and clinical similarities between rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, or between systemic lupus erythematosus and vasculitis suggest that NETosis may be a significant trigger for the onset of chronic inflammatory diseases [22].

The only rheumatoid arthritis autoantibodies that have been discovered so far are those that are anti-citrullinated protein antibodies, which are regarded as separate disease markers with sufficient specificity and sensitivity to be utilised in rheumatoid arthritis diagnostic tests [23]. Peptidyl arginine deiminase IV changes the histones found in NETs from arginines to citrullines (PAD4). The deiminated chromatin might be useful for bacterial pathogen capture. Host phagocytes may ingest the mixture of bacterial antigens and deiminated chromatin. The uptake and

processing of deiminated chromatin by phagocytes in conjunction with bacterial adjuvants may result in the display of modified histone epitopes and co-stimulation, resulting in a powerful stimulus to break tolerance. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus frequently have autoantibodies against deiminated histones. These findings clearly imply that histone deamination can act as a trigger for autoantibody production [24]. In autoimmune disorders including systemic lupus erythematosus and psoriasis, type I interferons exert potent immunostimulatory effects in addition to their antibacterial functions [10].

Formation of NETs in animals

NETs have been characterized in several mammals including cows, sheep and goats, although not as extensively as in humans and mice [25]. Lippolis, *et al.* [26] showed that NETs are produced by bovine blood neutrophils stimulated with PMA/ionomycin. Importantly, neutrophils can form NETs when they have been cultured in milk for up to 6 hours before being stimulated. They observed that NETs are produced in milk as a result of neutrophil stimulation with bacteria that are common to infections of the mammary gland suggesting that milk does not inhibit the ability of neutrophils to form NETs. However, incubation in milk inhibits neutrophil transmigration and bacterial phagocytosis and oxidative burst. NETs may therefore play a crucial role in the defense against mastitis as evidenced by the fact that they are unaffected by incubation in milk. Grinberg, *et al.* [27] discovered that the mammary pathogenic *E. coli* strain P4 stimulates normal bovine neutrophils, causing them to produce antibacterial NETs. When these neutrophils were pre-incubated with increasing concentrations of beta-hydroxy-butyric acid (0.1 to 8 mmol/liter), *E. coli* P4 phagocytosis was reduced by fivefold, but intracellular killing was unaffected. Further, beta-hydroxy-butyric acid also reduced the number of NETs generated by *E. coli* P4-activated neutrophils by a factor of ten, as well as the bactericidal activity of NETs against this organism.

M. haemolytica leukotoxin (LKT) promotes NET formation in bovine neutrophils in a CD18-dependent pathway using an unacylated, noncytotoxic pro-LKT generated by a lktC mutant of *M. haemolytica* [28]. Confocal microscopy, scanning and transmission electron microscopy were used to confirm NET development. It was observed that binding of unacylated pro-LKT induces NET formation despite the lack of cytotoxicity. Further, blocking LKT binding to the CD18 chain of lymphocyte function-

associated antigen 1 (LFA-1) reduced NET formation in response to LKT or *M. haemolytica* cells. It was observed that NETs generated in response to *M. haemolytica* could trap and destroy a percentage of the bacterial cells. Jerjomiceva, *et al.* [29] reported that the antibiotic enrofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone, increases the production of NETs in the bovine neutrophils. Enrofloxacin-induced NETs formation was significantly decreased by pharmacologically inactivated NADPH oxidase or peptidyl-arginine deiminase-4. Further, enrofloxacin-mediated NET induction was also inhibited when cells were treated with cytochalasin D or nocodazole. It was concluded that actin and microtubule polymerization are involved in the formation of enrofloxacin-induced NETs in addition to oxidative burst and histone citrullination. Swain, *et al.* in 2014 [30] investigated the variations in neutrophil activity in cows with subclinical and clinical mastitis. It was observed that milk neutrophils from clinical mastitis cows formed NETs, whereas milk neutrophils from subclinical mastitis and healthy cows did not show any sign of NETs formation. A link between decreased neutrophil apoptosis and increased TLR2 and TLR4 expression and the creation of NETs and changes in the surface architecture of neutrophils was reported. It was concluded that the formation of NET-like structures by neutrophils in clinical mastitis cows is an efficient strategy of resistance.

In 2017, Villagra-Blanco, *et al.* [31] studied the *in-vitro* interactions of bovine polymorphonuclear leukocyte with *N. caninum* at various ratios and time durations. Through antibody-based immunofluorescence investigations, extracellular DNA staining was employed to illustrate the characteristic molecules of NETs (i.e. histones (H3), neutrophil elastase (NE), myeloperoxidase (MPO), and pentraxin). It was observed that bovine polymorphonuclear leukocyte generated NET structures that entrapped tachyzoites, according to scanning electron microscope analysis. Further, NOX, NE, MPO, PAD4, ERK1/2, and p38 MAP kinase suppression resulted in a small decrease in NET formation, indicating that *N. caninum*-induced NET formation was not a NOX, NE, MPO, PAD4, ERK1/2, and p38 MAP kinase-dependent process. It was also observed that CD11b was also discovered to be a neutrophil receptor that played a role in NETosis. Mendez, *et al.* [25] investigated the processes by which *O. ostertagi* influences the development of bovine NETs. Co-localization of extracellular DNA with typical NET related

proteins like histone and neutrophil elastase confirmed that *O. ostertagi* larval soluble extract could create typical NETs in purified neutrophils *in vitro*. Further, inhibition studies confirmed that these *O. ostertagi* larval soluble extract-induced NETs were dependent on the enzymes NADPH oxidase and myeloperoxidase. They also observed that neutrophils were activated by live *O. ostertagi* larval stage 4 larvae to generate NETs identical to those induced by *O. ostertagi* larval soluble extract. It was concluded that bovine neutrophils also produced NETs in response to *Caenorhabditis elegans*, a free-living soil worm, showing that NET formation is a conserved mechanism for nematode defence.

Karakurt., *et al.* [32] reported that *Toxoplasma gondii*, a protozoan parasite that causes toxoplasmosis in warm-blooded mammals, generates NETs in neutrophils of humans, mice, sheep, and cattle. *Toxoplasma gondii*-induced NETosis in sheep and cattle was affected by tachyzoite concentrations and incubation period. They concluded that NET structures formed by sheep neutrophils can only entrap *Toxoplasma gondii* tachyzoites, whereas cattle neutrophils have lethal effects *in vitro*. Ciliberti., *et al.* [33] studied the *in-vitro* NETosis of peripheral neutrophils isolated from dairy cows supplemented with olive pomace. NETosis was examined by immunofluorescence microscopy using the particular antibodies against MPO and citrullination of Histone-H1. It was reported that the addition of olive pomace extract had an impact on the MPO activity of neutrophil as well as the neutrophil elastase-DNA complexes created during NETosis. Additionally, the olive pomace diet produced a greater response from neutrophils to PMA stimulation than the control diet, which did not support these responses. The results showed that olive pomace supplementation can improve neutrophil activity in dairy cows, leading to udder defense and inflammatory response, especially during immunosuppressive state.

Zhu., *et al.* [34] investigated NETs and ROS production capacity of polymorphonuclear neutrophils in different lactational stages of Holstein cows. They demonstrated that peripartum and nursing cows had greater basal NETs and ROS levels of polymorphonuclear neutrophils than nulliparous heifers. Further, ROS and NET production were enhanced when polymorphonuclear neutrophils from nulliparous heifers were stimulated *in vitro* using inflammatory agents. It was concluded that polymorphonuclear neutrophils isolated from

peripartum and lactating cows are primed to create NETs and ROS, and it is possible that this negatively impacted the cows' inflammatory and immunological condition during their lactation cycle.

Neutrophils and anti-TNF- α therapy

Marini., *et al.* [35] demonstrated that anti-TNF therapy benefits in Crohn's disease are mediated by a mechanism involving the suppression of intestinal epithelial cell apoptosis. It was reported that a single injection of a chimeric anti-murine TNF- α antibody into SAMP1/YitFc mice led to a significant suppression of intestinal inflammation and epithelial cell damage when compared to mice injected with an isotype control antibody, which is similar to the effectiveness of monoclonal anti-TNF- α antibodies in human Crohn's disease. Propidium iodide staining and DNA laddering measurements of the newly isolated intestinal epithelial cell revealed that these effects were associated with a considerable decrease in apoptosis. In contrast, animals treated with anti-TNF- α showed increased lamina propria mononuclear cell death as compared to control mice. These results showed a unique anti-TNF-therapy mechanism of action that involves homeostatic control of mucosal cell death, which leads to a net reduction in the chronic inflammation generally present in Crohn's disease. According to Capsoni., *et al.* [36], neutrophils are among the targets of anti-TNF- α activity in rheumatoid arthritis, and may provide insight into a novel and intriguing mechanism of action of anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibodies in the treatment of inflammatory arthritis. Etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, and certolizumab pegol are the anti-TNF- α medications. Fossati., *et al.* [37] compared their effects for the direct *in vitro* induction of apoptosis in healthy activated lymphocytes and monocytes. It was observed that the activated monocytes and lymphocytes were equally susceptible to the apoptotic effects of infliximab, adalimumab, and etanercept contrary to other reports. However, no leukocyte under the influence of certolizumab pegol underwent apoptosis. Notebert., *et al.* [38] reported that freshly isolated bovine blood neutrophils express p65 and p50, the two most significant NF- κ B family members. Both the RNA and protein levels confirmed the presence of both p65 and p50. Then gliotoxin, a strong and focused NF- κ B inhibitor, was tested to see if it affected the apoptosis of bovine neutrophils. Inhibition of NF- κ B was observed to significantly increased the apoptosis. Additionally, the crucial inflammatory mediator TNF- α , which only had a modest pro-apoptotic effect, was greatly enhanced by gliotoxin.

The results of this experiment were confirmed by additional measurements of caspase-3/7 activity and analysis of morphological criteria. Finally, NF- κ B activity was evaluated in these circumstances. It was concluded that gliotoxin was found to dramatically reduce active p65 values but only slightly influenced the activity of p50. Mukhopadhyay, *et al.* [39] reported that anti-TNF- α treatment caused overall varying degrees of efficacy in treating inflammatory diseases like inflammatory bowel disease, psoriasis, arthritis, and others. However, no concrete evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of anti-TNF- α medication for severe pulmonary diseases has yet been found. Pattacini, *et al.* [40] investigated the fibroblast-like synoviocytes apoptosis in relation to the effects of several anti-TNF- α agents like etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab. All of the treatments examined triggered fibroblast-like synoviocytes apoptosis in the presence of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from the same patient 51 only when the two cell populations were in immediate communication via activating the PTEN-FAK pathway and elevating Bax levels. This impact was not brought on by antibody dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. It was concluded that only two antibodies infliximab and adalimumab were able to upregulate expression of Bcl-2. Atreya, *et al.* [41] also investigated anti-TNF- α antibodies impact on apoptosis in inflammatory bowel disease. They reported that clinically effective anti-TNF- α antibodies are able to induce T-cell apoptosis in inflammatory bowel disease only when mucosal TNFR2+ T cells are cocultured with mTNF-expressing CD14+ macrophages. The discovery that anti-TNF- α antibodies indirectly cause apoptosis by targeting the mTNF/TNFR2 pathway may have significant ramifications for the creation of novel therapeutic approaches for inflammatory bowel disease. Billmeier, *et al.* [42] also investigated the effect of anti-TNF- α antibody induced apoptosis and reported that a secondary effect of TNF signalling is the potential direct or indirect activation of apoptosis. Apoptosis may also result from the activation of TNF itself by antibody binding or may be caused by the Fc region of anti-TNF antibodies that involve the complement or natural killer cells. Zhang, *et al.* [43] examined neutrophil migration in inflammatory bowel disease patients before and after anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody therapy and evaluated the regulating function of anti-TNF- α in the production of proinflammatory mediators. Further, in Crohn's disease patients who responded to IFX, anti-TNF- α treatment markedly reduced neutrophil infiltration in inflamed mucosa. Further, anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody significantly

reduced the production of proinflammatory mediators like MPO, calprotectin, IL-8, IL-6, and TNF- α . Furthermore, in Crohn's disease patients, inhibiting TNF- α may substantially cause neutrophil apoptosis. These findings suggest that anti-TNF- α therapy reduces neutrophil activation and migration in the gut mucosa, which reduces mucosal inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease patients. Vomero, *et al.* [44] studied the *in vitro* effects of TNF and anti-TNF- α on cell fate as well as *ex vivo* spontaneous autophagy and apoptosis in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with anti-TNF drugs. After 4 months of anti-TNF- α medication therapy, peripheral blood mononuclear cells from rheumatoid arthritis patients responded to treatment and displayed a significant decrease in LC3-II levels 52 along with an increase in apoptotic activation. Additionally, there was a correlation between DAS28 and LC3-II expression. They observed that after 24 hours of culture, TNF- α was able to trigger autophagy in rheumatoid arthritis peripheral blood mononuclear cells in a dose-dependent manner. They concluded that etanercept also significantly decreased levels of citrullinated proteins and autophagy. Neuenfeldt, *et al.* [45] studied that increased intracellular neutrophil elastase abundance and NETosis are caused by autocrine and paracrine TNF signaling. Further, CCR5 activation accelerates NETosis. *In vivo*, they discovered that ulcerative colitis patients had inflamed lamina propria and more CCR5+ polymorphonuclear neutrophils in their peripheral blood. They concluded that increased frequencies of CCR5+ polymorphonuclear neutrophils were linked to anti-TNF- α therapeutic failure.

Conclusion

In conclusion, neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation is an important component of the immune response to infection and inflammation. By releasing chromatin fibers decorated with antimicrobial proteins, neutrophils can immobilize and neutralize invading pathogens, making NETs a powerful defense strategy. However, NETs also exhibit a dual nature, as excessive or uncontrolled formation can contribute to tissue damage and worsen inflammatory diseases.

Research in cattle, sheep, and goats demonstrates that NETosis is a conserved innate immune mechanism across animal species. Animal neutrophils generate NETs in response to pathogens, toxins, and inflammatory signals, and their formation is influenced by metabolic status, receptor engagement, and intracellular

pathways such as NADPH oxidase, MPO activity, histone citrullination, and cytoskeletal changes. While NETs enhance host protection-particularly in conditions like mastitis-their levels can vary with physiological states such as stress, lactation, or metabolic imbalance.

Overall, the study of NET formation in animals offers valuable insights into innate immunity. As research progresses, understanding the fine balance between NET-mediated protection and tissue injury will be key to harnessing this mechanism for improved disease management and therapeutic strategies in veterinary health.

Bibliography

1. Brinkmann Volker., *et al.* "Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria". *Science* 303.5663 (2004): 1532-1535.
2. Kaplan Mariana J and Marko Radic. "Neutrophil extracellular traps: double-edged swords of innate immunity". *Journal of Immunology* 189.6 (2012): 2689-2695.
3. Vorobjeva NV and BV Chernyak. "NETosis: Molecular mechanisms, role in physiology and pathology". *Biochemistry. Biokhimiia* 85.10 (2020): 1178-1190.
4. Pilszczek Florian H., *et al.* "A novel mechanism of rapid nuclear neutrophil extracellular trap formation in response to *Staphylococcus aureus*". *Journal of Immunology* 185.12 (2010): 7413-7425.
5. Yipp Bryan G and Paul Kubes. "NETosis: How vital is it?". *Blood* 122.16 (2013): 2784-2794.
6. Metzler Kathleen D., *et al.* "Myeloperoxidase is required for neutrophil extracellular trap formation: implications for innate immunity". *Blood* 117.3 (2011): 953-959.
7. Papayannopoulos Venizelos., *et al.* "Neutrophil elastase and myeloperoxidase regulate the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps". *Journal of Cell Biology* 191.3 (2010): 677-691.
8. Fuchs Tobias A., *et al.* "Novel cell death program leads to neutrophil extracellular traps". *Journal of Cell Biology* 176.2 (2007): 231-241.
9. Stephan Alexander and Mario Fabri. "The NET, the trap and the pathogen: neutrophil extracellular traps in cutaneous immunity". *Experimental Dermatology* 24.3 (2015): 161-166.
10. Lin Andrew M., *et al.* "Mast cells and neutrophils release IL-17 through extracellular trap formation in psoriasis". *Journal of Immunology* 187.1 (2011): 490-500.
11. Yost Christian C., *et al.* "Impaired neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation: a novel innate immune deficiency of human neonates". *Blood* 113.25 (2009): 6419-6427.
12. Doua David N., *et al.* "Innate immune collectin surfactant protein d simultaneously binds both neutrophil 110 extracellular traps and carbohydrate ligands and promotes bacterial trapping". *Journal of Immunology* 187.4 (2011): 1856-1865.
13. Almyroudis Nikolaos G., *et al.* "NETosis and NADPH oxidase: at the intersection of host defense, inflammation, and injury". *Frontiers in Immunology* 4 (2013): 45.
14. Halverson Tyler WR., *et al.* "DNA is an antimicrobial component of neutrophil extracellular traps". *PLOS Pathogens* 11.1 (2015): e1004593.
15. Richards RC., *et al.* "Histone H1: An antimicrobial protein of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)". *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 284.3 (2001): 549-555.
16. Papayannopoulos Venizelos., *et al.* "Neutrophil elastase enhances sputum solubilization in cystic fibrosis patients receiving DNase therapy". *PLOS One* 6.12 (2011): e28526.
17. Parker Heather., *et al.* "Requirements for NADPH oxidase and myeloperoxidase in neutrophil extracellular trap formation differ depending on the stimulus". *Journal of Leukocyte Biology* 92.4 (2012): 841-849.
18. Urban Constantin F., *et al.* "Neutrophil extracellular traps contain calprotectin, a cytosolic protein complex involved in host defense against *Candida albicans*". *PLOS Pathogens* 5.10 (2009): e1000639.
19. Sur Chowdhury Chanchal., *et al.* "Enhanced neutrophil extracellular trap generation in rheumatoid arthritis: analysis of underlying signal transduction pathways and potential diagnostic utility". *Arthritis Research and Therapy* 16.3 (2014): R122.

20. Garcia-Romo Gina S., *et al.* "Netting neutrophils are major inducers of type I IFN production in pediatric systemic lupus erythematosus". *Science Translational Medicine* 3.73 (2011): 73ra20.
21. Kessenbrock Kai., *et al.* "Netting neutrophils in autoimmune small-vessel vasculitis". *Nature Medicine* 15.6 (2009): 623-625.
22. Berthelot Jean-Marie., *et al.* "NETosis: At the crossroads of rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, and vasculitis". *Joint Bone Spine* 84.3 (2017): 255-262.
23. Szodoray Péter., *et al.* "Anti-citrullinated protein/peptide autoantibodies in association with genetic and environmental factors as indicators of disease outcome in rheumatoid arthritis". *Autoimmunity Reviews* 9.3 (2010): 140-143.
24. Dwivedi Nishant and Marko Radic. "Burning controversies in NETs and autoimmunity: the mysteries of cell death and autoimmune disease". *Autoimmunity* 51.6 (2018): 267-280.
25. Mendez Jonatan., *et al.* "Bovine neutrophils form extracellular traps in response to the gastrointestinal parasite *Ostertagia ostertagi*". *Scientific Reports* 8.1 (2018): 17598.
26. Lippolis John D., *et al.* "Neutrophil extracellular trap formation by bovine neutrophils is not inhibited by milk". *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 113.1-2 (2006): 248-255.
27. Grinberg Navit., *et al.* " β -hydroxybutyrate abrogates formation of bovine neutrophil extracellular traps and bactericidal activity against mammary pathogenic *Escherichia coli*". *Infection and Immunity* 76.6 (2008): 2802-2807.
28. Aulik Nicole A., *et al.* "Mannheimia haemolytica and its leukotoxin cause neutrophil extracellular trap formation by bovine neutrophils". *Infection and Immunity* 78.11 (2010): 4454-4466.
29. Jerjomiceva Natalja., *et al.* "Enrofloxacin enhances the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps in bovine granulocytes". *Journal of Innate Immunity* 6.5 (2014): 706-712.
30. Swain Dilip K., *et al.* "Formation of NET, phagocytic activity, surface architecture, apoptosis and expression of toll like receptors 2 and 4 (TLR2 and TLR4) in neutrophils of mastitic cows". *Veterinary Research Communications* 38.3 (2014): 209-219.
31. Villagra-Blanco Rodolfo., *et al.* "Bovine polymorphonuclear neutrophils cast neutrophil extracellular traps against the abortive parasite *Neospora caninum*". *Frontiers in Immunology* 8 (2017): 606.
32. Karakurt Güneş and Kader Yildiz. "In vitro investigation of NETosis reaction developing from dog polymorphonuclear neutrophils to *Toxoplasma gondii*". *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences* 44.4 (2020): 886-893.
33. Ciliberti Maria G., *et al.* "NETosis of peripheral neutrophils isolated from dairy cows fed olive pomace". *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 8 (2021): 626314.
34. Zhu Cheng-Long., *et al.* "PD-L1 maintains neutrophil extracellular traps release by inhibiting neutrophil autophagy in endotoxin-induced lung injury". *Frontiers in Immunology* 13 (2022): 949217.
35. Marini Marco., *et al.* "TNF- α neutralization ameliorates the severity of murine Crohn's-like ileitis by abrogation of intestinal epithelial cell apoptosis". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100.14 (2003): 8366-8371.
36. Capsoni Franco., *et al.* "Effect of adalimumab on neutrophil function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis". *Arthritis Research and Therapy* 7.2 (2005): R250-R255.
37. Fossati Gianluca and Andrew M Nesbitt. "Effect of the anti-TNF agents, adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, and certolizumab pegol (CDP870) on the induction of apoptosis in activated peripheral blood lymphocytes and monocytes". *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 100 (2005): S298-S299.
38. Notebaert Sofie., *et al.* "NF-kappaB inhibition accelerates apoptosis of bovine neutrophils". *Veterinary Research* 36.2 (2005): 229-240.
39. Mukhopadhyay Srirupa., *et al.* "Role of TNF α in pulmonary pathophysiology". *Respiratory Research* 7.1 (2006): 125.
40. Pattacini Laura., *et al.* "Differential effects of anti-TNF- α drugs on fibroblast-like synoviocyte apoptosis". *Rheumatology* 49.3 (2010): 480-489.
41. Atreya Raja., *et al.* "Antibodies against tumor necrosis factor (TNF) induce T-cell apoptosis in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases via TNF receptor 2 and intestinal CD14+ macrophages". *Gastroenterology* 141.6 (2011): 2026-2038.

42. Billmeier Ulrike., *et al.* "Molecular mechanism of action of anti-tumor necrosis factor antibodies in inflammatory bowel diseases". *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 22.42 (2016): 9300-9313.
43. Zhang Cui., *et al.* "Anti-TNF- α therapy suppresses proinflammatory activities of mucosal neutrophils in inflammatory bowel disease". *Mediators of Inflammation* (2018): 3021863.
44. Vomero M., *et al.* "Reduction of autophagy and increase in apoptosis correlates with a favorable clinical outcome in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-TNF drugs". *Arthritis Research and Therapy* 21.1 (2019): 39.
45. Neuenfeldt Friederike., *et al.* "Inflammation induces pro-NETotic neutrophils via TNFR2 signaling". *Cell Reports* 39.3 (2022): 110710.