



Effects of Supplementation of Acidifiers in the Diet of Poultry

Ankit Sharma^{1*} and Deepikesh Joshi²

¹PhD Scholar (Poultry Science), Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

²PhD Scholar (Livestock Production Management), Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

***Corresponding Author:** Ankit Sharma, PhD Scholar (Poultry Science), Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India.

Received: September 21, 2021

Published: October 22, 2021

© All rights are reserved by **Ankit Sharma and Deepikesh Joshi.**

Abstract

The paper presents a literature review of effects of the acidifiers (the substances which are put into an animal body to produce or become acid) when they are supplemented in poultry rations. An overview of their effects on poultry's growth performance and immunity along with the effect of supplementation on nutrient digestibility is presented. The literature on antimicrobial activity of organic acids has also been reviewed.

Keywords: Acidifiers; Poultry; Growth; Immunity; Review Paper

Introduction

Antibiotics and their use have a significant impact on animal health and welfare [15]. Also, antibiotics promote the growth of livestock and poultry by at least three effects: modulating metabolism, improving nutrients efficiency and preventing diseases. Antibiotics in the diet enhanced body weight and feed intake in broiler hens, according to Afsharmanesh, *et al.* [2]. Antibiotics have been used for over half a century to improve livestock production in feed. Increases in poultry performance and feed utilisation by about 3-5% have been reported [35]. But, the use of antibiotics in livestock and poultry farming has been debated, as side effects may occur with long-term usage, such as the development of microbial resistance and residues in meat [28]. As a result, numerous European governments have restricted or outlawed the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in reaction to the spread of antibiotic resistance and the inappropriate use of antibiotics [12,21]. Unfortunately, the use of antibiotics is prohibited, which may raise production costs, reduce growth and feed efficiency, and raise

morbidity and mortality rates [35]. As a result, numerous alternatives to using antibiotics in chicken are being researched [18,37]. This prompted the researchers to investigate the use of non-therapeutic feed additives in poultry production, such as organic acids, enzymes, probiotics, prebiotics, herbs, essential oils, and immunostimulants. Organic acids (or simply acidifiers) are one of these options, and they play an essential role in animal gastrointestinal health as well as nutritional digestion.

Organic acids are naturally occurring components in a variety of feeds, are frequently employed in feed acidification, and are created during animal metabolism. They've been used as an in-feed preventive approach to combat diseases in the feed industry since they contain antibacterial, antifungal, and antimicrobial properties [13]. Organic acids like fumaric, formic, lactic (LA), and citric acids, as well as their salts, provide performance and health benefits [47]. Lactic acid research has become one of the hottest topics in animal husbandry. It is an essential energy carrier with no pollution, no residue, quick absorption in the body, participation in metabolism [9,25], and participation in the tricarboxylic acid cycle [20].

Organic acid therapies containing single acids and mixtures of multiple acids have been shown to exhibit antibacterial properties comparable to antibiotics [43]. Because organic acids and their salts are generally deemed safe, the European Union permitted their use in poultry production [1]. Any organic carboxylic acid with the generic structure R-COOH is termed an organic acid (including fatty acids and amino acids). Antimicrobial action is linked to short-chain acids (C1–C7). Simple mono-carboxylic acids like formic, acetic, propionic, and butyric acids, carboxylic acids with the hydroxyl group like lactic, malic, tartaric, and citric acids, and short-chain carboxylic acids with double bonds like fumaric and sorbic acids are all examples [38]. Organic acids are effective not only as a growth stimulator, but also as a control tool for all intrinsic bacteria, whether pathogenic and nonpathogenic [29,44]. They alter the pH of digesta, enhance pancreatic output, and have trophic effects on the mucosa of the gastro-intestinal tract, in addition to their antibacterial action [10]. Inorganic acidifiers, such as hydrochloric, sulphuric, and phosphoric acids, are underutilised while being less expensive than organic acids. Phosphoric acid, the most common inorganic acidifier, serves as both an acidifier and a source of phosphorus for the body. It has the ability to liberate up to 3 H⁺ while also slowing down the pace of H⁺ release, allowing it to play a long-lasting and effective role [3]. It is also useful for young fowl with an undeveloped digestive system [3]. Acidifiers in cattle nutrition are thus a cost-effective performance-enhancing option, acting on animals via their feed, gut, and metabolism [36]. However, organic and inorganic acidifiers are not the same, and organic acidifiers are more expensive. Although organic acidifiers have a superior flavour and stronger bacteriostatic effects, inorganic acidifiers have a high degree of dissociation and a fast rate of dissociation, allowing them to swiftly lower the pH of feed in the stomach. However, a rapid drop in pH may prevent gastric acid release, burn the oesophagus and stomach, and prevent normal gastric function development [46].

Effect on growth performance

Panda, *et al.* [33] noticed that 0.4% butyrate in the diet of broiler was similar to antibiotics in maintaining body weight gain (646 and 642 g, respectively) but superior for FCR. There was no added advantage obtained on these parameters by increasing the butyrate from 0.4% to 0.6% in the diet. Contrary to the findings of the above study, Antongiovanni, *et al.* [4] and Leeson, *et al.* [24] suggested a lower level (0.2%) of butyrate to maintain the performance of broiler chickens. Chowdhury, *et al.* [7] reported the high-

est body weight was attained in 0.5% citric acid-fed chicks (1318 g), which was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than control chicks (1094 g) or 0.001% avilamycin-fed chicks (1217 g). Broiler chicken fed diets supplemented with organic acids (2 percent butyric acid, 3 percent butyric acid, 2 percent fumaric acid, 3 percent fumaric acid, 2 percent lactic acid, and 3 percent lactic acid) had significantly ($P < 0.05$) improved body weight gains and feed conversion ratio when compared to control, according to Adil [3]. On cumulative feed consumption, there was no effect ($P < 0.05$). The increase in FCR could be related to greater nutrient utilisation, resulting in enhanced body weight gain in the birds fed organic acids in their diet. Azza, *et al.* [5] discovered that broiler chicken diets enriched with organic acids (3 percent butyric acid, 3 percent fumaric acid, and 3 percent lactic acid) enhanced body weight growth and feed conversion ratio significantly ($P < 0.05$). On cumulative feed consumption, there was no effect ($P < 0.05$). Khooshechin, *et al.* [19] discovered that at 3 g/kg of organic acid inclusion, body weight, average daily gain, and average daily feed intake increased (linear effect, $P < 0.05$), whereas feed conversion ratio was negatively affected by dietary treatments (quadratic, $P < 0.05$) as organic acid inclusion increased to 2 g/kg and then decreased with further inclusion. Vinolya, *et al.* [42] reported that groups of broilers those supplemented with combination of organic acids @ 1kg/MT of feed and organic acids with essential oil @ 1kg/MT of feed had shown significantly ($P < 0.05$) improved body weight and average daily gain over control group (without acidifier) at 42 days of age. Broilers treated with a combination of organic acids @ 1kg/MT of feed also exhibited a 5 point increase in feed conversion above control ($P < 0.05$).

Organic acid supplementation in broiler chicken enhanced body weight gain when compared to the unsupplemented group, according to Owens, *et al.* [32]; Sheikh, *et al.* [39] and Ghazalah, *et al.* [14]. The favourable effect of organic acids on the gut flora is most likely responsible for the improvement in body weight gain. Organic acids may disrupt the integrity of microbial cell membranes or macromolecules, as well as nutrient transport and energy metabolism, resulting in a bactericidal effect. Organic acid is thought to promote growth rate by managing the intestinal microbial ecology in the digestive organs, allowing commensal bacteria to flourish while reducing pathogenic bacteria that can create poisons [45,27]. The favourable effect of acidifiers, such as organic acid, on performance is linked to increased nutrient efficiency and improved digestibility [31].

Impact of acidifier on immunity

Chowdhury, *et al.* [7] observed an improvement in the immunological status of broiler chickens those fed 0.5% citric acid. Houshmand, *et al.* [17] discovered that adding organic acids (Sunzen Corporation SdnBhd, Malaysia; 0.15 percent in a beginning diet) to broiler chicken diets resulted in significant increases in antibody titers against Newcastle disease at 21 days of age. However, by 42 days of age, there was no significant difference between treatments ($P > 0.05$). Emami, *et al.* [11] discovered that adding phytase and organic acids to broiler diets lacking in accessible phosphorous improved intestinal integrity and immunological response. They discovered that broilers given a phytase+organic acid diet had higher ($P < 0.001$) immunoglobulin G (IgG; 2.27) in the primary and secondary responses, as well as higher ($P < 0.001$) total immunoglobulin (7.84) and IgG (5.74) in the primary response. Hedayati, *et al.* [16] found no significant difference between the dietary treatments for all antibody titers against Newcastle Disease (ND), Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD), and Avian Influenza when broiler diets supplemented with 0.025 percent, 0.05 percent, and 0.1 percent acidifier agent.

Totacid was the natural acidifier employed in this investigation (containing citric acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, lactic acid and mannan oligosaccharides from natural sources). Yang, *et al.* [47] reported birds those consumed 0.30 g/ kg of sorbic acid, fumaric acid and thymol throughout the grower and finisher period has significantly higher spleen index as compared to control group. Further, the secretory level of immunoglobulin A in duodenal and ileal mucosa was increased ($P < 0.05$) at day 42. Lee, *et al.* [23] found the beneficial effects of organic acids on immune responses against viral antigens (H9N2) in broiler chickens and they reported that group of birds those supplemented with organic acids and administered a H9N2 vaccine had higher CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T-cell percentage than in the control.

Antimicrobial activity of organic acids

Salmonella, Campylobacter, and Escherichia coli are the most prevalent bacteria that impact poultry intestinal health, and they can be controlled by taking an organic acid supplement [30,41]. Poultry is known for being one of the most important Salmonellae reservoirs in the human food chain [8]. Against Salmonella typhimurium that was loosely or firmly attached to broiler chicken skin, bactericidal activity (mean reduction log CFU per skin) of

all acids increased linearly with increasing concentration (0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 percent acetic, citric, lactic, malic, mandelic, propionic, or tartaric acid) [40]. A study showed that dietary supplementation of organic acid reduced cecal E. coli numbers at 10 day of age compared to Escherichia coli K88-challenged (EPEC) broiler chickens ($P < 0.05$) [11]. But how organic acid affect (particularly more distal) GIT microbial populations remains unclear, particularly as organic acid should have lower direct antimicrobial activity in the higher pH environment of distal sites [6]. This suggests that organic acid initiate their microbiota-modifying effects in more proximal GIT regions. Organic acid salt (ammonium formate or calcium propionate; 3 gm/kg diet) reduced coliform count in broiler feed compared to control, but had no effect on clostridium count, according to Paul, *et al.* [34]. The results also demonstrated that supplementing with ammonium formate reduced the number of E. coli in the stomach, but had no effect on the number of clostridium. Calcium propionate, on the other hand, may suppress fungal count in the feed more effectively than ammonium formate. This could be owing to propionic acid's or propionate's anti-mould properties [48]. Mikkelsen, *et al.* [26] discovered that 0.45 percent potassium diformate reduced necrotic enteritis-related mortality (Clostridium perfringens). Potassium diformate significantly reduced the amount of C. perfringens in the jejunum after the outbreak of necrotic enteritis (day 35 of the trial period).

Effect of organic acids on nutrient digestibility

Nourmohammadi, *et al.* [31] reported that supplementation of 3% citric acid along with microbial phytase enzyme caused better ileal nutrient (CP, apparent metabolisable energy (AME), Ca and total P) digestibility and increased mineral retention in broiler chicken. It was found that lower pH facilitates the P solubility and the microbial phytase was more active through acidification resulting in improved P absorption. Supplementation of organic acid together with the developing desirable gut microflora was found to contribute for mineral retention and bone mineralization through increased digestibility and availability of nutrients as stated by Ziaie, *et al.* [49]. Ghazala, *et al.* [14] discovered that supplementing broiler diets with 0.5 percent fumaric or formic acid, 0.75 percent acetic or 2 percent citric acid, and 0.75 percent acetic or 2 percent citric acid improved both metabolisable energy and nutrient digestibility, as measured by crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF), and nitrogen-free extract (NFE). According to Van Der Sluis [41], the beneficial effects of organic acids on digestion

are associated with slower feed passage through the digestive tract, improved nutrient absorption, and fewer moist droppings. Emami, *et al.*[11] discovered that broilers fed the control diet (without microbial phytase enzyme and organic acid) had the lowest CP and EE digestibility (0.7751 and 0.7949, respectively), which was improved ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.01$) by adding Phytase+organic acid (0.8858 and 0.8561, respectively).

Conclusion

Organic and inorganic acidifiers have an overall positive impact on growth performances of poultry along with increasing the antibody titer in their blood, thus increasing the disease resistance. Lowering of *E. coli* count in poultry gut, which improves gut health, is another beneficial effect of their supplementation in poultry ration. Improvement in digestibility of nutrients (CP, CF, NFE and EE) and absorption of calcium and phosphorous in the gut is also evident. The acidifiers are safe for long term use as compared to antibiotics which could cause microbial resistance and leave their residues in poultry meat. Hence, we can conclude that acidifiers can be supplemented in the poultry rations to upgrade health of a flock and significantly increase the production obtained from it.

Bibliography

1. Adil S., *et al.* "Effect of Dietary Supplementation of Organic Acids on Performance, Intestinal Histomorphology, and Serum Biochemistry of Broiler Chicken". *Veterinary Medicine International* (2010): 1-7.
2. Afsharmanesh M., *et al.* "Influence of supplementation of prebiotic, probiotic, and antibiotic to wet-fed wheat-based diets on growth, ileal nutrient digestibility, blood parameters, and gastrointestinal characteristics of broiler chickens". *Comparative Clinical Pathology* 22 (2013): 245-251.
3. Andrys R., *et al.* "The effect of changed ph values of feed in isophosphoric diets on chicken broiler performance". *Czech Journal of Animal Science* (2003): 48.
4. Antongiovanni M., *et al.* "Butyric acid glycerides in the diet of broiler chickens: effects on gut histology and carcass composition". *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 6 (2007): 19-25.
5. Azza M., *et al.* "Effect of Dietary Supplementation of Organic Acids on Performance and Serum Biochemistry of Broiler Chicken". *Nature Science* 12.2 (2014): 38-45.
6. Boyen F., *et al.* "Coated fatty acids alter virulence properties of salmonella typhimurium and decrease intestinal colonization of pigs". *Veterinary Microbiology* 132 (2008): 319-327.
7. Chowdhury R., *et al.* "Effect of citric acid, avilamycin and their combination on the performance, tibia ash, and immune status of broilers". *Poultry Science* 88.8 (2009): 1616-1622.
8. D'Aoust and J Y Salmonella. In M. P. Doyle (ed.), *Foodborne bacterial pathogens*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1989): 327-412.
9. Datta R and Henry M. "Lactic acid: recent advances in products, processes and technologies — a review". *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 81 (2010): 1119-1129.
10. Dibner JJ and Buttin P. "Use of organic acids as a model to study the impact of gut microflora on nutrition and metabolism". *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 11.4 (2002): 453-463.
11. Emami NK, *et al.* "Growth performance, digestibility, immune response and intestinal morphology of male broilers fed phosphorus deficient diets supplemented with microbial phytase and organic acids". *Livestock Sciences* 157.2 (2013): 506-513.
12. Food N. "Antimicrobial resistance: implications for the food system". *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 5 (2010): 71-137.
13. Frank K. "Measures to preserve food and feeds from bacterial damage". *ÜbersichtenZurTierernährung* 22 (2020): 149-163.
14. Ghazalah AA., *et al.* "Effect of dietary supplementation of organic acids on performance, nutrient digestibility and health of broiler chicks". *International Journal of Poultry Science* 10.3 (2011): 176-184.
15. Goforth RL and Goforth CR. "Appropriate regulation of antibiotics in livestock feed". 28 (2000): 39.
16. Hedayati M., *et al.* "The influence of an acidifier feed additive on biochemical parameters and immune response of broilers". *Annual Research and Review in Biology* 4.10 (2014): 1637-1645.
17. Houshmand M., *et al.* "Effects of nonantibiotic feed additives on performance, immunity and intestinal morphology of

- broilers fed different levels of protein". *South African Journal of Animal Science* 42 (2012): 22-32.
18. Inatomi T and Otomaru K. "Effect of dietary probiotics on the semen traits and antioxidative activity of male broiler breeders". *Scientific Report* 8 (2018): 5874-5880.
 19. Khooshechin F, *et al.* "Effect of Dietary Acidification in Broiler Chickens: 1. Growth Performance and Nutrients Ileal Digestibility". *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 14.3 (2015): 3885.
 20. Kim YY, *et al.* "Acidifier as an alternative material to antibiotics in animal feed". *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 18 (2005): 1048-1060.
 21. Lancet T. "Antibiotic resistance: a final warning". *Lancet* 382 (2013): 1072-1072.
 22. Lee KH, *et al.* "Effect of dietary supplementation of the combination of gallic and linoleic acid in thigh meat of broilers". *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 25 (2012): 1641-1648.
 23. Lee I K, *et al.* "H9N2-specific IgG and CD4+ CD25+ T cells in broilers fed a diet supplemented with organic acids". *Poultry Science* 96.5 (2017): 1063-1070.
 24. Leeson S, *et al.* "Effect of butyric acid on the performance and carcass yield of broiler chickens". *Poultry Science* 84 (2005): 1418-1422.
 25. Lemire J, *et al.* "Mitochondrial lactate metabolism is involved in antioxidative defense in human astrocytoma cells". *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 92 (2014): 464-475.
 26. Mikkelsen LL, *et al.* "Effect of potassium diformate on growth performance and gut microbiota in broiler chickens challenged with necrotic enteritis". *British Poultry Science* 50 (2009): 66-75.
 27. Mohammadpour AA, *et al.* "Evaluation of varying levels of acid-binding capacity of diets formulated with various acidifiers on physical and histological characteristics of leg bones in broiler chickens". *Comparative Clinical Pathology* 23 (2014): 14091420.
 28. Muaz K, *et al.* "Antibiotic residues in chicken meat: global prevalence, threats, and decontamination strategies: a review". *Journal of Food Protection* 81 (2018): 619-627.
 29. Naidu AS. "Natural food antimicrobial systems". CRC Press USA. (2000): 431-462.
 30. Naseri KG, *et al.* "Comparison of the effects of probiotic, organic acid and medicinal plant on *Campylobacter jejuni* challenged broiler chickens". *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* 14 (2012): 1485-1496.
 31. Nourmohammadi R, *et al.* "Effect of citric acid and microbial phytase enzyme on ileal digestibility of some nutrients in broiler chicks fed corn-soybean meal diets". *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 11 (2012): e7.
 32. Owens B, *et al.* "Effects of different feed additives alone or in combination on broiler performance, gut micro flora and ileal histology". *British Poultry Science* 49.2 (2011): 202-212.
 33. Panda AK. "Effect of butyric acid on performance, gastrointestinal tract health and carcass characteristics in broiler chickens". *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 22.7 (2011): 1026-1031.
 34. Paul SK, *et al.* "Effect of organic acid salt on the performance and gut health of broiler chicken". *Journal of Poultry Science* 44 (2007): 389-395.
 35. Pirgozliev V, *et al.* "Fumaric and sorbic acid as additives in broiler feed". *Research in Veterinary Science* 84 (2008): 387-394.
 36. Roth N, *et al.* "Effect of an organic acids based feed additive and enrofloxacin on the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* in cecum of broilers". *Poultry Science* 96 (2017): 4053-4060.
 37. Salah AS, *et al.* "Impact of dietary supplementation with a synbiotic, organic acids or their combination on growth performance, carcass traits, economic efficiency, jejunum histomorphometry and some blood indices of broiler chickens". *Animal Production Science* (2019).
 38. Shahidi S, *et al.* "Influence of dietary organic acids supplementation on reproductive performance of freshwater Angelfish (*Pterophyllumsalare*)". *Global Veterinarian* 13 (2014): 373-377.
 39. Sheikh Adil, *et al.* "Response of broiler chicken to dietary supplementation of organic acids". *Journal of Central European Agriculture* 12.3 (2011): 498-508.

40. Tamblyn KC and Conner D E. "Bactericidal Activity of Organic Acids against *Salmonella typhimurium* Attached to Broiler Chicken Skint". *Journal of Food Protection* 60.6 (1997): 629-633.
41. Van Immerseel F, *et al.* "The use of organic acids to combat Salmonella in poultry: a mechanistic explanation of the efficacy". *Avian Pathology* 35 (2006): 182-188.
42. Vinolya R Emili, *et al.* "Effect of dietary supplementation of acidifiers and essential oils on growth performance and intestinal health of broiler". *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* (2021).
43. Wang JP, *et al.* "Effects of phenyllactic acid on production performance, egg quality parameters, and blood characteristics in laying hens". *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 18 (2009): 203-209.
44. Wolfenden AD, *et al.* "Effect of Organic Acids and Probiotics on Salmonella enteritidis Infection in Broiler Chickens". *International Journal of Poultry Science* 6 (2007): 403-405.
45. Woo KC, *et al.* "Effect of acidifier (Lactacid) and essential oil (Immunocin) on the performance, nutrient metabolizability, small intestinal microflora and immune response in broiler chicks". *Korean Journal of Poultry Science* 33 (2006): 141-149.
46. Xiao Q, *et al.* "Effects of dietary phosphoric acid on pH, enzymatic activity of digestive tract and protein digestibility in broilers". *China Poultry* 38 (2016): 23-28.
47. Yang X, *et al.* "Impact of essential oils and organic acids on the growth performance, digestive functions and immunity of broiler chickens". *Animal Nutrition* 4.4 (2018): 388-393.
48. Zha C and Cohen AC. "Effects of anti-fungal compounds on feeding behavior and nutritional ecology of tobacco budworm and painted lady butterfly larvae". *Entomology, Ornithology and Herpetology* 3 (2014): 120.
49. Ziaie H, *et al.* "Effect of antibiotic and its alternatives on morphometric characteristics, mineral content and bone strength of tibia in Ross broiler chickens". *Global Veterinarian* 7 (2011): 315-322.

Volume 3 Issue 11 November 2021

©All rights are reserved by Ankit Sharma and Deepikesh Joshi.