



## Two Worlds, One Patient: The Divided Reality of Healthcare in India

**Dheeraj Makkar\***

*Fellow in Orthopedics (Emory University), USA*

**\*Corresponding Author:** Dheeraj Makkar, Fellow in Orthopedics (Emory University), USA.

**Received:** November 26, 2025

**Published:** December 15, 2025

© All rights are reserved by

**Dheeraj Makkar.**

Aarav was twenty-seven when the pain in his thigh first made walking difficult. He tried to ignore it, the way most young people do, but when the swelling grew and the nights became unbearable, he found himself at the gates of the city's government hospital—a place where India's truest medical stories begin.

Inside, the corridors throbbed with life: children coughing softly in their mothers' arms, trauma patients wheeled past with quiet urgency, interns rushing between wards with notebooks held tight. It was chaotic, yes, but there was an unmistakable sincerity in the air—an ecosystem held together by commitment rather than resources.

Aarav waited nearly six hours before his name was called. The resident who examined him had the exhausted but sharp gaze of someone who had learned medicine through sheer battlefield volume. With limited imaging available and long queues for everything from X-rays to biopsies, the resident pieced together a likely diagnosis using the tools at his disposal.

"Come tomorrow morning," he said gently, "we'll try to get your MRI done".

For Aarav, "try" was both a source of hope and heartbreak.

The following weeks were a blur of return visits, delayed investigations, and conversations whispered between patients waiting

for their turn. Aarav witnessed the dedication of overworked residents, the compassion of nurses who remembered faces even in the crowd, and the quiet heroism of consultants who saw 200 patients a day—but he also felt the heavy undertow of a system stretched beyond its limits.

Finally, when the swelling worsened and the uncertainty became unbearable, Aarav's family made a painful decision: they shifted him to a private hospital.

It felt like crossing into another country.

Within hours, Aarav had a full MRI, blood work, and a biopsy planned. The corridors were quiet. The waiting rooms smelled of coffee and air-conditioning. The doctors had time—time to explain, time to reassure, time to plan. The advanced imaging, digitized records, and protocol-driven pathways gave him something the public sector could not: speed.

But the bills arrived just as swiftly, and suddenly the illness was not the only threat. Conversations shifted from treatment options to payment schedules, from prognosis to insurance limits. Decisions felt guided less by medical urgency and more by financial choreography—an unspoken reminder that in parts of India's corporate healthcare, vulnerability can be an economic opportunity. It wasn't overt coercion, just a steady tightening of choices, a quiet suggestion that survival required signing one more consent, one

more estimate, one more loan. In those moments, the hospital did not feel like a sanctuary. It felt like a system where fear had currency—and patients learned their place within it.

In the government hospital, Aarav found unfiltered clinical wisdom—doctors forged by relentless volume, offering care that was affordable and earnest despite being stretched to its limits. Yet he also met the costs of scarcity: delayed diagnostics, unpredictable waits, rationed resources, and trainees too overwhelmed to pause and explain.

The private hospital felt like another universe. Diagnostics moved at the pace of urgency, imaging was crisp, consultations were unhurried, and oncology pathways were mapped with precision. But here, too, the shadows lingered: the crushing financial burden, the tendency toward over-investigation, and the unsettling awareness that this level of care existed only for those who could pay.

Two worlds shaped one patient's journey—each carrying strengths the other lacked, each exposing fractures the other concealed.

Aarav eventually underwent limb-salvage surgery in the private hospital.

But for radiotherapy, he returned to the government cancer centre—because that was the only sustainable option.

When he walked in, limping slightly, the nurses recognized him instantly. They greeted him by name, smiled at his postoperative X-rays, and clapped softly at how well he had healed. In that crowded ward, he felt something he had not expected: belonging.

- Two systems.
- Two cultures.
- One patient is trying to stitch them together.

Aarav's story is not an outlier. It is the silent, uncomfortable truth of Indian healthcare—a journey that begins in the public sec-

tor out of necessity, moves to the private sector out of urgency, and ends somewhere between affordability and accuracy. This is not an accident; it is a deliberate act of fragmentation.

The government hospitals offer heart, grit, and volume.

The private hospitals offer precision, speed, and technology.

But neither system, on its own, can carry a nation facing rising cancer incidence, escalating trauma, and chronic disease on a colossal scale.

For India to progress, these two universes must stop orbiting separately.

Shared training programs, unified referral pathways, integrated cancer networks, outcome registries, technology-sharing, and coordinated public-private partnerships are not luxuries—they are the scaffolding of a future where a patient like Aarav does not have to choose between survival and solvency.

Healthcare cannot remain in two worlds under one flag.

For Aarav—and for millions like him—it must become one system of care: aligned in purpose, equitable in dignity, and unified in hope.