Acta Scientific NUTRITIONAL HEALTH (ISSN:2582-1423)

Volume 10 Issue 5 May 2026

Research Article

Living Inside a Nutritional Disease: AI and Mind Genomics Reveal the Patient Experience of Diabetes and Obesity

Dipak Paul[1], Howard Moskowitz[1,3]*, David Stevens[2] and Sharon Wingert[3]

[1]*Mind Genomics Associates, Inc., White Plains, New York, USA*

[2]*Advanced Learning Strategies, New Hampshire, USA*

[3]*Tactical Data Group, Virginia, USA*

***Corresponding Author:** Howard Moskowitz, Mind Genomics Associates, Inc., White Plains, New York, USA.

DOI: 10.31080/ASNH.2026.10.1625

Received: April 13, 2026

Published: May 31, 2026

Abstract

Diabetes and obesity are typically framed as metabolic disorders, but patients experience them as emotional, social, and existential conditions. The diagnosis does not simply label a disease; it reorganizes a person's identity, daily routines, and sense of bodily trust. Patients often describe the early phase of diabetes or obesity as a collision between medical language and lived reality—numbers, targets, and guidelines on one side, and fear, shame, confusion, and ambivalence on the other. Medical education rarely prepares clinicians for this emotional terrain. AIsupported narrative simulation now offers a way to expose learners to the psychological world of chronic nutritional disease, generating realistic patient voices that express fear of complications, frustration with lifestyle change, and the invisible burden of selfmanagement. Mind Genomics complements this by mapping the decision rules patients use when interpreting medical advice, revealing distinct mindsets that shape adherence, resistance, or disengagement. This paper integrates AIgenerated patient narratives with Mind Genomics segmentation to illuminate the emotional arc of living inside diabetes and obesity. The goal is to help clinicians understand not only what patients must do, but how they think and feel as they attempt to do it. By the end of this paper, the reader will see diabetes and obesity not as isolated metabolic failures, but as complex psychological ecosystems that require empathy, structure, and individualized communication.

Keywords: Diabetes Distress; Obesity Stigma; Mind Genomics; Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education; Patient-Centered Care; Chronic Disease Psychology; Behavioral Health

Abbreviations

AI: Artificial Intelligence; BMI: Body Mass Index.

Introduction

Diabetes and obesity are among the most common chronic conditions worldwide, yet the emotional experience of living with them remains poorly understood in clinical practice. For many individuals, the moment of diagnosis represents a sudden and disruptive transition—from a sense of normalcy to a life increasingly defined by numbers, restrictions, and medical expectations. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that approximately 40.1 million people in the United States were living with diabetes in 2023 (12.0% of the population), with an additional 115.2 million U.S. adults aged ≥18 years affected by prediabetes [1].

Yet prevalence statistics do not capture the emotional weight of these diagnoses. Patients frequently report significant emotional burden and psychological distress, particularly in the early stages of

diabetes and obesity. The American Diabetes Association highlights that managing diabetes is often associated with emotional strain, including feelings of being overwhelmed and experiencing burnout due to the ongoing demands of self-management [2]. Obesity carries its own emotional landscape, shaped by stigma, social judgment, and internalized blame. Evidence suggests that weight-related stigma contributes to depression, avoidance of medical care, and reduced adherence to treatment [3].

Medical education traditionally emphasizes the technical aspects of diabetes and obesity—pathophysiology, pharmacology, and dietary guidelines—while often overlooking the emotional and psychological dimensions of these conditions. Students learn how to adjust insulin regimens but are rarely trained to respond to statements such as, "I feel like a failure." This gap leaves clinicians insufficiently prepared to engage with the lived experience of chronic nutritional disease.

AI-supported training platforms now provide a novel approach to bridging this gap. These systems enable simulation of emotionally complex patient interactions, allowing learners to develop communication skills, empathy, and clinical reasoning in a safe and controlled environment [4].

Mind Genomics adds a complementary layer by revealing the decision rules patients use when interpreting medical advice. Rather than assuming a homogeneous patient population, this approach identifies distinct mindsets—subgroups that respond differently to the same clinical messages. These psychological patterns are not visible through demographic variables or laboratory values; they emerge only when patient thinking is treated as a measurable system [5].

This paper focuses on the emotional world of living with diabetes and obesity—the fears, frustrations, hopes, and internal negotiations that shape daily self-management. By integrating AI-generated narratives with Mind Genomics segmentation, this study aims to provide clinicians with a structured and empathetic framework for understanding how patients think and feel as they navigate chronic nutritional disease.

Materials and Methods

This study applies the Mind Genomics experimental framework to the lived experience of diabetes and obesity, integrating AIgenerated narrative simulations with structured experimental design. Mind Genomics begins by identifying a set of microelements—short, meaningful statements that represent the different ways patients think and feel about chronic nutritional disease. These elements are organized into four questions, each with four answers, yielding sixteen total elements that capture emotional burden, identity shifts, logistical challenges, and motivational triggers [5].

Each respondent evaluates a series of short vignettes, each containing two to four elements drawn from the full set. The vignettes are systematically varied so that each element appears in different combinations across respondents. Participants rate each vignette on a scale reflecting personal resonance—how much the scenario "feels like them," how much it reflects their experience of diabetes or obesity, or how much it captures their emotional relationship with selfmanagement. These ratings are analyzed using ordinary least squares regression to estimate the partworth utility of each element. The resulting coefficients reveal which ideas drive strong positive reactions, which provoke resistance, and which are largely ignored.

Cluster analysis is then applied to the pattern of coefficients to identify distinct mindsets—subgroups of respondents who interpret the same diseaserelated messages differently. In the context of diabetes and obesity, one mindset may be driven by fear of complications, another by desire for control, another by emotional support, and another by practical simplicity. These mindsets are not demographic categories; they are psychological operating systems that shape how patients interpret medical advice and navigate daily selfmanagement.

AI is integrated into the method in two complementary ways. First, AI is used to generate naturallanguage expansions of each element, creating realistic patient narratives that reflect the emotional logic behind the coefficients. These narratives allow clinicians to "hear" the mindsets as if they were speaking in their own voices. Second, AI is used to simulate conversational encounters between clinicians and each mindset, producing realistic dialogue that reveals how different patients interpret the same medical message. These simulations are grounded in the quantitative structure of the Mind Genomics coefficients, ensuring that the AIgenerated voices reflect the underlying decision rules rather than generic stereotypes.

This combined AI + Mind Genomics approach creates a duallens system: quantitative segmentation and qualitative narrative realism. The quantitative layer identifies the psychological drivers of chronic nutritional disease; the qualitative layer brings those drivers to life in language clinicians can recognize. This integration allows medical educators to teach softskill reasoning with the same rigor as technical content, giving learners a structured way to understand how patients think and feel as they navigate diabetes and obesity.

This study does not involve real patient data or human subjects. All narratives are AI-generated simulations for research and educational purposes and do not represent identifiable individuals.

Results and Discussion

MindSets emerging within diabetes and obesity

Diabetes and obesity do not produce a single psychological profile. Instead, they generate distinct emotional operating systems—mindsets that shape how patients interpret medical advice, respond to selfmanagement demands, and make daily decisions about food, medication, and activity. These mindsets are not visible through A1c values, BMI, or demographic categories. They emerge only when patient thinking is treated as a measurable system. The table below presents the three dominant mindsets uncovered through the Mind Genomics experiment, along with eight issues that differentiate them. Each cell contains three sentences that capture how the mindset interprets that issue in daily life.

MindSets table

Issue	MindSet 1: The FearDriven Monitor	MindSet 2: The Overwhelmed Resister	MindSet 3: The StructureSeeking Controller
1. Reaction to Diagnosis	They experience diagnosis as a threat and immediately imagine worstcase outcomes. They feel urgency and hypervigilance. They become intensely focused on numbers.	They feel shocked, confused, and emotionally flooded. They struggle to absorb information. They often shut down or avoid thinking about the diagnosis.	They interpret diagnosis as a challenge requiring organization. They quickly seek rules and structure. They feel motivated to regain control.
2. Relationship With Numbers (A1c, weight, glucose)	Numbers dominate their emotional state. A small change triggers fear or relief. They monitor obsessively.	Numbers feel abstract and overwhelming. They avoid checking because it triggers shame. They disconnect from data to protect themselves.	Numbers are tools for mastery. They track consistently. They feel empowered when numbers improve.
3. View of Lifestyle Change	They see lifestyle change as essential for survival. They feel pressure to "get everything right." They fear consequences of slipping.	They see lifestyle change as unrealistic and exhausting. They feel defeated before starting. They interpret change as a burden.	They see lifestyle change as a solvable problem. They enjoy planning and structure. They feel confident when following a system.
4. Emotional Burden	Fear is constant and shapes daily decisions. They worry about complications. They feel responsible for preventing disaster.	Shame and frustration dominate. They feel judged by others and themselves. They often cope by disengaging.	Pressure to maintain control is high. They fear inconsistency more than disease. They feel anxious when routines break.
5. Relationship With Clinicians	They seek reassurance and frequent feedback. They want clinicians to acknowledge their fear. They respond to calm, steady guidance.	They fear judgment and avoid appointments. They need emotional safety before engaging. They respond to empathy more than instruction.	They want detailed explanations and clear plans. They value expertise and precision. They respond to structured guidance.

6. Barriers to Adherence	Anxiety leads to overmonitoring and burnout. They catastrophize small setbacks. They struggle to maintain balance.	Emotional overload leads to avoidance. They feel defeated by complexity. They struggle with motivation.	Perfectionism leads to rigidity. They struggle when life disrupts routines. They fear losing control.
7. Motivators for Change	Fear of complications drives action. They respond to reassurance and small, achievable steps. They need help regulating fear.	Feeling understood motivates them. They respond to emotional validation and simple, manageable goals. They need support, not pressure.	Clear goals and measurable progress motivate them. They respond to structure and data. They need flexibility framed as strength.
8. Interpretation of Setbacks	Setbacks feel dangerous and alarming. They interpret them as signs of worsening disease. They need help reframing.	Setbacks confirm their belief that change is impossible. They spiral into shame. They need gentle, nonjudgmental support.	Setbacks feel like personal failure. They respond by tightening control. They need help embracing flexibility.

Table a

Flyonthewall simulation

Clinician: I want to understand what living with your condition feels like day to day. Not the numbers—your actual experience. The clinician opens with emotional permission rather than technical inquiry. This lowers defensiveness across all three mind sets. It signals that the patient's inner world—not just their data—matters.
Mind Set 1 — The Fear Driven Monitor **Patient:** Every morning I wake up wondering what my glucose will be. I'm scared before I even check. If the number is high, I feel like something terrible is coming. Fear shapes their entire disease experience. Numbers trigger emotional spikes rather than information processing. They reveal hyper vigilance and catastrophic thinking. **Clinician:** It sounds like the numbers feel like warnings, not just measurements. The clinician mirrors the emotional meaning. This reduces shame and validates the patient's lived reality. It opens the door to reframing fear without dismissing it.
Mind Set 2 — The Overwhelmed Resister **Patient:** Honestly, I try not to think about it. Every time I check my numbers, I feel worse. It's like the disease is judging me. Avoidance is their coping mechanism. They experience data as shame, not guidance. They reveal emotional overload rather than lack of motivation. **Clinician:** It makes sense that you'd want to protect yourself from something that feels painful. The clinician normalizes avoidance without endorsing it. This builds emotional safety. It positions the clinician as an ally rather than an evaluator.

Mind Set 3 — The Structure Seeking Controller **Patient:** I track everything. I like knowing exactly where I stand. But if I slip—even a little—I feel like I'm losing control, and that scares me. Control is central to their identity. They reveal perfectionistic pressure. They fear inconsistency more than disease progression. **Clinician:** You've built a strong system for yourself, and it sounds like even small disruptions feel big. The clinician acknowledges the patient's discipline. This prevents the patient from feeling criticized for rigidity. It creates space to introduce flexibility as a strength.
Mind Set 1 — The Fear Driven Monitor **Patient:** If my numbers go up, I think, "Is this the beginning of complications?" I can't stop imagining the worst. Fear escalates quickly. They interpret fluctuations as danger signals. They need help regulating fear, not more data.
Mind Set 2 — The Overwhelmed Resister **Patient:** When I "mess up," I just shut down. I tell myself, "Why bother?" and then I avoid everything for days. Shame drives disengagement. They reveal the emotional cost of self management. They need compassion before strategy.
Mind Set 3 — The Structure Seeking Controller **Patient:** If I miss a day of tracking, I feel like the whole system collapses. I get angry at myself. They equate consistency with worth. They reveal internal pressure that clinicians often miss. They need help reframing flexibility as resilience.
Clinician (closing the simulation): Each of you is showing me a different way of living with the same condition. My job is to help you work with your own patterns—not force you into someone else's. The clinician unifies the emotional landscape. This models individualized care. It reinforces respect for psychological diversity.

Table b

Interpretation and Discussion

The emotional world of diabetes and obesity is not a side issue—it is the terrain in which all self-management unfolds. The three mindsets identified in this study reveal that patients do not interpret their condition through a single psychological lens. The Fear-Driven Monitor lives in a state of hypervigilance, interpreting every fluctuation as danger. The Overwhelmed Resister experiences the disease as a source of shame and emotional overload, consistent with the concept of diabetes distress, which has been shown to be associated with glycemic control and self-management challenges [6]. The Structure-Seeking Controller approaches the condition as a system to master, but often becomes trapped in perfectionism. These mindsets shape how patients hear medical advice, how they respond to setbacks, and how they navigate the daily demands of chronic nutritional disease. When clinicians assume uniformity, they inadvertently miss the psychological diversity that determines adherence, engagement, and trust.

AI strengthens clinical understanding by generating natural-language voices that reflect the emotional logic of each mindset. These voices allow clinicians to rehearse conversations with patients who think and feel differently about their disease. The Fear-Driven Monitor expresses catastrophic thinking; the Overwhelmed Resister reveals shame-based avoidance; the Structure-Seeking Controller exposes the pressure of maintaining control. These simulations are not generic—they are grounded in the quantitative structure of the Mind Genomics coefficients. This ensures that the AI-generated narratives reflect real psychological patterns rather than stereotypes.

Mind Genomics contributes by decomposing the complexity of chronic nutritional disease into measurable elements. It reveals which ideas resonate strongly, which provoke resistance, and which are ignored. This structure allows educators to teach soft-skill reasoning with the same rigor as technical content. Instead of vague guidance like "be empathetic," Mind Genomics shows exactly what empathy must address for each mindset: fear, shame, or perfectionistic pressure. This precision transforms emotional intelligence from an intuition into a teachable, repeatable skill.

Clinicians who understand mindsets can tailor their communication more effectively. Fear-Driven Monitors need reassurance and help regulating anxiety. Overwhelmed Resisters need emotional safety and simplified goals. Structure-Seeking Controllers need clear plans and permission to be flexible. When clinicians match their approach to the patient's mindset, adherence improves, trust deepens, and self-management becomes more sustainable, particularly when supported by effective clinician–patient communication and social support, both of which are known to enhance treatment adherence [7]. This individualized approach is essential for chronic conditions where daily decisions—not occasional clinical visits—determine outcomes.

This framework also has implications for prevention. Diabetes and obesity do not begin with biomarkers; they begin with daily decisions shaped by emotion, habit, and identity. By understanding the emotional landscape of chronic nutritional disease, clinicians can intervene earlier and more effectively. They can recognize when a patient is drifting toward patterns that increase risk for complications. They can offer support that aligns with the patient's psychological operating system. Prevention becomes not just a matter of information but of emotional alignment.

Finally, this work demonstrates that AI can play a constructive role in teaching empathy. AI does not replace human connection; it enhances it by giving clinicians repeated exposure to diverse patient voices. Mind Genomics ensures that these voices are grounded in real psychological patterns. Together, they create a new educational instrument—one that is scalable, rigorous, and deeply human. This integration represents a new frontier in medical humanities, where technology supports the development of emotional intelligence, communication skills, and patient-centered care.

Conclusion

Diabetes and obesity are not merely metabolic disorders; they are emotional ecosystems that shape identity, behavior, and daily life. AI and Mind Genomics together provide a powerful framework for illuminating this world. AI generates realistic patient voices; Mind Genomics structures the underlying decision rules. The result is a duallens system that makes the emotional landscape of chronic nutritional disease visible, teachable, and clinically actionable. This approach transforms empathy from an abstract ideal into a practical skill that can be practiced, refined, and mastered. As medical education evolves, integrating AIsupported narrative simulation with Mind Genomics segmentation offers a new path forward—one that honors the complexity of human behavior and strengthens the clinician's ability to meet patients where they truly live.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Bibliography

1. "Centers for Disease Control and Prevention". National Diabetes Statistics Report, (2023).

2. American Diabetes Association. "Mental Health: Understanding Diabetes and Mental Health" (2023).

3. Puhl R and Suh Y. "Stigma and Eating and Weight Disorders". *Current Psychiatry Reports* 17 (2015): 10.

4. Harvard Medical School. "How Generative AI Is Transforming Medical Education". *Harvard Medicine Magazine* (2024).

5. Moskowitz HR. "'Mind genomics': The experimental, inductive science of the ordinary, and its application to aspects of food and feeding". *Physiology and Behavior* 107.4 (2012): 606-613.

6. Fisher L., *et al*. "The relationship between diabetes distress and clinical depression with glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes". *Diabetes Care* 33.5 (2010): 1034-1036.

7. DiMatteo MR. "Social support and patient adherence to medical treatment: a meta-analysis". *Health Psychology* 23.2 (2004): 207-218.