



Microbiological Assessment of Selected Groundnut Based Snack “Kulikuli” and “Donkwa” in Ogbomoso And Ilorin, Nigeria

Adeoye AO¹, Adeyinka OO², Ajala AS^{1*} and Ojo MA³

¹Department of Food Science and Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

²Anatomy Department, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

³Department of Food Science and Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: Ajala AS, Department of Food Science and Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Received: February 27, 2020

Published: March 14, 2020

© All rights are reserved by Ajala AS, et al.

Abstract

In this study, the microbial quality assessments of two peanut based snacks were analyzed. Samples were obtained from ‘Orita Naira’ market, Ogbomosho, Oyo State and ‘Oja Titun’ Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. The controls were prepared under hygienic condition in the Food processing laboratory, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso. These samples were analyzed to investigate their safety. Thus, microbial colony estimation and characterization were carried out; these include microbial load, frequency of occurrence and antimicrobial sensitivity test. All the samples had bacterial and fungal contamination at varying degree; microbial load in terms of percentage frequency of occurrence of bacteria was between 5 - 30%, the percentage frequency of occurrence for fungi was 5 - 16%. For bacteria, the highest percentage microbial count was *Salmonella* spp (30%) while the least was *E. coli* (5%), while for fungi, the highest and lowest percentage microbial count were 16 and 5% respectively. Total Viable Count ranged from $1.3 \pm 0.10 \times 10^3$ to $4.10 \pm 0.10 \times 10^3$ (cfu/ml), coliform ranged between $0.00-5.40 \pm 0.40$ cfu/ml, while mould count ranged from $1.00 \pm 0.00 - 3.87 \pm 0.31$. Sensitivity test showed that bacteria inhibition zone of 32 mm and 25 mm in Kulikuli and Donkwa respectively, while fungal sensitivity test indicated 26 mm, 32 mm in kulikuli and donkwa respectively. With 30% *salmonella* spp found in kulikuli and 10% *E. coli* found in donkwa, it shows poor hygiene in processing and handling.

Keywords: Isolation; Hygiene; Contamination; Processing; Organism

Introduction

Kulikuli and Donkwa, are groundnut-based snacks indigenous to the West African coasts. Being a snack, it is consumed by all age range but more specifically by school-age children and the middle age. It is also used as a major ingredient in poultry feed formulation [1]. The snacks are usually produced from groundnut during oil extraction from the groundnut. These snacks are simply regarded as the fried residue obtained from the process of local vegetable oil extraction from groundnut [2]. Kulikuli is a peanut based while Donkwa is a maize-peanut based. The two snacks have been reported to be rich in protein and crude fat reflecting the composition of groundnut [3,4]. However, Aletor and Ojelabi [3] reported that these snacks could serve as a major protein supplement since it contained high crude protein.

The enterobacteria are a large group of related bacteria that are capable of food and water contamination through faecal sources. Many of the strains and species are known to be enterotoxigenic

and contribute majorly to cases such as diarrheal illnesses experienced by man [5,6]. Therefore, in the bid to enhance human health and secure food safety as well as public health enlightenment on food-borne illnesses, there is a need to evaluate the processing, handling effect on the microbial load of these snack available for human consumption in markets across Nigeria [7,8] Also, considering the fact that information obtained via questionnaire indicates that many school-age children consume this product that is known to be locally processed, there is an urgent need to ascertain the cause of the frequent diarrhea cases reported by patients of this age group with history of contact with this food [1,9]. In essence, this research aims at evaluating Kulikuli samples obtained from two states in Nigeria for microbial contamination and proximate changes.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

The material used for this research work were purchased at Orita Naira, Ogbomosho, Oyo state and Oja tuntun in Ilorin Kwara

State. The control was prepared under clean condition in the Food Science and engineering department laboratory, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomoso Oyo State, Nigeria.

Methods

The samples used for this work were aseptically taken from the selling points. Proximate analysis was done according to AOAC [10], Microbial Analysis by method described by Fawole and Oso (2004). Isolation and identification of Microorganism were done by standard microbiological methods. Characterization of bacteria isolates by Fawole and Oso (2004). Mycological analysis of samples was carried out according to method described by Samson., et al. [11]. The antimicrobial sensitivity test of the isolates was carried out using the disc agar diffusion methods of CM-12-8PR100 and CM-12-8NR100.

Culture media

The media used for the microbiological isolation of microorganism were nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar. Nutrient agar was used for the isolation of bacteria and potato dextrose agar used for the isolation of fungi. The media were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The isolation of the organisms, culture examination, culture preservation, gram staining and other biochemical test were carried out by standard microbiological methods.

Results and Discussion

The organism with highest number of occurrence among the isolates in kulikuli was *Salmonella spp* with 30%, while *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas aureginosa* were the least with 5% each as shown in Table 1. In donkwa, the highest were *Staph. Aureus* and *Bacillus spp* with 15% each while *Micrococcus spp*, *Pseudomonas aureginosa* and *E. coli* have the same value of 10%. The frequency of occurrence of *E. Coli* isolated from Kulikuli and Donkwa are (5%) and 10%, respectively, while *Salmonella spp* has a value of 30% in kulikuli but none was found in donkwa. *Proteus* was 10% in kulikuli and nil in donkwa; *Klebsiella* (15%) kulikuli and nil in donkwa, *Pseudomonas aureginosa* have values of 5% and 10% kulikuli and donkwa, respectively, *Staphylococcus aureus* was 15% in both kulikuli and donkwa. *Bacillus spp* was 15% in donkwa and nil in kulikuli. *Micrococcus spp* value was 10% in donkwa but nil in kulikuli. The frequency of occurrence of fungi isolated according to Table 2 are; *Aspergillus spp.* (16%) in kulikuli, none in donkwa, *Aspergillus niger* nil in kulikuli but 16% in donkwa, *Aspergillus flavus* was has nil value in kulikuli but 11% in donkwa; *Fusarium spp* has 5% value in kulikuli but nil in donkwa, *Penicillum spp* (11%) in kulikuli and nil in donkwa, *Penicilum citrinum* (11%) both in kulikuli and donkwa, *Rhizopus stolonifer* (11%) only in donkwa but in kulikuli, *Rhizopus spp.* (11%) only in kulikuli and nil in donkwa, *Trichaderma spp* (5%) only in kulikuli and nil in donkwa; *Mucor spp* (5%) in donkwa but nil kulikuli.

Bacteria isolated	Kulikuli		Donkwa	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
<i>E. Coli</i>	1	5	2	10
<i>Salmonella spp</i>	3	30	-	-
<i>Proteus spp.</i>	2	10	-	-
<i>Klebsiella spp.</i>	3	15	-	-
<i>Pseudomoninas aureginosa</i>	1	5	2	10
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	3	15	3	15
<i>Bacillus spp.</i>	3	-	3	15
<i>Micrococcus spp.</i>	2	-	2	10

Table 1: Average frequency of occurrence of bacteria isolated from Kulikuli and Donkwa.

Organisms	Kulikuli		Donkwa	
	Frequency	(%)	Frequency	(%)
<i>Aspergillus spp</i>	3	16	-	-
<i>A. niger</i>	-	-	3	16
<i>A. flavus</i>	-	-	2	11
<i>Fusarium spp</i>	1	5	-	-
<i>Penicillum spp</i>	2	11	2	-
<i>Penicillum citrinum</i>	2	11	2	11
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-	-	2	11
<i>Rhizopus spp.</i>	2	11	-	-
<i>Trichadema spp.</i>	1	5	-	-
<i>Mucor spp.</i>	-	-	1	5

Table 2: Average frequency of occurrence of fungi isolated from Kulikuli and Donkwa.

-; Not detected.

Among the samples taken according to Table 3, Donkwa sample F has the highest load of coliform growth of $5.40 \pm 0.40 \times 10^3$ cfu/ml, while sample C (Kulikuli) has the highest mould count of $3.87 \pm 0.31 \times 10^3$ cfu/ml. TVC of $4.10 \pm 0.10 \times 10^3$ was observed in sample C (kulikuli). These results are within the range obtained in literature [1,9].

As shown in Table 3; both the fungal and bacteria counts were found to be between the range of 10^3 cfu/ml and 10^5 cfu/ml respectively. As recommended by international commission of microbiological specification for food [12], these two products were out of range of standard for type A class of food, but within the limit set by the international commission on microbiological specification of food for class B, category 2; hence, the snacks fall in to acceptable category but it is expedient that the processing and handling method must be reviewed to ensure improvement toward hygienic condition during preparation, packaging and selling of these two products.

Sample	TVC10 ³ cfu/ml	Coliform 10 ³ cfu/ml	Mould Count 10 ⁵ cfu/ml
A	1.30 ± 0.10 ^a	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	1.00 ± 0.00 ^a
B	2.47 ± 0.25 ^c	3.20 ± 0.40 ^b	2.00 ± 0.10 ^b
C	4.10 ± 0.10 ^d	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	3.87 ± 0.31 ^d
D	1.40 ± 0.20 ^a	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	1.00 ± 0.10 ^a
E	2.00 ± 0.20 ^b	4.80 ± 0.60 ^c	3.00 ± 0.30 ^c
F	2.40 ± 0.40 ^b	5.40 ± 0.40 ^d	2.40 ± 0.40 ^b

Table 3: Colony Count for Kulikuli and Donkwa (Microbial analysis).

Sample A: Kulikuli Control; Samples B: Kulikuli Orita Naira Market; and Sample C: Kulikuli (Oja Tuntun Ilorin market); Sample D: Donkwa control; Samples E: Donkwa Orita Naira Market; and Sample F: Donkwa Oja Tuntun Ilorin Market.

Antimicrobial sensitivity test carried out; the bacteria test for Kulikuli has the highest zone of 32 mm against *Salmonella* by Gentamycin while the list zone was observed in Ampicillin against *E. coli*. It was also observed that Gentamycin proved most effective against *E. coli*, *Proteus*, *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* except *Staphylococcus* where Ampicillin proved most effective through the highest value of zone of inhibition as shown in table 4. Fungal sensitivity test for kulikuli according to table 5 shows the highest zone 26 mm in Nystatin against *Rhizopus spp.*, while the least zone of 13 mm was observed in Griseofulvin against *A. niger*, and *Trchoderma*.

Bacteria sensitivity test in donkwa has the highest zone of 20 mm in Angumentin against *Micrococcus spp* while the least zone of 11 mm was in Agumentin for *Staphylococcus aureus* and 11 mm for *Micrococcus spp* in *Streptomycin* as shown in Table 6. How-

Antibiotic	Zone of inhibition in mm					
	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Salmonella</i>	<i>Proteus</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>Staphylococcus</i>
Chloramphenicol	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amoxicillin	16	12	13	14	15	6
Augmentin	5	18	12	15	6	7
Gentamycin	26	32	28	25	21	17
Streptomycin	23	12	14	16	17	12
Ampicillin	11	12	15	18	19	20
Erythromycin	-	-	-	-	-	-
Septrin	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pefloxacin	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4: Bacterial sensitivity test of Kulikuli samples isolates.

-: Not detected.

Anti fungicide	Zone of inhibition in mm				
	<i>Aspergillus spp.</i>	<i>Fusarium spp.</i>	<i>Penicillium spp.</i>	<i>Rhizopus spp.</i>	<i>Trichoderma spp.</i>
Nystatin	18	21	24	26	14
Griseofulvin	13	20	18	14	13
Ketxonazole	-	-	-	-	-
Mycoten	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5: Fungi sensitivity test of isolates from Kulikuli samples.

-: Not detected.

Antibiotics	Zone of inhibition (mm)			
	<i>Micrococcus spp.</i>	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aureginosa</i>
Chloramphenicol	14	17	18	20
Amoxicillin	-	-	-	-
Augmentin	20	19	11	13
Gentamycin	25	17	24	18
Streptomycin	11	08	10	07
Ampicillin	12	15	12	15
Erythromycin	16	12	21	09
Septrin	-	-	-	-
Pefloxacin	-	-	-	-

Table 6: Bacterial sensitivity test of isolates from Donkwa.

-: Not detected.

ever, gentamicin was the most effective against *Micrococcus spp.* and *Staphylococcus aureus* but chloramphenicol was most effect on *Pseudomonas aureginosa* with inhibition diameter of 20 mm as shown in table 6. Table 7 shows Donkwa sensitivity test with highest zone of 32 mm to *Mucor spp* by Nystatin, while the least resistance was *A. niger* with 12 mm zone of inhibition.

Cases of food borne infections sometimes are prevalent with ready-to-eat food. The presence of indicating organism of fecal contamination at 10% level in donkwa shows poor hygiene. With the presence of *Salmonella spp* with 30% value; eating such may be an agent of food infection to the consumers. *Bacillus cereus* can cause gastro-enteritis; an exo-enterotoxin as a result of cell lysis

Fungi	Zone of Inhibition (mm)						
	Mucor	Mucor spp.	Rhizopus spp	Penicillium citrium	Aspergillus flavus	Aspergillus Niger	Aspergillus tamari
Nystatin	21	32	24	26	20	12	25
Griseofulin	14	18	16	22	18	14	20
Ketxonazole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myxoten	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 7: Fungal sensitivity test of isolates from Donkwa samples.

-: Not detected.

of *Bacillus cereus* in the intestinal tract. Hence, high percentage of such in food at 15% contamination level is a warning signal to low quality food as reported by Frazier and Westhoff [13].

Conclusion

Microbiological quality of any food determines safety and acceptability by the level and load of microorganisms, the type of organisms isolated. The result of the investigation under study showed that the microbial counts were out of range of standard for type A class of food, but within the limit set by the international commission on microbiological specification of food for class B, category 2. There is need for further effort to improve the handling and hygiene practice of the producer of the snack [12,14-17]. Local processors should be enlightened on hygienic methods of preservation and storage of the kulikulis and donkwa. Furthermore, relevant quality control units must be reactivated to assess the quality of the groundnut kernels from which the kulikulis and other products are made.

Bibliography

- Ezekiel CN., et al. “Microbiology Quality and Proximate Composition of Peanut cake (kulikuli) in Nigeria Markets”. *Academic Arena* 3.4 (2011): 103-111.
- Adebesin AA., et al. “Microbiological quality of some groundnut products hawked in Bauchi, a Nigerian City”. *The Journal of Food Technology in Africa* 6.2 (2001): 53-55.
- Aletor O and Ojelabi A. “Comparative evaluation of the nutritive and functional attributes of some traditional Nigerian snacks and oil seed cakes”. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 6.1 (2007): 99-103.
- Oladimeji GR and Kolapo AL. “Evaluation of proximate changes and microbiology of stored defatted residues of some selected Nigerian oil seeds”. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 3.2 (2008): 126-129.
- Talaro KP and Talaro A. *Foundations in Microbiology*. 4th Ed. The McGraw-Hill Companies, NY. (2002).
- Baah-Tuahene S. “Assessment and Safety Improvement of Peanut oil based by-product from peanut oil processin: Kulikuli and Khebab Powder”. MPhil Thesis, University of Ghana (2015).
- Bakhiet AO., et al. “Food safety knowledge and practices of street food-vendors in Atbara city (Naher Elneel state, Sudan)”. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8.24 (2009): 6967-6971.
- Adeoye AO., et al. “Safety assessment of fermented African locust bean seed (Parkia biglobosa) in Ogbomosa market, Oyo Sate Nigeria”. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology* 6.1 (2018): 33-40.
- Ojo KA., et al. “Safety assessment of Donkua- An Indigenous Maize- peanut Street- vended Snack”. *Journal of Food and Agriculture, Nutrition* 12.3 (2017): 6-8.
- AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 15th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC, USA (1995).
- Samson RA., et al. “Methods for the detection and isolation of food-borne fungi”. In Samson RA, ES Hoekstra, JS Frisvad, O Filtenborg (Eds.), *Introduction to Food-borne Fungi*. 4th Ed. Central Bureauvoor Schimmel cultures, The Netherlands (1995): 235-242.
- International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Food (ICMSF 2002) *Microorganisms in food. Microbiological testing in food safety management*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, USA (2002).
- Frazier WC and Westhoff DC. *Food Microbiology*, 23rd Edition, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co, Ltd (2005).

14. Brown AE. “Benson’s Microbiological Application”. 9th Ed. McGraw-Hill, NY. (2005): 249-279.
15. FAO. Assuring food safety and quality - Guideline for strengthening national food control system. FAO food and Nutrition paper number 76 (2003).
16. Adegoke GO. “Understanding Food Microbiology”. 2nd Ed. Al-leluia, Ibadan (2004): 111-126.
17. Ezekiel CN, *et al.* “Zearalenone Production by naturally occurring Fusarium species on maize, wheat and soybeans from Nigeria”. *Journal of Biological Environmental Science* 2.6 (2008): 77-82.

Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- Issue of Publication Certificate
- High visibility of your Published work

Website: <https://www.actascientific.com/>

Submit Article: <https://www.actascientific.com/submission.php>

Email us: editor@actascientific.com

Contact us: +91 9182824667