



Beyond the Brightness: A Critical Appraisal of Modern Whitening Dentifrices and Enamel Homeostasis in Adult Dentitions

Faraed D Salman*

Professor, Independent Researcher, Department of Dental Assistant, Medical Technical Institute, Erbil Polytechnic University, Erbil, Iraq

***Corresponding Author:** Faraed D Salman, Professor, Independent Researcher, Department of Dental Assistant, Medical Technical Institute, Erbil Polytechnic University, Erbil, Iraq.

DOI: 10.31080/ASMS.2026.10.2287

Received: July 10, 2026

Published: September 23, 2026

© All rights are reserved by **Faraed D Salman**.

Abstract

Over-the-counter whitening dentifrices effectively target extrinsic stains, but chronic use risks mature enamel degradation. Clinicians must educate patients on ingredient profiles, abrasivity metrics, and safe usage durations.

Keywords: Enamel; Minerals

Introduction and Mechanistic Paradigms

Unsupervised whitening toothpaste use poses clinical dilemmas for thinned mature enamel with low organic volume. Formulations alter light reflectance via four pathways:

- **Physical Abrasives:** Minerals (hydrated silica, calcium carbonate) scrub stains but exert shear stresses within structural enamel micro-cracks [1,2].
- **Chemical Oxidizers:** Low-concentration peroxides degrade pellicle chromogens, while pyrophosphate calcium chelators disrupt stain attachment and deposition [1].
- **Optical Modifiers:** Blue covarine deposits a semi-translucent film, shifting the surface spectrum from yellow to blue for immediate perceived whiteness [1].
- **Biomimetic Minerals:** Hydroxyapatite (HAP) formulations bond a particulate layer onto enamel, achieving *in vitro* whitening without structural loss [3].

The enamel cost: Microhardness, roughness, and demineralization

High-abrasivity or highly acidic formulations permanently disrupt enamel homeostasis [2,4]. Angular charcoal particles elevate surface roughness, creating microscopic valleys that accelerate restaining. Concurrently, chemical stabilizing acids lower oral pH, inducing sub-clinical demineralization [1,2]. Mechanical brushing forces on compromised prisms reduce superficial microhardness, increasing vulnerability to non-carious cervical lesions, micro-cracks, and dentin hypersensitivity [5].

Empirical guidelines: The RDA index and temporal limits

Safe product selection requires aligning with Relative Dentin Abrasivity (RDA) thresholds (ISO 11609):

- **Certifications:** Formulations must carry recognized validation (e.g., ADA Seal of Acceptance) ensuring they remain below the absolute hazard limit of 250 RDA [5].

- **Biocompatibility:** Daily maintenance should rely on gentle natural enzymes (bromelain, papain) [3] or optical colorants [1] to preserve the mineralized matrix.
- **Temporal Limits:** High-abrasivity dentifrices (RDA 101–150) must be restricted to initial 2-to-4-week cycles [1]. Patients must then transition to low-abrasive fluoridated toothpastes (RDA < 70) to allow salivary remineralization [5].

Conclusion

Whitening dentifrices manage superficial color alterations but cannot resolve deep developmental intrinsic discoloration. A brighter smile should never compromise adult enamel homeostasis.

Bibliography

1. Joiner A. "Whitening toothpastes: A review of the literature". *Journal of Dentistry* 38 (2010): e17-e24.
2. Koc Vural U and Karadas M. "Influence of different types of whitening tooth pastes on the surface properties of enamel". *F1000 Research* 8 (2019): 1764.
3. Sarembe S., *et al.* "Effectiveness of experimental whitening toothpastes containing novel active ingredients on enamel properties". *BMC Oral Health* 22.1 (2022): 114-122.
4. Kaplan E., *et al.* "Effect of whitening toothpastes on enamel color and surface roughness: A laboratory study". *American Journal of Dentistry* 39.2 (2026): 66-72.
5. Silva LA and Costa NK. "Evaluation of Relative Dentin Abrasivity in Whitening Dentifrices and associated surface degradation micro-mechanisms". *PMC Oral Health* 24.1 (2024): 345-352.