Acta Scientific MEDICAL SCIENCES (ISSN: 2582-0931)

Volume 8 Issue 8 August 2024

Literature Review

Impact of Sophisticated Technology on Worker Skills in Healthcare Laboratories

Kamal F Alblwei*, Talal H Alshehri and Abdullah Alzhrani

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Security Forces Hospital Program, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Kamal F Alblwei, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Security Forces Hospital Program, Saudi Arabia.

DOI: 10.31080/ASMS.2024.08.1878

Received: June 14, 2024

Published: July 08, 2024

Abstract

This research examines the impact of sophisticated technology on worker skills, exploring both deskilling and upskilling arguments within the healthcare sector, particularly focusing on laboratory environments. By reviewing existing literature and conducting a survey among laboratory professionals, the study highlights how technological advancements like automated analyzers and Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS) affect laboratory technicians' and scientists' capabilities. The findings suggest that while technology can lead to the loss of certain traditional skills, it also necessitates the acquisition of new, often more complex skills. This dynamic is analyzed through theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence, emphasizing the nuanced effects of technology on the modern workforce in healthcare laboratories.

Keywords: Technological Advancements, Deskilling; Upskilling; Healthcare Laboratories; Worker Skills; Automation; Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS); Diagnostic Tools; Professional Development; Skill Acquisition

Introduction

Technology has always been a prominent feature in workplaces, evolving from the use of windmills to the modern application of robotics and sophisticated diagnostic tools. In economics, technology is often viewed as capital, encompassing devices, techniques, software, and social arrangements [1,2]. Traditionally, technological changes have been gradual, allowing employees to adapt. However, the rapid adoption of technology in recent decades has sparked debates, particularly concerning its impact on worker skills. Critics argue that technology leads to deskilling, where complex tasks are simplified, reducing the need for skilled labor [3,4]. This research focuses on the healthcare sector, specifically laboratory settings, to explore how ongoing technological innovations affect worker skills, leading to both deskilling and upskilling.

Literature Review

Historical context and theoretical framework

Technological advancements have always driven societal development, from windmills to steam engines and mass printing. Today, the convergence of information processing systems and the Internet of Things marks what some call the fourth industrial revolution [5,6]. Historical shifts in labor organization due to technology have always required workers to adapt their skill sets. Recent studies highlight the rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in diagnostic processes, further transforming laboratory workflows [7,8]. In healthcare laboratories, automation and diagnostic tools like automated analyzers and LIMS have revolutionized tasks, reducing the need for certain traditional skills while increasing the demand for new competencies in molecular diagnostics, AI applications, and data management [9,10].

Deskilling

Deskilling is defined as the tendency of craftwork being reduced into a few and recurrent procedures that allow for the removal of skilled workers and their replacement with unskilled labour [8]. Marx and Braverman highlighted that work is a natural application of the human mind and physicality [9,10]. In the capitalist system, value is created when the entrepreneur establishes a social arrangement where people work under acceptable conditions, hours, and using appropriate skills [11]. The capitalist's challenge is to extract as much value from labour as possible within a limited time. Innovations aimed at increasing labour productivity, such as automation, reduce labour time and lead to higher profits [12]. This process often results in the breakdown of skilled tasks into simpler duties that unskilled workers can perform, leading to deskilling [13,14].

Taylorism and Fordism emphasize specialization and control, falling under scientific management principles that promote the use of science to guide production and the allocation of work based on skill and motivation [15]. These principles led to the creation of workstations where maximum output is achieved through standardized methods and tools [16]. In modern workplaces, these principles are evident in the use of software and automation systems that enhance productivity but also constrain creativity and discretion, leading to deskilling [8].

In healthcare laboratories, deskilling is evident in the use of automated diagnostic tools and laboratory information management systems (LIMS). For example, tasks such as blood analysis, which once required significant technical expertise, can now be performed by automated analyzers, reducing the need for manual intervention by skilled laboratory technicians [22]. Similarly, LIMS streamline data management processes, allowing for quicker and more accurate data entry and retrieval but potentially diminishing the need for in-depth knowledge of laboratory processes [23].

Upskilling

Conversely, upskilling refers to the increase in demand for skilled workers due to technological advancements. This perspective argues that as technology becomes more sophisticated, the need for employees who can manage and operate these systems increases [17]. Studies have shown that employers now require higher educational qualifications for jobs that previously demanded less formal education [18]. This shift necessitates continuous learning and adaptation by the workforce, leading to the acquisition of new skills. Upskilling is seen as a response to the evolving demands of the labour market, encouraging workers to enhance their capabilities to remain competitive [19].

In healthcare laboratories, upskilling is crucial as professionals need to keep pace with rapid technological advancements. For instance, the integration of molecular diagnostics and genetic testing requires laboratory technicians to develop new competencies in these advanced techniques [24]. Continuous education and professional development programs are essential to equip laboratory workers with the necessary skills to leverage new technologies effectively.

However, critics argue that upskilling is a capitalistic tool designed to create a flexible, knowledgeable workforce that can adapt to precarious employment conditions without challenging the underlying systems of control [11]. This creates a pool of employees who are multifunctional and capable of performing various tasks but are not necessarily empowered to use their creativity or challenge the status quo [20].

Impact on healthcare laboratories

The healthcare sector, particularly in laboratory settings, faces unique challenges in balancing the effects of deskilling and upskilling. While advanced technologies can streamline operations and improve diagnostic accuracy, they also require a workforce that is proficient in both traditional laboratory skills and modern technological competencies. For example, laboratory technicians must be adept at using automated analyzers and LIMS while maintaining a strong understanding of manual diagnostic techniques [25]. Healthcare organizations must invest in continuous education and training to ensure that their staff can navigate this complex landscape effectively.

Furthermore, there is a need to address the potential ethical and professional implications of relying heavily on technology. Laboratory professionals must be equipped to critically evaluate and use technology in ways that enhance rather than replace their diagnostic skills and judgment [26]. This calls for a holistic approach to professional development that integrates technical training with a

focus on analytical thinking, problem-solving, and ethical decision-making.

Methodology

This research is based on a comprehensive literature review and a survey conducted among healthcare laboratory professionals. The survey method was chosen for its ability to efficiently gather data from a wide and diverse group of respondents, providing a broad perspective on the impact of sophisticated technology on worker skills. An online survey was particularly suitable as it allowed easy distribution through email, social media, and professional networks, ensuring accessibility for participants regardless of their geographic location. The survey aimed to assess the impact of sophisticated technology on worker skills, focusing on both deskilling and upskilling. It included questions on demographic information, types of technology used, the impact on day-to-day tasks, experiences with deskilling and upskilling, and the availability and effectiveness of training programs. The survey was distributed to a diverse group of laboratory professionals, including technicians, scientists, and managers. Data were collected over two weeks, with 200 responses analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends and patterns.

Survey design

A survey consisting of ten questions was developed to capture the following information:

- Demographic information about the respondents.
- The types of sophisticated technology used in their laboratories and their familiarity with these technologies.
- The impact of technology on their day-to-day tasks and the necessity of traditional laboratory skills.
- Experiences of deskilling due to automation and advanced technology.
- The requirement for upskilling to operate new technologies.
- The availability and effectiveness of training and professional development programs.
- Overall job satisfaction related to the adoption of sophisticated technology.

Survey implementation

The survey was created using an online tool and distributed to healthcare laboratory professionals through email, social media, and professional networks. The survey link was shared with individuals working in various roles within the laboratory, including technicians, scientists, and managers, to ensure a diverse range of responses.

Data collection and analysis

The survey was open for responses over a period of two weeks, during which data was collected and monitored. A total of 200 responses were received. The responses were then analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends and patterns in the data. The findings from the survey were integrated with the literature review to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of sophisticated technology on worker skills in healthcare laboratories.

Results

Demographic information

The demographic information of the survey respondents is summarized in Table 1. This includes job titles and years of experience, which provide context for the analysis of technology's impact on their skills.

Job Title/Role	**Percentage**
Laboratory Technologist	40%
Scientist	35%
Manager	15%
Other Roles	10%
Years of Experience	**Percentage**
Less than 5 years	20%
5-10 years	30%
10-15 years	25%
More than 15 years	25%

Table 1: Demographic Information.

Technology adoption

The adoption of various technologies by the survey respondents is presented in Table 2. This includes the types of technology used in their laboratories and their familiarity with these technologies.

Types of Technology	Percentage
Automated Analyzers	90%
Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS)	85%
Molecular Diagnostics Tools	75%
Familiarity with Technology (Scale 1-5)	Average Rating
	4.2

Table 2: Technology Adoption.

Impact on skills

The impact of technology on the day-to-day tasks and traditional skills of the respondents is summarized in Table 3. This includes how technology has improved efficiency and accuracy, and its effect on traditional laboratory skills.

Impact on Day-to-Day Tasks	Percentage
Improved Efficiency and Accuracy	70%
Impact on Traditional Skills (Scale 1-5)	Percentage
Decreased (Rating 1 or 2)	60%
Remained the Same (Rating 3)	30%
Increased (Rating 4 or 5)	10%

Table 3: Impact on Skills.

Deskilling

- **Reduction in Traditional Skills**: 65% of respondents reported experiencing a reduction in the use of traditional laboratory skills due to automation.
- **Specific Skills Affected**: Skills such as manual blood analysis and manual data entry were the most affected.

Upskilling

- **Need for New Skills**: 80% of respondents indicated that they needed to acquire new skills or knowledge to operate advanced technology.
- **New Skills Acquired**: The new skills included proficiency in operating automated analyzers and understanding molecular diagnostics techniques.

Training and development

The availability and effectiveness of training and professional development programs are summarized in Table 4. This includes the percentage of respondents who have access to training and their ratings of its effectiveness.

Availability of Training	Percentage
Yes	75%
No	25%
Effectiveness of Training (Scale 1-10)	Average Rating
	3.8

Table 4: Training and Development.

Overall impact

Job satisfaction

The adoption of sophisticated technology had a positive impact on job satisfaction for 65% of respondents (rating of 4 or 5), while 20% felt it remained the same (rating of 3), and 15% felt it decreased (rating of 1 or 2).

Discussion

Deskilling

- **Reduction in Traditional Skills**: Deskilling as experienced by 65% of respondents involves the diminished use of manual and technical skills traditionally associated with laboratory work. Tasks like manual blood analysis and data entry are increasingly automated, reducing the reliance on human intervention [22,23].
- **Examples**: Manual preparation and staining techniques once critical for certain types of analyses are now often replaced by automated systems that perform these tasks more efficiently.

Upskilling

- **Need for New Skills**: The need for new skills reported by 80% of respondents indicates a significant shift towards more complex competencies. Upskilling involves learning to operate ad-

vanced diagnostic tools, understanding molecular diagnostics, and developing computer literacy [17,24].

- **New Skills Acquired**: Proficiency in molecular diagnostics, understanding the principles of AI applications in diagnostics, and the ability to manage and interpret complex data from automated systems are key areas for upskilling [24].
- **Opportunities**: The need for new skills presents opportunities for professional growth and development. Laboratory personnel must continuously update their knowledge and competencies to keep pace with technological advancements.

Training and development

- **Improving Training Programs**: Feedback from the survey suggests that while training is generally available, its effectiveness can be enhanced. Tailoring training programs to address specific needs and providing ongoing support can help maximize their impact [25].
- **Importance of Continuous Learning**: Organizations must invest in comprehensive training programs that cover both the technical aspects of new technologies and the critical thinking skills required to use them effectively. Continuous education ensures that laboratory workers remain adaptable and competent in their evolving roles [26].

Overall job satisfaction

- **Maintaining Satisfaction**: To maintain and improve job satisfaction, it is essential to support laboratory workers through technological transitions. This includes providing adequate training, fostering a positive work environment, and recognizing the contributions of both human skills and technological tools.
- **Addressing Concerns**: Addressing the concerns of those who feel less satisfied due to technological changes involves understanding their challenges and providing solutions that enhance their comfort and proficiency with new systems.

Conclusion

The adoption of sophisticated technology in healthcare laboratories has a profound impact on worker skills, highlighting the dual forces of deskilling and upskilling. While technology streamlines operations and improves diagnostic accuracy, it also necessitates continuous learning and adaptation. Balancing the benefits of technological advancements with the need to maintain essential laboratory skills is crucial for fostering a competent and satisfied workforce.

Addressing the concerns of workers who feel less satisfied due to technological changes involves understanding their challenges and providing solutions that enhance their comfort and proficiency with new systems. To maintain and improve job satisfaction, it is essential to support laboratory workers through technological transitions by providing adequate training, fostering a positive work environment, and recognizing the contributions of both human skills and technological tools.

Future research should explore the long-term implications of these changes and develop strategies to mitigate the adverse effects of deskilling while promoting effective upskilling practices. This approach will ensure that healthcare laboratories can fully leverage technological advancements while maintaining high standards of practice and worker satisfaction.

Limitations of the Study

The study has several limitations, including potential survey response bias and a sample size that may not fully represent the diversity of all healthcare laboratory professionals. The focus on specific technologies might overlook other relevant advancements, and the rapid pace of technological change means the findings may not reflect future trends. The assessment of training program effectiveness lacks detail on program quality, and the study does not deeply explore ethical implications or regional and institutional differences in technological adoption. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the study provides only a snapshot in time, highlighting the need for longitudinal research to understand long-term impacts.

Acknowledgement

We thank all participants for supporting us in this study.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest according to the authors.

Bibliography

1. Chirinko RS., *et al*. "A new approach to estimating production function parameters: the elusive capital–labor substitution elasticity". *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 29.4 (2011): 587-594.

2. Bratton J. "Introduction to work and organizational behaviour". London: MacMillan (2015).

3. Marx K. "O Capital". Sao Paulo: Boitempo (2013).

4. Bhardwaj S. "Technology and the up-skilling or deskilling conundrum". *WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs* 12.2 (2013): 245-253.

5. The Economist. "The third industrial revolution" (2012).

6. Bughin J., et al. "Skill shift: Automation and the future of the workforce". New York: McKinsey (2018).

7. Brougham D and Haar J. "Employee assessment of their technological redundancy". *Labour and Industry: A Journal of the Social and Economic Relations of Work* 27.3 (2017): 213-231.

8. Topol EJ. "High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence". *Nature Medicine* 25.1 (2019): 44-56.

9. Brugger F and Gehrke C. "Skilling and deskilling: technological change in classical economic theory and its empirical evidence". *Theory and Society* 47.5 (2018): 663-689.

10. Braverman H. "Labor and Monopoly Capital: The Degradation of Work in the Twentieth Century". New York: Monthly Review Press (1998).

11. Bell S E., *et al*. "Manipulated Masculinities: Agribusiness Deskilling and the Rise of the Businessman-Farmer in the United States". *Rural Sociology* 80.3 (2015): 285-313.

12. Ikeler P. "Deskilling emotional labour: Evidence from department store retail". *Work, Employment and Society* 30.6 (2016): 966-983.

13. Taylor FW. "Scientific Management". Abingdon: Routledge (2004).

14. DeWinter J., *et al*. "Taylorism 2.0: Gamification, scientific management, and the capitalist appropriation of play". *Journal of Gaming and Virtual Worlds* 6.2 (2014): 10-127.

15. Cirillo V. "Technology, employment, and skills". *Economics of Innovation and New Technology* 26.8 (2017): 734-754.

16. Modestino A S., *et al*. "Upskilling: do employers demand greater skill when workers are plentiful?" *Review of Economics and Statistics* (2015): 1-46.

17. Davies HC and Eynon R. "Is digital upskilling the next generation our 'pipeline to prosperity'?" *New Media and Society* 20.11 (2018): 3961-3979.

18. Esposto A. "Upskilling and polarisation in the Australian labour market: a simple analysis". *Australian Bulletin of Labour* 37.2 (2011): 191.

19. Kessler S. "AMAZON" (2019).

20. Buntin MB., *et al*. "The benefits of health information technology: a review of the recent literature shows predominantly positive results". *Health Affairs* 30.3 (2011): 464-471.

21. Menachemi N and Collum T H. "Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems". *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy* 4 (2011): 47-55.

22. Kruse C S., *et al*. "Challenges and opportunities of big data in health care: a systematic review". *JMIR Medical Informatics* 4.4 (2016): e38.

23. Gagnon M P., *et al*. "Systematic review of factors influencing the adoption of information and communication technologies by healthcare professionals". *Journal of Medical Systems* 36.1 (2012): 241-277.

24. Friedberg MW., *et al*. "Factors affecting physician professional satisfaction and their implications for patient care, health systems, and health policy". *Rand Health Quarterly* 3.4 (2013).

25. Topol E J. "High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence". *Nature Medicine* 25.1 (2019): 44-56.