

Volume 8 Issue 7 July 2025

# Antibiotic Resistance and Pathogenicity of Staphylococcus aureus

## Subhankari Prasad Chakraborty<sup>1</sup> and Mohammad Ali Khan<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, Ramananda College, Bishnupur, Bankura-722 122, West Bengal, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ramananda College, Bishnupur, Bankura-722 122, West Bengal, India

\*Corresponding Author: Mohammad Ali Khan, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Ramananda College, Bishnupur, Bankura-722 122, West Bengal, India.

Received: May 15, 2025 Published: June 16, 2025 © All rights are reserved by Subhankari Prasad Chakraborty and Mohammad Ali Khan.

## Abstract

*Staphylococcus aureus* can cause a wide range of infections ranging from minor skin abscesses to more serious invasive diseases. The development and spread of bacterial strains that are resistant to antibacterial drugs has emerged as a global problem. The appearance of antibiotic resistant bacteria over the past decades has been regarded as an inevitable genetic response to the strong selective pressure imposed by antimicrobial chemotherapy, which plays a crucial role in the evolution of antibiotic resistant bacteria.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus; Antibiotic Resistant

Staphylococcus aureus, a major cause of potentially life-threatening infections acquired in healthcare and community settings, has developed resistance to most classes of antimicrobial agents. Penicillin was the first choice of antibiotics to treat staphylococcal infection. In 1944, by destroying the penicillin by penicillinase (beta-lactamase), S. aureus become resistant to penicillin. Most of the *S. aureus* strains (≥90%) are resistant to penicillin [1]. Methicillin, a semisynthetic penicillins was used to treat penicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus but resistance finally emerge in 1962 [2]. Methicillin-resistance in *S. aureus* is mediated by the presence of penicillin-binding protein 2a (PBP-2a) which is expressed by an exogenous gene, mecA. This gene is carried by a genetic element, designated as staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec), which is inserted near the chromosomal origin of replication. High prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in hospitals has been reported from many states of India. Methicillin resistance among *S. aureus* isolates has reached phenomenal proportions in Indian hospitals, with some cities reporting 70% of the strains to be resistant to methicillin [3]. Vancomycin continues to be an important antimicrobial agent for treatment of MRSA infections but resistance finally emerges. In 1996, a *S. aureus* strain with intermediate resistance to vancomycin (VISA) (vancomycin MIC= 8  $\mu$ g/ml) was first isolated from a patient in Japan. Shortly afterward, VISA strains were isolated in USA, Europe and other Asian countries, arousing considerable concern regarding the emergence of *S. aureus* strains for which there will be no effective therapy. Characterization of these VISA strains indicates that the mechanisms of resistance are complex and involve changes in cell wall content and composition [4].

In June 2002, the world's first reported clinical infection due to *S. aureus* with high resistance to vancomycin (VRSA) (vancomycin MIC>128  $\mu$ g/ml) was diagnosed in a patient in the USA. This isolate contain the *vanA* genes from enterococci and the methicillinresistance gene *mecA*. The possible emergence and dissemination of VRSA strains is a serious health threat and makes it absolutely necessary to optimize prevention strategies and fast detection methods [5]. Till today only six VRSA have been found all over the world, first in USA in 2002, second in Michigun in 2002, third in Pennsylvania in 2002, fourth in New York in 2004, fifth in New York in 2005, and the sixth in India in 2005 [6].

Citation: Subhankari Prasad Chakraborty and Mohammad Ali Khan. "Antibiotic Resistance and Pathogenicity of *Staphylococcus aureus*". Acta Scientific Microbiology 8.7 (2025): 27-28.

*Staphylococcus aureus* expresses a wide array of secreted and cell surface associated virulence factors to help evade immune responses. *S. aureus* were able to survive within phagocytic cells both in polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN) and monocytes. In order to survive and induce infection, pathogenic bacteria have to cope with their changing environment, as well as continuous attacks of the host anti-microbial defense system [7].

The generation and release of toxic reactive oxygen species by phagocytic cells is thought to be an important component of the host's immunity against bacterial infection. Reactive oxygen intermediates are part of the oxygen dependent bactericidal mechanisms that the phagocytic cell employs. After engulfment of bacteria by professional phagocytes the induction of highly microbicidal reactive oxygen metabolites during the oxidative burst occurs, resulting in killing. Monocyte derived macrophages produce a large amount of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  in response to heat killed S. aureus. Catalase has been suggested to protect S. aureus [8]. However, the exact mechanism by which bacteria combat oxidative burst during phagocytosis to enable intracellular survival remains unclear. Other studies have indicated that production of catalase correlates to virulence of S. aureus and other microorganism. It was proposed that Cu-Zn SOD could offer an important advantage in survival within host cells to bacteria expressing high levels of these enzymes. The interaction of S. aureus with murine macrophages and the contribution of catalase and SOD in intracellular persistence of S. aureus within murine macrophages during in vitro infection was reported [6]. Previous studies have shown that both bovine and mammary epithelial cells and human endothelial cells internalize S. aureus and subsequently undergo apoptosis. Further studies are needed to understand the molecular mechanisms by which S. aureus replicates intracellularly and induces apoptosis. Hence, the investigation of S. aureus induced oxidative damage in lymphocytes may achieve importance to reflect the immune response during staphylococcal infection.

### **Declaration of Interest**

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this paper.

### **Bibliography**

- Neu HC. "The crisis in antibiotic resistance". Science 257 (1992): 1064-1073.
- 2. Livermore DM. "Antibiotic resistance in staphylococci". *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 16 (2001): 3-10.
- Rajaduraipandi K., *et al.* "Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus: A multicentre study". *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 24 (2006): 34-38.
- Hiramatsu K., *et al.* "Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clinical strain with reduced vancomycin susceptibility". *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 40 (1997): 135-146.
- Sievert DM., et al. "Staphylococcus aureus resistant to vancomycin-United States". Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 51 (2002): 565-567.
- Saha B., et al., "Identification and characterization of a vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from Kolkata (South Asia)". *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 57 (2002): 72-79.
- 7. Foster TJ. "Immune evasion by staphylococci". *Nature Review Microbiology* 3 (2005): 948-958.
- Mandell GL. "Catalase, superoxide dismutase and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. In vitro and in vivo studies with emphasis on staphylococcal-leukocyte interaction". *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 55 (1975): 561-566.