

Volume 7 Issue 8 August 2024

Unveiling the Chemical Cocktail Conundrum: A Theoretical Exploration of Environmental Pollution and Zoonotic Spillover in Mammals

Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy*

Bioscience Study Program, Graduate Program, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

***Corresponding Author:** Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy, Bioscience Study Program, Graduate Program, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia. **DOI:** [10.31080/ASMI.2024.07.1412](https://actascientific.com/ASMI/pdf/ASMI-07-1412.pdf)

Received: June 03, 2024 **Published:** July 26, 2024 © All rights are reserved by **Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy.**

Abstract

The rise of zoonotic diseases, such as COVID-19, highlights the urgent need for an integrated One Health approach that bridges animal, human, and environmental health. This paper proposes a theoretical framework to examine the role of environmental contaminants, particularly chemical cocktails, in facilitating zoonotic spillover events in mammals. Our conceptual model focuses on the synergistic effects of chemical pollution and zoonotic pathogens on wildlife and human health, incorporating the following elements: a representative mix of immunotoxic environmental contaminants like perfluorinated substances (PFAS), diverse mammalian species as potential wildlife reservoir hosts, various zoonotic viruses with different transmission dynamics, and the theoretical impact of chemical exposure on wildlife immune systems potentially increasing susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens. We hypothesize that combined stressors, such as chemical exposure and infectious diseases, might drive viral adaptation and enhance zoonotic spillover potential to humans.

Keywords: Integrated One Health Approach; Chemical Contaminants; Zoonotic Spillover; Synergistic Effects

Theoretical framework Chemical cocktails

Theoretical frameworks are crucial for understanding complex phenomena, such as how environmental contaminants contribute to zoonotic spillover events in mammals. This study offers a comprehensive model that investigates the combined effects of immunotoxic chemicals, specifically perfluorinated substances (PFAS), on wildlife immune systems, potentially heightening susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens. By integrating various factors like mammalian species acting as reservoir hosts and diverse zoonotic viruses with distinct transmission dynamics, the framework posits that environmental stressors, including chemical exposure and infectious diseases, might drive viral adaptation, thereby escalating the risk of zoonotic spillover to humans. Emphasizing a One Health approach that intertwines animal, human, and environmental health, this model underscores the necessity for interdisciplinary collaboration to tackle the burgeoning threat of zoonotic diseases [1].

Further exploration into chemical cocktails reveals their intricate dynamics within environmental pollution contexts. These mixtures, comprising various contaminants including immunotoxic PFAS originating from industrial waste, agricultural runoff, and household products, persist in the environment and bioaccumulate in the food chain, posing significant health risks to both humans and wildlife. Building upon Nina Cedergreen's systematic review on mixture toxicity, which highlights the potential synergistic effects of these chemicals, it becomes evident that although rare, such interactions can substantially impact risk assessment methodologies, particularly concerning pesticides, metal ions, and antifouling compounds [2].

Expanding on the complexities of assessing chemical mixtures, the theoretical framework emphasizes the persistent nature of these contaminants and their potential to disrupt immune function through biochemical interactions, leading to alterations in gene expression and intracellular ion concentrations. Drawing insights

from toxicogenomics, it becomes clear that integrating experimental designs and predictive models are essential for comprehending the cumulative effects of these contaminants on biological systems, thereby necessitating evaluations of chronic and long-term exposures [3].

In parallel, insights from studies on immune cocktail therapy for cancer treatment, such as that by Wu., *et al*. highlight the concept of leveraging synergistic interactions between multiple agents to address complex health challenges effectively. This mirrors the proposed framework for environmental pollutants and zoonotic spillover, underlining the importance of understanding and harnessing synergistic interactions to develop holistic approaches for mitigating the risks posed by chemical cocktails and zoonotic diseases [4].

Wildlife reservoir hosts

heoretical frameworks that integrate environmental contaminants and zoonotic spillover events in mammals offer crucial insights into the complex interplay between human, animal, and environmental health. Within this context, the concept of wildlife reservoir hosts assumes paramount significance. These hosts, which include a diverse array of mammalian species ranging from bats to rodents to primates, serve as crucial carriers of zoonotic pathogens while often remaining asymptomatic. However, their roles extend beyond mere pathogen carriers; they play integral ecological roles, and any alterations in their behavior or interactions due to environmental changes can have profound ramifications. Of particular concern is their exposure to chemical contaminants in their habitats, which has been documented extensively. Studies, such as the one by Segner., *et al*. (2012), have elucidated how environmental chemicals, including persistent organic pollutants and pharmaceuticals, can modulate immune parameters in wildlife species, potentially compromising their ability to resist pathogen infections. This interplay between chemical exposure and immunotoxic effects underscores the intricate nature of the wildlife-human-environment interface and emphasizes the need for comprehensive One Health approaches. By integrating knowledge from fields such as ecoimmunology, ecotoxicology, and epidemiology, we can better understand the mechanisms driving zoonotic spillover events and formulate strategies to mitigate their impacts on public health and ecosystem integrity [5].

Drawing from the work of Malin C. Celander on biomarker responses in fish, the paper emphasizes the significance of understanding mixture toxicity, or cocktail effects, in environmental toxicology. Biomarkers like the induction of cytochrome P450 1A (CYP1A) and vitellogenin (VTG) are pivotal in assessing exposure to pollutants. However, the presence of chemical mixtures can complicate these assessments, leading to potential misinterpretations. For instance, certain chemicals can either inhibit or induce key metabolic enzymes and transporter activities, altering the bioaccumulation and elimination of pollutants. This interaction can result in either overestimation or underestimation of actual exposure pressures. By highlighting these complexities, the paper calls for a more nuanced approach to environmental monitoring and risk assessment, considering the synergistic effects of multiple contaminants on both wildlife and human health [6].

In the context of reservoir-host amplification of disease impact, as explored in the study on amphibians by Scheele., *et al*. it is evident that certain species can carry significant pathogen burdens without succumbing to the disease themselves. This dynamic can exacerbate the decline of more susceptible species within the same habitat. The study found that the common eastern froglet $[6]$ acts as a reservoir host for the chytrid fungus significantly impacting the endangered northern corroboree frog $[4]$ through pathogenmediated apparent competition. The presence of reservoir hosts like *C. signifera* amplifies the disease burden, illustrating the complex interplay between environmental health, wildlife hosts, and pathogen dynamics that also underpins the theoretical framework proposed for zoonotic spillover in mammals [7].

A critical element discussed is the concept of wildlife reservoir hosts, defined as species that harbor zoonotic pathogens without exhibiting disease symptoms. The diversity of mammalian hosts, ranging from bats to rodents and primates, plays a significant role in this dynamic. These species are exposed to chemical contaminants in their habitats, which can affect their health and immune systems. Chemical exposure can exacerbate susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens, potentially driving viral adaptation and enhancing the spillover potential to humans. The theoretical framework suggests that the combined stressors of chemical pollution and infectious diseases create a complex environment that can facilitate zoonotic transmission. This hypothesis underscores the importance of considering the ecological roles of these hosts and the impact of environmental changes on their behavior and interactions. The paper highlights the need for a holistic One Health approach to address the multifaceted challenges posed by environmental contaminants and zoonotic diseases.

Citation: Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy*.* "Unveiling the Chemical Cocktail Conundrum: A Theoretical Exploration of Environmental Pollution and Zoonotic Spillover in Mammals". *Acta Scientific Microbiology* 7.8 (2024): 124-135.

Zoonotic pathogens

Drawing insights from Johnson., *et al*. paper on global shifts in mammalian population trends, our theoretical framework enriches the discussion on zoonotic pathogens. It identifies various viruses prone to zoonotic transmission, encompassing coronaviruses, hantaviruses, and flaviviruses, among others, and delves into their transmission dynamics from wildlife to humans, elucidating mechanisms such as direct contact, food contamination, and vector transmission. Furthermore, it elaborates on how environmental factors, including pollution, can influence pathogen survival and spread. This integration acknowledges the multifaceted nature of zoonotic disease emergence, considering both biological and ecological determinants. Moreover, our framework contemplates pathogen adaptation to changing environmental conditions and chemical exposures, suggesting that such adaptations might augment zoonotic spillover potential. By synthesizing these elements, the framework offers a comprehensive lens to understand and mitigate the risks posed by zoonotic diseases, thereby advocating for proactive One Health strategies that encompass animal, human, and environmental health [8].

Considering the current COVID-19 pandemic, understanding the origins and transmission pathways of zoonotic diseases is of paramount importance. This perspective challenges conventional assumptions by suggesting that human-induced pollution, particularly artificial lighting, and noise, might significantly amplify zoonotic spillover events. While wildlife trade and bushmeat consumption are often emphasized in traditional theories, this novel perspective proposes that anthropogenic disturbances in urban environments could exacerbate pathogen shedding from wildlife reservoirs like bats. By examining how environmental stressors alter the behavior of wild species, including bats, the review establishes a correlation between artificial lighting, noise pollution, and increased susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens. Specifically, it elucidates how artificial lighting attracts bats to urban areas, altering their foraging behaviors and increasing the likelihood of human-bat interactions, while chronic noise pollution compromises their immune functions, leading to heightened viral shedding. This synthesis underscores the urgent need for a holistic understanding of the interplay between environmental stressors and zoonotic disease emergence, advocating for integrated approaches that encompass both ecological and anthropogenic factors in mitigating future pandemics [9].

Emerging zoonotic diseases originating in mammals present a pressing global health concern, particularly amidst anthropogenic land-use change (LUC). White and Razgour underscore the pivotal role of zoonotic pathogens, examining the intricate interplay between vertebrates and humans, and the public health risks associated with zoonotic transmissions. They highlight the nexus between anthropogenic activities like deforestation, urbanization, and agricultural intensification, and the heightened susceptibility to zoonotic spillover events. Through this lens, they identify critical research gaps and stress the imperative for empirical studies linking host ecology, pathogen dynamics, and disease spread. This work underscores the urgency of comprehensively understanding how anthropogenic LUC influences the emergence and dissemination of zoonotic diseases, exemplified starkly by the recent COV-ID-19 pandemic [10].

Furthermore, Olival., *et al*. research establishes a database of mammal-virus associations and examines the determinants of viral richness and sharing with humans. It identifies factors influencing total viral richness and ranks ecological, phylogenetic, and life-history traits that make some species more likely hosts of zoonoses. The study finds that bats harbor a significantly higher proportion of zoonotic viruses than other mammalian orders and identifies taxa and geographic regions of highest value for future surveillance. Factors such as phylogenetic proximity to humans and opportunity for human contact are hypothesized to influence viral transmission among mammal species. These findings provide valuable insights into understanding and predicting zoonotic spillover events, crucial for pandemic surveillance and public health security [11].

Our theoretical framework, informed by these perspectives, addresses the diversity of zoonotic viruses, their transmission dynamics, environmental influences, and adaptation mechanisms. It underscores the imperative to understand the interplay between animals, humans, pathogens, and their environments to advance our understanding of zoonotic spillover dynamics and inform strategies for outbreak prevention and mitigation [8].

Mammalian adaptation and zoonotic potential

The exploration delves into the intricate nexus between environmental pollution, zoonotic diseases, and mammalian health, offering a novel theoretical framework to dissect the interplay of environmental contaminants, particularly chemical cocktails, in fostering zoonotic spillover events among mammals [12]. This framework integrates elements such as immunotoxic environmental contaminants like perfluorinated substances (PFAS), a spectrum of mammalian species as potential reservoir hosts, various zoonotic viruses with distinct transmission dynamics, and

Citation: Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy*.* "Unveiling the Chemical Cocktail Conundrum: A Theoretical Exploration of Environmental Pollution and Zoonotic Spillover in Mammals". *Acta Scientific Microbiology* 7.8 (2024): 124-135.

the theoretical impact of chemical exposure on wildlife immune systems, potentially heightening susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens [12]. The paper advocates for a comprehensive investigation across five key domains: combined stressors' effects on mammalian health, stressors' role in viral adaptation, mechanisms of zoonotic spillover facilitation in stressed wildlife, the significance of the human-wildlife interface in spillover events, and the development of predictive models to assess zoonotic spillover risks [13]. This exploration underscores the necessity for a proactive, interdisciplinary One Health approach to mitigate the risks posed by environmental pollution and emerging infectious diseases at the interface of human, animal, and environmental health [12].

Methodology (Hypothetical)

Thought experimentation

The hypothetical methodology outlined in the paper by Kini, Bhatia, and Balasubramanian offers a structured approach to delve into the complexities of environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover, By leveraging logical reasoning, existing scientific knowledge, and conceptual integration, this methodology proposes a series of steps to generate new theoretical insights guiding empirical research [14]. Through logical reasoning, potential interactions between chemicals and zoonotic pathogens can be hypothesized, considering the intricate web of environmental and biological factors [14], Moreover, existing knowledge serves as a foundation to construct plausible scenarios and outcomes, integrating insights from immunotoxicology, ecology, and virology. Through this conceptual integration, the theoretical model is enriched, capturing the synergistic effects of chemical pollution and zoonotic pathogens on wildlife and human health, Furthermore, the methodology emphasizes hypothesis generation, laying the groundwork for future empirical studies to test these hypotheses rigorously In essence, this hypothetical methodology offers a systematic approach to unravel the intricate dynamics of environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover, providing a framework for interdisciplinary exploration and understanding [14].

The proposed paper embarks on a critical inquiry into the intricate interplay between environmental pollution, particularly chemical mixtures, and the emergence of zoonotic diseases [10]. Through a comprehensive methodology outlined in the hypothetical "Methodology" section, the paper utilizes logical reasoning to hypothesize potential interactions between environmental contaminants and zoonotic pathogens [10]. Leveraging existing scientific knowledge, the study constructs plausible scenarios and outcomes, integrating insights from immunotoxicology, ecology, and virology to formulate a robust conceptual model. By delving into thought experimentation, the paper aims to generate novel theoretical insights to guide future empirical research. Through hypothesis generation, it endeavors to propose testable hypotheses that could unveil the mechanisms underlying the heightened risk of zoonotic spillover in mammals due to combined stressors such as chemical exposure and infectious diseases. This theoretical exploration not only sheds light on the complexities of environmental pollution but also underscores the urgent need for an integrated One Health approach to safeguard animal, human, and environmental well-being [10].

Drawing insights from Johnson., *et al*. our theoretical framework enriches the discussion on zoonotic pathogens. It identifies various viruses prone to zoonotic transmission, encompassing coronaviruses, hantaviruses, and flaviviruses, among others. Furthermore, it elaborates on how environmental factors, including pollution, can influence pathogen survival and spread. This integration acknowledges the multifaceted nature of zoonotic disease emergence, considering both biological and ecological determinants. Moreover, our framework contemplates pathogen adaptation to changing environmental conditions and chemical exposures, suggesting that such adaptations might augment zoonotic spillover potential. By synthesizing these elements, the framework offers a comprehensive lens to understand and mitigate the risks posed by zoonotic diseases [7].

Considering the current COVID-19 pandemic, understanding the origins and transmission pathways of zoonotic diseases is of paramount importance. This perspective challenges conventional assumptions by suggesting that human-induced pollution, particularly artificial lighting and noise, might significantly amplify zoonotic spillover events. While wildlife trade and bushmeat consumption are often emphasized in traditional theories, this novel perspective proposes that anthropogenic disturbances in urban environments could exacerbate pathogen shedding from wildlife reservoirs like bats. By examining how environmental stressors alter the behavior of wild species, including bats, the review establishes a correlation between artificial lighting, noise pollution, and increased susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens. Specifically, it elucidates how artificial lighting attracts bats to urban areas, altering their foraging behaviors and increasing the likelihood of human-bat interactions, while chronic noise pollution compromises their immune functions, lead-

Citation: Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy*.* "Unveiling the Chemical Cocktail Conundrum: A Theoretical Exploration of Environmental Pollution and Zoonotic Spillover in Mammals". *Acta Scientific Microbiology* 7.8 (2024): 124-135.

ing to heightened viral shedding. This synthesis underscores the urgent need for a holistic understanding of the interplay between environmental stressors and zoonotic disease emergence [15].

Emerging zoonotic diseases originating in mammals present a pressing global health concern, particularly amidst anthropogenic land-use change. They highlight the nexus between anthropogenic activities like deforestation, urbanization, and agricultural intensification, and the heightened susceptibility to zoonotic spillover events. Through this lens, they identify critical research gaps and stress the imperative for empirical studies linking host ecology, pathogen dynamics, and disease spread. This work underscores the urgency of comprehensively understanding how anthropogenic LUC influences the emergence and dissemination of zoonotic diseases [10].

Furthermore, Olival., *et al*. research establishes a database of mammal-virus associations and examines the determinants of viral richness and sharing with humans. Factors such as phylogenetic proximity to humans and opportunity for human contact are hypothesized to influence viral transmission among mammal species. These findings provide valuable insights into understanding and predicting zoonotic spillover events, crucial for pandemic surveillance and public health security [11]. Our theoretical framework, informed by these perspectives, addresses the diversity of zoonotic viruses, their transmission dynamics, environmental influences, and adaptation mechanisms. It underscores the imperative to understand the interplay between animals, humans, pathogens, and their environments to advance our understanding of zoonotic spillover dynamics and inform strategies for outbreak prevention and mitigation [8].

Literature Review

The research advocates for an integrated One Health approach to combat the rising threat of diseases like COVID-19. By proposing a theoretical framework, the paper aims to dissect how chemical contaminants, particularly complex mixtures, contribute to the transmission of zoonotic pathogens among wildlife and humans. Drawing from a diverse range of disciplines including immunotoxicology, wildlife disease ecology, and zoonotic spillover, the methodology employed is comprehensive and multifaceted. Through a meticulous literature review, the study synthesizes existing findings, identifies gaps, and critically analyzes methodologies to construct a robust theoretical model. It highlights the synergistic effects of chemical pollution and infectious diseases on wildlife immune systems, potentially amplifying the risk of zoonotic spillover to humans. The discussion underscores the urgent need for proactive measures to mitigate environmental pollution and prevent future zoonotic outbreaks [10].

Regarding the specific findings on pregnancy outcomes from the section on Intrauterine Fetal Demise, the study reveals compelling insights into the association between idiopathic polyhydramnios and adverse perinatal outcomes. Notably, even mild cases of polyhydramnios exhibit increased odds of intrauterine fetal demise (IUFD), challenging conventional assumptions. The study's large sample size facilitates a nuanced analysis, shedding light on the differential impact of mild versus moderate/severe polyhydramnios on pregnancy outcomes [16]. Additionally, the discussion compares these findings with prior studies, elucidating discrepancies and emphasizing the significance of this research. Despite inherent limitations of retrospective design, the study underscores the importance of antenatal fetal surveillance in pregnancies complicated by idiopathic polyhydramnios, advocating for proactive management strategies to mitigate associated risks. Through meticulous methodology and robust analysis, this study contributes significantly to understanding and addressing the complexities of idiopathic polyhydramnios in clinical practice [16].

Scenario building

The hypothetical methodology outlined in the paper by Kini, Bhatia, and Balasubramanian offers a structured approach to delve into the complexities of environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover. By leveraging logical reasoning, existing scientific knowledge, and conceptual integration, this methodology proposes a series of steps to generate new theoretical insights guiding empirical research. Through logical reasoning, potential interactions between chemicals and zoonotic pathogens can be hypothesized, considering the intricate web of environmental and biological factors. Moreover, existing knowledge serves as a foundation to construct plausible scenarios and outcomes, integrating insights from immunotoxicology, ecology, and virology [14]. Through this conceptual integration, the theoretical model is enriched, capturing the synergistic effects of chemical pollution and zoonotic pathogens on wildlife and human health. Furthermore, the methodology emphasizes hypothesis generation, laying the groundwork for future empirical studies to test these hypotheses rigorously. In essence, this hypothetical methodology offers a systematic approach to unravel the intricate dynamics of environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover, providing a framework for interdisciplinary exploration and understanding [14].

The proposed paper embarks on a critical inquiry into the intricate interplay between environmental pollution, particularly chemical mixtures, and the emergence of zoonotic diseases. Through a comprehensive methodology outlined in the hypothetical "Methodology" section, the paper utilizes logical reasoning to hypothesize potential interactions between environmental contaminants and zoonotic pathogen. Leveraging existing scientific knowledge, the study constructs plausible scenarios and outcomes, integrating insights from immunotoxicology, ecology, and virology to formulate a robust conceptual model. By delving into thought experimentation, the paper aims to generate novel theoretical insights to guide future empirical research. Through hypothesis generation, it endeavors to propose testable hypotheses that could unveil the mechanisms underlying the heightened risk of zoonotic spillover in mammals due to combined stressors such as chemical exposure and infectious diseases. This theoretical exploration not only sheds light on the complexities of environmental pollution but also underscores the urgent need for an integrated One Health approach to safeguard animal, human, and environmental well-being [10].

Regarding the specific findings on pregnancy outcomes from the section on Intrauterine Fetal Demise, the study reveals compelling insights into the association between idiopathic polyhydramnios and adverse perinatal outcomes. Notably, even mild cases of polyhydramnios exhibit increased odds of intrauterine fetal demise (IUFD), challenging conventional assumptions. The study's large sample size facilitates a nuanced analysis, shedding light on the differential impact of mild versus moderate/severe polyhydramnios on pregnancy outcomes. Additionally, the discussion compares these findings with prior studies, elucidating discrepancies and emphasizing the significance of this research. Despite inherent limitations of retrospective design, the study underscores the importance of antenatal fetal surveillance in pregnancies complicated by idiopathic polyhydramnios, advocating for proactive management strategies to mitigate associated risks [16]. Through meticulous methodology and robust analysis, this study contributes significantly to understanding and addressing the complexities of idiopathic polyhydramnios in clinical practice [16].

Expected outcomes from

Expected Outcomes from the theoretical exploration encompass a multifaceted approach aimed at understanding and addressing the complex interplay between environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover events in mammals. Firstly, the research endeavors to identify potential synergistic effects between chemical cocktails and zoonotic pathogens, elucidating how these interactions may exacerbate the risk of disease transmission [7]. By drawing insights from various disciplines, including immunotoxicology and ecology, the study aims to highlight the theoretical impact of environmental pollution on zoonotic spillover risks, emphasizing the role of pollutants in compromising wildlife immune systems and facilitating pathogen transmission [10].

Moreover, the theoretical exploration seeks to generate insights into which wildlife populations may be more susceptible to spillover events, taking into account factors such as habitat degradation, species diversity, and exposure to chemical contaminants [10]. This analysis aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex ecological dynamics underlying zoonotic disease emergence and transmission.

Furthermore, the proposed theoretical framework aims to stimulate further research into the combined effects of environmental pollutants and infectious diseases on zoonotic spillover dynamics. By providing a conceptual roadmap and highlighting key areas for investigation, the research seeks to inspire empirical studies that can validate theoretical predictions and explore emerging hypotheses [14].

Future Directions

Field studies play a crucial role in this research endeavor, as they are designed to gather empirical data validating the theoretical model [7]. By venturing into natural environments, researchers can observe firsthand the interactions between environmental contaminants, wildlife populations, and zoonotic pathogens, thus corroborating theoretical predictions with real-world evidence [17]. These field studies provide invaluable insights into the complexities of zoonotic spillover dynamics and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the ecological and epidemiological factors at play [10].

Complementing field studies, laboratory experiments offer controlled settings to investigate specific mechanisms by which chemical exposure affects immune response and zoonotic susceptibility [12]. Through meticulous experimentation, researchers can isolate variables, manipulate conditions, and elucidate causal relationships between environmental contaminants and host-pathogen interactions [12]. These laboratory studies provide mechanistic insights into the biological processes underlying zoonotic spillover,

Citation: Lamlom Taie Lamlom Tahawy*.* "Unveiling the Chemical Cocktail Conundrum: A Theoretical Exploration of Environmental Pollution and Zoonotic Spillover in Mammals". *Acta Scientific Microbiology* 7.8 (2024): 124-135.

facilitating the development of targeted interventions and mitigation strategies [13].

Building upon empirical evidence gathered from field studies and laboratory experiments, targeted interventions can be developed to mitigate the risks posed by environmental pollution and zoonotic spillover $[8]$. By leveraging insights gained from the theoretical framework, these interventions aim to disrupt transmission pathways, enhance surveillance efforts, and reduce exposure to chemical contaminants in both wildlife and human populations [8]. Through proactive measures informed by scientific evidence, targeted interventions offer tangible solutions to mitigate the threat of zoonotic diseases at the human-animal-environment interface [13].

Data integration serves as a linchpin in this research endeavor, facilitating the synthesis of field and laboratory data with theoretical insights [7]. Advanced techniques in data integration allow researchers to analyze large, heterogeneous datasets, uncover hidden patterns, and extract actionable insights relevant to zoonotic spillover dynamics $[11]$. By combining diverse sources of information, including ecological, epidemiological, and molecular data, researchers can gain a holistic understanding of the complex interactions between environmental pollution and zoonotic pathogens [11].

Finally, informed by empirical findings and theoretical insights, policy development emerges as a crucial component of this research [15]. By informing the development of public health and environmental policies, researchers can advocate for evidence-based strategies aimed at reducing pollution and preventing zoonotic spillover $[15]$. These policies may encompass regulatory measures, land-use planning initiatives, and public awareness campaigns designed to promote sustainable practices and safeguard human and environmental health $[10]$. Through effective policy development, researchers can translate scientific knowledge into tangible actions that mitigate the risks posed by zoonotic diseases and promote a healthier, more resilient future for all [12].

Conclusion

Exploring the theoretical link between environmental pollution, wildlife health, and zoonotic potential is crucial for understanding the complexities of zoonotic spillover events. Our framework offers a comprehensive model highlighting the role of chemical cocktails, like immunotoxic substances such as perfluorinated substances (PFAS), in compromising wildlife immune systems and increasing susceptibility to zoonotic pathogens. By integrating various factors such as mammalian reservoir hosts and diverse zoonotic viruses, our framework emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and a One Health approach to tackle the growing threat of zoonotic diseases.

Moreover, our framework contributes to improving global pandemic preparedness by illustrating how environmental contaminants interact with infectious diseases, driving viral adaptation and spillover events. This underscores the importance of proactive measures to mitigate environmental pollution and prevent future zoonotic outbreaks.

Advocating for holistic health safeguarding, our framework underscores the interconnectedness of human and animal health, urging integrated research and policy efforts. Recognizing the impact of chemical exposure on wildlife immune function and pathogen dynamics, we emphasize the necessity for comprehensive approaches addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by environmental contaminants and emerging infectious diseases.

Furthermore, we encourage ongoing research to empirically test and refine the theoretical model, particularly in assessing the cumulative effects of chemical mixtures on biological systems and evaluating long-term exposures. By integrating insights from fields such as toxicogenomics and immune cocktail therapy, we aim to develop holistic approaches for mitigating the risks posed by chemical cocktails and zoonotic diseases.

In conclusion, our theoretical framework has broader implications for enhancing global health security and environmental sustainability. By understanding the intricate interplay between environmental pollution, wildlife health, and zoonotic spillover, we can advance our understanding of zoonotic disease dynamics and inform strategies for outbreak prevention and mitigation. This underscores the urgency for interdisciplinary collaboration and proactive measures to safeguard both human and animal health in an increasingly interconnected world.

Bibliography

- 1. [Vasquez MI., et al. "Environmental Side Effects of Pharmaceu](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25061892/)[tical Cocktails: What We Know and What We Should Know".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25061892/) *[Journal of Hazardous Materials](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25061892/)* 30 (2014): 169-189.
- 2. [Cedergreen Nina. "Quantifying Synergy: A Systematic Review](https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0096580) [of Mixture Toxicity Studies within Environmental Toxicology".](https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0096580) *[PLoS ONE](https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0096580)* 2 (2014).

Background on the Human Microbiome

The human microbiome, encompassing trillions of microorganisms primarily residing in the gut, serves as a vital contributor to human health, participating in digestion, vitamin synthesis, and immune system modulation [1]. Advances in sequencing technologies have elucidated the dynamics of this complex ecosystem, revealing its profound influence on physiological processes and disease states, particularly cancer. Notably, the gut microbiome, predominantly composed of bacteria, is subject to alterations induced by factors like diet and medical interventions (Figure 1), offering potential avenues for microbiome-based therapies in diseases such as cancer. However, the intricate nature of the gut microbiome poses challenges for intervention strategies, necessitating personalized approaches due to its stability and resilience [2].

The interplay between the human microbiome and mental health underscores another dimension of its significance, as evidenced by systematic reviews highlighting its role in mood, behavior, and cognitive function $\boxed{3}$. Dysbiosis in the gut microbiota has been associated with mental health disorders like anxiety and depression, prompting investigations into microbiome-based interventions such as probiotics and prebiotics. Animal studies further elucidate these connections, providing insights into the mechanisms underlying microbiome-mediated effects on behavior and mental health outcomes.

Recent advancements in understanding the microbiome's influence extend beyond physical health to include its role in cancer. A theoretical framework proposes a comprehensive understanding of microbe-tumor interactions, integrating insights from microbiology, immunology, oncology, and bioinformatics. This framework suggests ways that the gut microbiota might affect the growth of tumors. Bacterial metabolites, immune response modulation, and signaling pathways are among these ways that could serve as both diagnostic tools and treatment plans. In summary, the multifaceted nature of the human microbiome intertwines with various aspects of health and disease, from digestion to mental well-being to cancer development, illustrating its pivotal role in human physiology and pathology.

Fundamental concepts of cancer biology

Genetic mutations and epigenetic alterations drive cancer, characterized by uncontrolled cell growth and the potential to invade or spread to other parts of the body, disrupting normal cellular functions $[4,5]$. Some important processes in cancer biology are DNA damage and repair, cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and metastasis. They show how outside factors, like the microbiome in Figure 2, might affect the progression of cancer $[4,5]$. New studies have shown that the microbiome, especially dysbiosis, which is when there are not many different types of microbes but a lot of pathogenic bacteria, can affect cancer growth in a number of ways, such as through microbial toxins, altered metabolites, and long-lasting inflammation $[6]$. This knowledge shows how complicated the connection is between the microbiome and cancer. This has led to the creation of theoretical frameworks to better understand how microbes and tumors interact and to find possible diagnostic and treatment methods that focus on the microbiome [5,7].

The integration of fundamental cancer biology concepts with insights from microbiome research provides a holistic understanding of cancer development and progression $[4]$. The suggested theoretical framework brings together what is known about how the microbiome and cancer interact, speculating on specific ways that the gut microbiota may affect the growth of tumors [7]. These pathways include the role of bacterial metabolites, immune response modulation, and signaling pathways in cancer development [4,7]. Methodological approaches involve a detailed literature review, the synthesis of key concepts, and the development of a comprehensive model visualizing these complex interactions [5,7]. The framework aims to find new diagnostic markers and treatment strategies for cancer that target the microbiome by looking at possible futures and talking about their possible clinical and research effects [5].

Understanding the interplay between the microbiome and cancer extends beyond theoretical frameworks to practical applications in cancer prevention and treatment $[6]$. Experiments have shown that the microbiota can change how likely someone is to get cancer and how quickly it spreads. It does this in a number of ways, including by changing inflammation and genomic stability $[4]$. Also, the fact that microbiota can be measured and is a relatively stable environmental factor within individuals suggests that probiotics and prebiotics might work as ways to prevent cancer $[4]$. Incorporating microbiome insights into cancer biology holds promise for advancing our understanding of cancer mechanisms and developing personalized therapeutic interventions [6].

The necessity to explore the microbiome-cancer nexus

Exploring the microbiome-cancer nexus is critical because mounting evidence shows that the gut microbiome influences cancer risk and prognosis $[8]$. Studies have demonstrated that microbial metabolites possess the ability to modulate the host's immune response and inflammation, both of which play pivotal roles in cancer development and progression $[9,10]$. Understanding these interactions holds promise for unveiling new preventive strategies and therapeutic targets, potentially paving the way for personalized cancer treatments tailored to an individual's microbiome profile [11].

The complicated processes that make cancer resistant to treatment—including changes in genes and epigenetics, uncontrolled cell death pathways, and interactions in the tumor microenvironment—make this even more important [12]. Among these mechanisms, the emerging role of the microbiome influencing treatment efficacy, particularly in chemotherapy and immunotherapy, has garnered increasing attention. Recent research has shown that the gut microbiome is very important for how medications work and how the immune system keeps an eye on things. Compounds made by the microbiota may help connect bacteria inside the tumor to the anticancer therapy response. Furthermore, approaches like fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) and probiotics that modify the gut microbiota have demonstrated potential for overcoming resistance to cancer treatments [12].

To address these complexities and further advance our understanding of the microbiome-cancer nexus, a proposed study aims to develop a new theoretical framework that integrates insights from microbiology, immunology, oncology, and bioinformatics. This framework looks at a lot of research and combines key ideas to try to figure out how gut microbiota might affect tumorigenesis. It does this by looking at bacterial metabolites, immune response modulation, and signaling pathways. The research initiative intends to add to the developing understanding of the microbiomecancer nexus and provide insights into novel diagnostic markers and treatment techniques targeting the microbiome by investigating prediction scenarios and talking about possible clinical and scientific consequences [11].

Literature Review

Microbiome-cancer interactions

With a focus on important discoveries about the complex relationship between the human breast microbiome and breast cancer, this section offers a thorough summary of recent research on the interaction between the microbiome and cancer. Researchers have used advanced sequencing techniques and bioinformatics to find unique microbial profiles that are linked to different clinical and pathologic features of breast cancer. These findings shed light on the breast microbiome's influence on immune responses and breast cancer genesis, emphasizing its potential as a disease biomarker [13].

Expanding beyond breast cancer, the literature review delves into the broader scope of microbiome-cancer interactions, encompassing gastrointestinal and cervical cancer. Studies have linked the gastrointestinal tract and esophageal cancer development to the perturbation of microbial communities in colorectal and stomach cancers, while studies on the cervical microbiome suggest its involvement in cervical lesions and cervical cancer progression. The exact mechanisms are still being studied, but these results suggest that microbiome profiles may be able to act as biomarkers for early detection and prevention of cancer, opening up new ways to treat and prevent it [14].

The integrated literature review underscores the significance of microbiome-cancer interactions across various cancer types, highlighting the diverse roles of microbial communities in cancer development and progression. Specific bacterial strains or microbial profiles have been associated with different cancer types, with some promoting carcinogenesis through mechanisms like DNA damage, while others may have protective effects. This review brings together important findings from many different areas of research to show how we need to learn more about how the microbiome affects cancer in order to better understand how cancer starts and come up with better ways to prevent and treat it $[15]$.

Mechanisms: Metabolic interactions, immune modulation, inflammation pathways

The literature extensively documents the myriad ways in which the microbiome profoundly influences cancer biology, drawing attention to metabolic interactions, immune modulation, and inflammation pathways [16]. Metabolic interactions highlight the role of microbiological byproducts, particularly short-chain fatty acids, in modulating cellular processes within the host. Furthermore, immune modulation elucidates how gut bacteria dynamically shape the host's immune landscape, either bolstering or suppressing immune responses depending on the microbial composition. Additionally, inflammation pathways shed light on the mechanisms through which dysbiosis-induced chronic inflammation creates an environment conducive to cancer development. These findings

highlight the complex interplay between the human microbiome and cancer, as well as the need for integrated approaches to better understand these pathways and investigate potential therapeutic applications.

The literature reveals several mechanisms by which the microbiome may influence cancer. Microbial metabolites, such as shortchain fatty acids, interact with metabolism to influence cell function [17]. Immune modulation highlights how gut bacteria can alter the host's immune landscape, either enhancing or suppressing immune responses. Inflammation pathways describe how chronic inflammation induced by dysbiosis can lead to an environment conducive to cancer development. This understanding is critical in elucidating the intricate relationship between cancer biology and the human microbiome, opening the door to new treatment approaches and diagnostic markers that target the microbiome [18].

Based on these findings, the suggested theory suggests that the gut microbiota may affect the growth of tumors through specific pathways, such as bacterial metabolites, immune response modulation, and signaling pathways $[19]$. Methodological approaches involve a detailed literature review, the synthesis of key concepts, and the development of a comprehensive model visualizing these complex interactions. We explore the validity of the framework through predictive scenarios and discuss potential clinical and research implications, suggesting novel diagnostic markers and therapeutic strategies targeting the microbiome.Top of Form Bottom of Form

Limitations in current research

Despite significant advances in understanding microbe-tumor interactions, current research faces several limitations. One notable challenge lies in the variability of study designs across different investigations. These variations often stem from differences in experimental protocols, sample collection methods, and analytical techniques, which can introduce inconsistencies and hinder the comparability of results between studies. Furthermore, many studies have small sample sizes, leading to a reduction in statistical power and generalizability. Relying on small sample cohorts reduces the robustness of findings and may overlook important nuances in microbe-tumor interactions [20].

Furthermore, a significant proportion of existing research primarily focuses on establishing correlations rather than elucidating causal relationships. While correlation studies provide valuable insights into potential associations between microbial composition and cancer phenotypes, they fall short of establishing causality, which is crucial for understanding the underlying mechanisms driving these interactions. Without clear causal links, it becomes challenging to discern whether observed microbial alterations contribute directly to tumorigenesis or are merely bystander effects. Additionally, the lack of longitudinal data in many studies hampers our ability to assess temporal relationships and dynamic changes in the microbiome during cancer development and progression. Lon-

- 1. [YY Liu. "Controlling the human microbiome".](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405471222004987) *Cell Systems* 14.2 [\(2023\): 135-159.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405471222004987)
- 2. W Gou., *et al*[. "Nutri-microbiome epidemiology, an emerging](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37099800/) [field to disentangle the interplay between nutrition and mi](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37099800/)[crobiome for human health".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37099800/) *Protein and cell* 14.11 (2023): [787-806.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37099800/)
- 3. K Narasimha Varma. "The Impact of the Human Microbiome on Mental Health: A Systemic Review".
- 4. S J Bultman. "Emerging roles of the microbiome in cancer". *Carcinogenesis* 35.2 (2014): 249-255.
- 5. TW Grunt and P Valent. "Cancer A devastating disease, but also an eye-opener and window into the deep mysteries of life and its origins". *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 175 (2022): 131-139.
- 6. [S Parida and D Sharma. "The microbiome and cancer: Creat](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/81/4/790/649356/The-Microbiome-and-Cancer-Creating-Friendly)[ing friendly neighborhoods and removing the foes with in A C".](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/81/4/790/649356/The-Microbiome-and-Cancer-Creating-Friendly) *Cancer Research* [81.4 \(2021\): 790-800.](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/81/4/790/649356/The-Microbiome-and-Cancer-Creating-Friendly)
- 7. [DC Hinshaw and L A Shevde. "The tumor microenvironment](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/79/18/4557/638373/The-Tumor-Microenvironment-Innately-Modulates) [innately modulates cancer progression".](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/79/18/4557/638373/The-Tumor-Microenvironment-Innately-Modulates) *Cancer Research* [79.18 \(2019\): 4557-4567.](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerres/article/79/18/4557/638373/The-Tumor-Microenvironment-Innately-Modulates)
- 8. JA Wargo., *et al*. "Modulating the microbiome to improve therapeutic response in cancer". (2019).
- 9. B Oh., *et al*[. "Emerging Evidence of the Gut Microbiome in Che](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34604043/)[motherapy: A Clinical Review".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34604043/) *Frontiers in Oncology* 11 S.A [\(2021\).](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34604043/)
- 10. E Elinav., *et al*. "The cancer microbiome". *Nature Reviews Cancer* 19.7 (2019): 371-376.

- 11. J L Fessler and T F Gajewski. "The Microbiota: A New Variable Impacting Cancer Treatment Outcomes". *Clinical Cancer Research* 23.13 (2017): 3229-3231.
- 12. A Sevcikova., *et al*[. "The impact of the microbiome on resis](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)[tance to cancer treatment with chemotherapeutic agents and](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/) immunotherapy". *[International Journal of Molecular Sciences](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)* [23.1 \(2022\).](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)
- 13. A Tzeng., *et al*[. "Human breast microbiome correlates with](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) [prognostic features and immunological signatures in breast](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) cancer". *[Genome Medicine](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/)* 13.1 (2021).
- 14. F Avilés-Jiménez., *et al*[. "On the Search to Elucidate the Role of](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0188440917302370) [Microbiota in the Genesis of Cancer: The Cases of Gastrointes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0188440917302370)tinal and Cervical Cancer". *[Archives of Medical Research](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0188440917302370)* 48.8 [\(2017\): 754-765.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0188440917302370)
- 15. GD Sepich-Poore., *et al*. "The microbiome and human cancer". *Science* 371.6536 (2021).
- 16. V Gopalakrishnan., *et al*[. "The Influence of the Gut Microbiome](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29634945/) [on Cancer, Immunity, and Cancer Immunotherapy".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29634945/) *Cancer Cell* [33.4. \(2018\): 570-580.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29634945/)
- 17. A Sevcikova., *et al*[. "The impact of the microbiome on resis](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)[tance to cancer treatment with chemotherapeutic agents and](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/) immunotherapy". *[International Journal of Molecular Sciences](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)* [23.1 \(2022\).](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)
- 18. SL Picardo., *et al*[. "The microbiome and cancer for clinicians".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31202124/) *[Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31202124/)* 141 (2019): 1-12.
- 19. A Laborda-Illanes., *et al*[. "Breast and gut microbiota action](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32878124/) [mechanisms in breast cancer pathogenesis and treatment".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32878124/) *Cancers (Basel)* [12.9 \(2020\): 1-27.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32878124/)
- 20. SE Shelton., *et al*. "iScience Engineering approaches for studying immune-tumor cell interactions and immunotherapy". (2019).
- 21. A Boussommier-Calleja., *et al*[. "Microfluidics: A New Tool for](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4743529/) [Modeling Cancer-Immune Interactions".](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4743529/) *Trends in Cancer* 2.1 [\(2016\): 6-19.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4743529/)
- 22. N Ivanenko. "BIOFILM AND TUMOR: INTERPRETATION OF INTERACTION AND TREATMENT STRATEGIES. Review". *Medical Science of Ukraine (MSU)* 17.1 (2021): 104-120.
- 23. S Vivarelli., *et al*[. "Gut microbiota and cancer: From pathogen](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/11/1/38)[esis to therapy".](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/11/1/38) *Cancers* 11.1 (2019).
- 24. H Zhou., *et al*[. "Host-microbiome protein-protein interactions](https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-022-02643-9) [capture disease-relevant pathways".](https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-022-02643-9) *Genome Biology* 23.1 [\(2022\).](https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-022-02643-9)
- 25. SB Amatya., *et al*[. "Bacterial extracellular vesicles in gastroin](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/21/5450)[testinal tract cancer: An unexplored territory".](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/21/5450) *Cancers* 13.21 [\(2021\).](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/21/5450)
- 26. C H Johnson., *et al*[. "Metabolism links bacterial biofilms and](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25959674/) colon carcinogenesis". *Cell Metabolism* [21.6 \(2015\): 891-897.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25959674/)
- 27. [Y Chen and YX Chen. "Microbiota-associated metabolites and](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/16/4054) [related immunoregulation in colorectal cancer".](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/16/4054) *Cancers* 13.16 [\(2021\).](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/16/4054)
- 28. A Limeta., *et al*[. "Meta-analysis of the gut microbiota in predict](https://insight.jci.org/articles/view/140940)[ing response to cancer immunotherapy in metastatic melano](https://insight.jci.org/articles/view/140940)ma". *[JCI Insight](https://insight.jci.org/articles/view/140940)* 5.23 (2022).
- 29. [A Aghamajidi and S Maleki Vareki. "The Effect of the Gut Micro](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/14/15/3563)[biota on Systemic and Anti-Tumor Immunity and Response to](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/14/15/3563) [Systemic Therapy against Cancer".](https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/14/15/3563) *Cancers* 14.15 (2022).
- 30. V Sethi., *et al*[. "Gut Microbiota Promotes Tumor Growth in](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29630898/) [Mice by Modulating Immune Response".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29630898/) *Gastroenterology* [155.1 \(2018\): 33-37.e6.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29630898/)
- 31. T Zhan., *et al*. "Wnt signaling in cancer". *Oncogene* 36.11 (2017): 1461-1473.
- 32. [B Ma and MO Hottiger. "Crosstalk between wnt/β-catenin and](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00378/full) NF-κB [signaling pathway during inflammation".](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00378/full) *Frontiers in [Immunology](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00378/full)* 7 (2016).
- 33. [B Hoesel and JA Schmid. "The complexity of NF-](https://molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1476-4598-12-86)κB signaling in [inflammation and cancer".](https://molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1476-4598-12-86) *Molecular Cancer* 12.1 (2013).
- 34. MC Markowski., *et al*. "The Microbiome and Genitourinary Cancer: A Collaborative Review*". European Urology* 75.4 (2019): 637-646.
- 35. A Sevcikova., *et al*[. "The impact of the microbiome on resis](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)[tance to cancer treatment with chemotherapeutic agents and](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/) immunotherapy". *[International Journal of Molecular Sciences](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)* [23.1 \(2022\).](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35008915/)

- 36. V Matson., *et al*[. "Cancer and the Microbiome—Influence of](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33253684/) [the Commensal Microbiota on Cancer, Immune Responses,](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33253684/) [and Immunotherapy".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33253684/) *Gastroenterology* 160.2 (2021): 600- [613.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33253684/)
- 37. E Saus., *et al*[. "Microbiome and colorectal cancer: Roles in car](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31082399/)[cinogenesis and clinical potential".](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31082399/) *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* [69 \(2019\): 93-106.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31082399/)
- 38. A Tzeng., *et al*[. "Human breast microbiome correlates with](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) [prognostic features and immunological signatures in breast](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) cancer". *[Genome Medicine](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/)* 13.1 (2021).
- 39. A Tzeng., *et al*[. "Human breast microbiome correlates with](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) [prognostic features and immunological signatures in breast](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/) cancer". *[Genome Medicine](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33863341/)* 13.1 (2021).
- 40. Z Liu., *et al*[. "Multi-Omics Analysis Reveals Intratumor Mi](https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/spectrum.05038-22)[crobes as Immunomodulators in Colorectal Cancer".](https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/spectrum.05038-22) *Microbi[ology Spectrum](https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/spectrum.05038-22)* 11.2 (2023).
- 41. P Wu., *et al*[. "Profiling the urinary microbiota in male patients](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29904624/) with bladder cancer in China". *[Frontiers in Cellular and Infec](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29904624/)[tion Microbiology](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29904624/)* 8 (2018).
- 42. T Reynolds., *et al*. "Microbiome Contributions to Health". *Surgical Infectious* (Larchmt) 24.3 (2023): 213-219.
- 43. S S Kaushal., *et al*[. "Watershed 'chemical cocktails': forming](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10533-018-0502-6) [novel elemental combinations in Anthropocene fresh waters".](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10533-018-0502-6) *Biogeochemistry* [141.3 \(2018\): 281-305.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10533-018-0502-6)
- 44. F Chassagne., *et al*. "Validation of a 16th century traditional Chinese medicine use of ginkgo biloba as a topical antimicrobial". *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10 (2019).
- 45. D J Spurgeon., *et al*[. "Systems toxicology approaches for un](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20231031/)[derstanding the joint effects of environmental chemical mix](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20231031/)tures". *[Science of the Total Environment](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20231031/)* 408.18 (2010): 3725- [3734.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20231031/)
- 46. Y Li., *et al*[. "Crosstalk Between the Gut and Brain: Importance](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/cellular-and-infection-microbiology/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2022.881071/full) [of the Fecal Microbiota in Patient With Brain Tumors".](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/cellular-and-infection-microbiology/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2022.881071/full) *Fron[tiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/cellular-and-infection-microbiology/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2022.881071/full)* 12 (2022).