

## Motions of the Gyroscope with one Side Support

**Ryspek Usubamatov\****Kyrgyz State Technical University After I. Razzakov, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan***\*Corresponding Author:** Ryspek Usubamatov, Kyrgyz State Technical University After I. Razzakov, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan.**Received:** March 21, 2022**Published:** June 29, 2022© All rights are reserved by **Ryspek Usubamatov.****Abstract**

The scientific innovations are the result of painstaking work accompanied by successes and omissions that is a normal process of human activity. The first edition of the theory of gyroscope effects for rotating objects is a typical example of such a statement. This theory contains incorrect processing of the integral equation for inertial torques generated by centrifugal forces. The torques of centrifugal forces act around two axes that give the slow and fast gyroscope motion around axes where the first one is impossible to measure. The validation of the theory was conducted by the measurement of the fast motion of the gyroscope around another axis whose value is not changed. The corrected mathematical model for the torques of centrifugal forces gives decreased angular velocity around one axis that is compensated by the increased dependency of the angular velocities about two axes. This manuscript shows the corrected mathematical models for motions of the gyroscope with one side support.

**Keywords:** Gyroscope Theory; Inertial Torque; Angular Velocity; Mathematical Model**Introduction**

The gyroscopic effects did not have solutions for a long time and known publications had simplified mathematical models [1-4]. The separate solution of the gyroscope problems did not give an answer to their physics [5-8]. Researchers tried to solve gyroscope problems over two centuries [9-11]. The recent theory of gyroscope effects for rotating objects yields the expressions for the system of inertial torques generated by the centrifugal, Coriolis forces, and the change in the angular momentum of the spinning objects [12]. This theory is a breakthrough but contains incorrect processing of the integral equation for inertial torques generated by centrifugal forces. The system of inertial torques is interrelated by the dependency of the angular velocities of gyroscope motions around two axes. The motions of the gyroscope around the two axes are different. The processed angular motion is measured by laboratory instruments and is bigger than the angular motion around another axis [13-16]. The slow rotation of the gyroscope does not measure because of the dynamical process drop of the revolutions of the gyroscope rotor, and technical problems.

The new theory of gyroscope effects for rotating objects contains incorrect processing of the integral equation for inertial torques generated by centrifugal forces. The torques of centrifugal forces act around two axes that give the slow and fast gyroscope motion around axes. The corrected mathematical model for the torques of centrifugal forces gives decreased angular velocity around one axis that is compensated by the increased dependency of the angular velocities about two axes. This is the reason for the validation of the theory that was conducted by the measurement of the fast motion of the gyroscope around another axis whose value is not changed. The corrected system of the inertial torques and the dependency of the angular velocities of the gyroscope is presented in Table 1 whose expressions are used for mathematical models of motions of the gyroscope with one side support.

Table 1 Inertial torques of the gyroscope of horizontal disposition and the dependency of the angular velocities.



Parameters	Mass, kg	Moment of inertia around axis ox and oy, J kg.m <sup>2</sup>
Spinning rotor with shaft, m <sub>r</sub>	0,1159	$J = m_r R^2 / 2 = 0,5726674 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis oz)
Gyroscope, M	0,146	$J_{iM} = (2/3)m_r r_f^2 + m_r l^2 + (m_r R^2 / 4) + m_r l^2 = 2,284736 \times 10^{-4}$
Centre beam with journals and screw, b	0,028	$J_{bx} = m_b r_b^2 / 2 = 0,00224 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis ox) $J_{by} = m_b l_b^2 / 12 = 0,2105833 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis oy)
Mass E = M + b	0,174	$J_x = J_{xM} + J_{bx} = 2,286976 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis ox) $J_y = J_{xM} + J_{by} = 2,495319 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis ox)
Bar with screws, b <sub>s</sub>	0,067	$J_{bs} = m_{bs} l_{bs}^2 / 12 = 0,51456 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis oy)
Arm, a	$2 \times 0,009 = 0,018$	$J_a = m_a r_a^2 / 2 + m_a l_a^2 = 0,41553 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis oy)
Total A = M + b + b <sub>s</sub> + a	0,259	$J_y = J_{yM} + J_b + J_{bs} + J_a = 3,38437 \times 10^{-4}$ (around axis oy)
The coefficients of the sliding friction of supports and pivots are $f = 0,1$ and $f_c = 0.3$ . The speed of the gyroscope's rotor is 10000 rpm. $d = 4,24$ mm, $d_c = 9$ mm.		

**Table 2:** Technical data of the test stand with Super Precision Gyroscope, "Brightfusion LTD" [16].

Solution of Eq. (4) and Eq. (3) gives the angular velocities of rotation  $\omega_x$  and  $\omega_y$ , respectively.

$$\int_0^{\omega_x} \frac{d\omega_x}{0,01838089 - \omega_x} = -21154,571 \int_0^t dt \dots\dots(9)$$

**Working example**

Substituting technical data of Table (2) into Eq. (4) for motion of the gyroscope with one side support yields:

$$2,86976 \times 10^{-4} \frac{d\omega_x}{dt} = 0,146 \times 9,81 \times 0,0355 - 0,174 \times 9,81 \times \frac{0,00424 \times 0,1}{2} - \left(\frac{4\pi^2 + 8}{9}\right) \times 0,5726674 \times 10^{-4} \times 10000 \times \frac{2\pi}{60} \omega_x - (8\pi^2 + 17) \times 0,5726674 \times 10^{-4} \times 10000 \times \frac{2\pi}{60} \omega_x \times \left[ 1 - \frac{0,259 \times 9,81 \times \left(\frac{0,009}{2}\right) \times 0,3}{\left(\frac{4\pi^2 + 9}{9}\right) \times 0,5726674 \times 10^{-4} \times 10000 \times \frac{2\pi}{60} \omega_x} \right] \dots\dots(6)$$

Where all parameters are as specified above.

Simplification and transformation of Eq. (6) yields:

$$4,72711055 \times 10^{-5} \frac{d\omega_x}{dt} = 0,01838089 - \omega_x \dots\dots(7)$$

Separating variables and transformation for this differential equation gives the following:

$$\frac{d\omega_x}{0,01838089 - \omega_x} = -21154,571t \dots\dots(8)$$

Transformation and presentation of the obtained equation by the integral form with defined limits yield the following:

The left integral of Eq. (9) is presented by the tabulated integral.

$$\int \frac{dx}{x \mp a} = \ln|x \mp a| + C$$

The right integral is simple and integrals have the following solution.

$$\ln(0,01838089 - \omega_x) \Big|_0^{\omega_x} = -21154,571t \Big|_0^t$$

that give rise to the following

$$\ln \frac{0,01838089 - \omega_x}{0,01838089} = -21154,571t \dots\dots(10)$$

$$\text{Then, } \omega_x = 0,01838089 - 0,01838089 e^{-21154,571t} \dots\dots(11)$$

The second component of the right side of Eq. (11) has a small value of the high order that can be neglected. Solving of Eq. (11) gives the equations of the angular velocity for the gyroscope about axis ox and oy as the result of the action of the gyroscope weight and the frictional torques.

$$\omega_x = 0,018381 \text{ rad / s} = 1,053153^\circ / \text{s} \dots\dots(12)$$

$$\omega_y = (8\pi^2 + 17) \times 0,01838089 = 1,763772896 \text{ rad/s} = 101,056^\circ \dots\dots(13)$$

The time of one revolution around axis oy is

$$t = 360^\circ / \omega_y = 360^\circ / 101,056742^\circ / s = 3,562 s \text{ -----(14)}$$

Experimental and theoretical results of the gyroscope motions about two axes with the action of load and frictional torques on supports are represented in Table 3.

Gyroscope average parameters	Tests	Theoretical	Difference, %
Time of one revolution around axis oy, s	3,83	3,562	7.52
The angular velocity about axis ox, s		1,053°/s	

**Table 3:** Experimental and theoretical results of the gyroscope precessions.

Analysis of the results of the theoretical calculations and practical tests for the gyroscope precessions demonstrate acceptable discrepancies between them. Obtained data enable for stating the theoretical and practical results are well matched and mathematical model for the forces acting on the gyroscope and its motions are satisfied for engineering practice.

### Results and Discussion

The test results are a validation of the analytic statement that the action of the frictional forces on supports and pivots of the gyroscope decreases the value of the precession torque generated around axis oy and acting around axis ox. This fact increases the value of the precession torques around axis oy that lead to the increase of the angular velocity of the gyroscope around axis oy. The mathematical model for the torques of the gyroscope explains the physics of its motions. The result of the theoretical calculation and practical test of the gyroscope (Table 3) are almost the same for the precessed motion about axis oy. The time of the gyroscope rotation on 20° about axis ox is almost twice less than in the first publications [13,16] that did not measure due to the very small value of the angular velocity. The discrepancy of theoretical and practical results does not exceed 10% which is the recommendation of the experts [17].

### Conclusion

Mathematical modeling for gyroscopic effects is the result of painstaking work accompanied by successes and omissions

that is a normal process. The first publications of the inertial torques generated by the rotating mass of the spinning disc contains incorrect processing of the integral equation for torques generated by centrifugal forces. The mathematical mistake in the expression of the one inertial torque is not fundamental but gives a wrong calculation of gyroscope motion around one axis. The gyroscope motion around another axis does not change because incorrectness of the expression at one torque is compensated by the increased dependency of the angular velocities about two axes. The renovated mathematical model for the centrifugal inertial torque was validated by practical tests of the gyroscope motions.

### Bibliography

1. Cordeiro FJB. "The Gyroscope". Createspace, NV, USA (2015).
2. Greenhill G. "Report on Gyroscopic Theory". Relink Books, Fallbrook, CA, USA (2015).
3. Scarborough JB. "The Gyroscope Theory and Applications". Nabu Press, London (2014).
4. Weinberg H. "Gyro Mechanical Performance: the most important parameter". Analog Devices, Technical Article MS 2158 (2011): 1-5.
5. Hibbeler RC and Yap KB. "Mechanics for Engineers-Statics and Dynamics". 13<sup>th</sup> ed. Prentice Hall, Pearson, Singapore (2013).
6. Gregory DR. "Classical Mechanics". Cambridge University Press, New York (2006).
7. Taylor JR. "Classical Mechanics". University Science Books, California, USA (2005).
8. Aardema MD. "Analytical Dynamics". Theory and Application. Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York (2005).
9. Liang WC and Lee SC. "Vorticity, gyroscopic precession, and spin-curvature force". *Physical Review D* 87 (2013): 044024.
10. Crassidis JL and Markley FL. Three-Axis Attitude Estimation Using Rate-Integrating Gyroscopes". *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics* 39 (2016): 1513-1526.
11. Nanamori Y and Takahashi M. "An Integrated Steering Law Considering Biased Loads and Singularity for Control Moment Gyroscopes". AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference (2015).

12. Usubamatov R. "Inertial Forces Acting on Gyroscope". *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology* 32.1 (2018): 101-108.
13. Usubamatov R. "Theory of gyroscope effects for rotating objects". Springer, Singapore (2020).
14. Usubamatov R. "Physics of Gyroscopic Effects". *Acta Scientific Computer Sciences* 3.12 (2021): 22-25.
15. Usubamatov R. "Analysis of Motions for Gyroscope with One Side Support". *Scholar Journal of Applied Sciences and Research* 1-3 (2016): 95-103.
16. Peters CA. "Statistics for Analysis of Experimental Data". Princeton University (2001).