Acta Scientific ANATOMY

Volume 3 Issue 3 March 2024

Research Article

The Roles of Neuroplasticity in Cognitive Rehabilitation

Emmanson Emmanson Godswill[1]*, Eze Constance Nonye[2], Anthonia Bolanle Ojomo[3], Amagwula Ikechukwu Otuosorochi[4], Kindness Anyanwu[4], OLADITI Rashidat Abidemi[4], Blessing Hajo Isa[5] and Uchechukwu Gift Chinenye[6]

[1]*Department of Human Anatomy, Cross River University of Technology, Nigeria*

[2]*Department of Public Health, Federal University of Technology, Owerri*

[3]*Department of Pharmacy, Universite De Parakou*

[4]*Department of Community Health, Glasgow Caledonian University*

[5]*Department of Biochemistry, University of Jos*

[6]*Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka*

***Corresponding Author:** Emmanson Emmanson Godswill, Department of Human Anatomy, Cross River University of Technology, Nigeria.

Received: February 27, 2024

Published: March 07, 2024

Abstract

Neuroplasticity, the brain's amazing ability to change and reorganize in response to experience, is very important for cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience. This changing process helps us to learn, get better from injury, and adjust to different environments throughout life. Understanding how neuroplasticity works and what it does has big effects for making cognitive function better, reducing the effects of brain disorders, and making brain health better across the lifespan. In recent years, progress in neuroscience research has shown different sides of neuroplasticity, from shape and function changes in the brain to making new interventions using its healing power. This paper gives a complete review of neuroplasticity and its role in cognitive rehabilitation, putting together evidence from different areas of neuroscience to explain how neuroplasticity works, what it does, and how it helps in making cognitive strength and function better.

Keywords: Neuroplasticity; Cognitive Rehabilitation; Brain Plasticity; Cognitive Training; Neural Adaptation; Synaptic Plasticity; Structural Plasticity; Functional Recovery; Neurorehabilitation; Brain Health

Background

The idea of neuroplasticity questions old beliefs about the brain being fixed and shows its amazing ability to change and rewire in response to experience. Early studies in animals started to understand neuroplasticity's basic ways, showing shape and function changes in the brain after environmental enrichment, skill learning, and sensory loss. Later research in humans has supported these findings, showing neuroplastic changes after cognitive training, physical exercise, and rehabilitation interventions. Brain imaging ways, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), have given helpful insights into the brain parts of neuroplasticity, showing changes in brain shape, connection, and function related to learning, memory, and getting better from injury. These progress have helped to make new interventions using neuroplasticity to make cognitive function better, treat brain disorders, and make brain health better across the lifespan.

Objectives

The main goal of this paper is to give a complete review of neuroplasticity and its role in cognitive rehabilitation, putting together evidence from different areas of neuroscience to explain how neuroplasticity works, what it does, and how it helps in making cognitive strength and function better. Specifically, this paper wants to:

- Explore the fundamental principles of neuroplasticity, including structural and functional changes in the brain.
- Examine the mechanisms underlying neuroplasticity, including synaptic plasticity, cortical remapping, and neurogenesis.
- Investigate the applications of neuroplasticity in cognitive rehabilitation, including cognitive training, physical exercise, and multimodal interventions.

- Discuss the implications of neuroplasticity for promoting brain health and cognitive function across the lifespan.

Limitations

While this paper strives to provide a comprehensive review of neuroplasticity and its role in cognitive rehabilitation, it is not without limitations. Firstly, the scope of the review may necessitate selective inclusion of studies, potentially overlooking certain aspects of neuroplasticity research. Additionally, the interpretation of findings may be subject to inherent biases and limitations of individual studies, including sample size, study design, and methodological rigor. Moreover, the dynamic nature of neuroplasticity and its interactions with various factors, such as genetics, environment, and lifestyle, pose challenges in fully elucidating its mechanisms and implications. Despite these limitations, this paper endeavors to synthesize existing evidence and provide insights into the complex phenomenon of neuroplasticity and its significance for cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience.

Research Questions

Neuroplasticity, the capacity of the human brain to modify its structure and function in response to experience, challenges the long-held assumption that the brain is a static and immutable entity. This remarkable property of the brain has profound implications for the prevention and treatment of cognitive impairments resulting from aging, injury, or disease. Neuroplasticity also opens up new possibilities for enhancing cognitive performance and well-being throughout the lifespan.

This paper investigates the current state of knowledge on neuroplasticity and its applications to cognitive rehabilitation. It addresses the following research questions:

- What are the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mediate neuroplasticity, and how do they facilitate cognitive recovery and enhancement?
- How do different types of cognitive training programs (such as working memory, attention, executive function, etc.) induce neuroplastic changes in the brain, and what are their short-term and long-term effects on cognitive function and behavior?
- How does neuroplasticity vary across different developmental stages, from childhood to old age, and what are the implications for designing age-appropriate cognitive interventions?
- How does environmental enrichment, such as exposure to novel and stimulating stimuli, promote neuroplasticity and cognitive restoration following brain damage or neurodegeneration?
- How do individual differences in neuroplasticity, such as genetic, epigenetic, hormonal, or personality factors, influence the responsiveness and outcomes of cognitive rehabilitation interventions?
- What are the most suitable neuroimaging techniques for measuring neuroplastic changes in the brain associated with cognitive rehabilitation, and what are the advantages and limitations of each technique?
- How do neuroplastic changes in specific brain regions or networks correlate with improvements in specific cognitive domains or functions, such as memory, language, reasoning, or emotion regulation?
- What are the optimal parameters (intensity, duration, frequency) for cognitive training programs to maximize neuroplasticity and functional outcomes, and how do they vary depending on the target population, the cognitive domain, and the training modality?
- What are the neurobiological markers of successful cognitive rehabilitation, such as changes in neurotransmitter levels, neurotrophic factors, synaptic density, or neural connectivity, and how can they be used to tailor cognitive interventions to individual needs and preferences?
- How do lifestyle factors, such as diet, exercise, and sleep, influence neuroplasticity and cognitive rehabilitation outcomes, and what are the best practices for optimizing these factors in conjunction with cognitive training?
- What are the ethical issues surrounding the use of cognitive-enhancing interventions based on neuroplasticity principles, such as the potential risks, benefits, side effects, or social implications of modifying brain function?
- What are the challenges and limitations of current cognitive rehabilitation approaches, such as the lack of standardization, validation, or generalization of cognitive training programs, and how can they be overcome through advances in neuroplasticity research?
- How do pharmacological interventions, such as drugs, hormones, or neuromodulation, modulate neuroplasticity and enhance the effects of cognitive rehabilitation, and what are the optimal combinations and timings of pharmacological and cognitive interventions?

- What are the neural mechanisms underlying transfer effects from cognitive training to real-world functional tasks, such as academic, occupational, or social performance, and how can they be measured and maximized?
- What are the implications of neuroplasticity research for the development of novel interventions for neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism spectrum disorder or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and how can neuroplasticity be harnessed to improve the quality of life and outcomes of individuals with these disorders?

Litereature review

Cognitive rehabilitation interventions aim to restore or enhance cognitive function by leveraging neuroplasticity, the brain's remarkable ability to reorganize and adapt in response to experience and injury. Doidge's [1] "The Brain That Changes Itself" (2007) illustrates the transformative potential of neuroplasticity, narrating inspiring stories of personal triumph that showcase the brain's malleability across the lifespan. Cramer and Sur [12] offer a comprehensive overview of applying neuroplasticity for clinical purposes, clarifying the underlying mechanisms and principles that support cognitive rehabilitation strategies. Through a synthesis of neuroscientific evidence, they emphasize the crucial role of neuroplasticity in facilitating functional recovery following brain injury or neurological disorders. Mewborn et al. [14] performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive interventions in older adults, demonstrating the effectiveness of cognitive training programs in enhancing cognitive function across various domains. Their findings highlight the importance of personalized interventions that suit the specific cognitive needs of older adults. Diamond, Krech, and Rosenzweig's [15] seminal study on environmental enrichment and brain plasticity established the foundation for understanding the impact of environmental factors on neuroplasticity. Through careful histological examinations, they showed the structural changes in the rat cerebral cortex in response to enriched environments, indicating the potential for environmental interventions to foster neuroplastic changes. Draganski et al. [16] further revealed the neuroanatomical changes triggered by training, using neuroimaging techniques to show alterations in grey matter density following skill acquisition. Their findings indicate the dynamic nature of the brain's structure, which undergoes continual modification in response to environmental demands. Belleville et al. [17] examined the training-related brain plasticity in individuals at risk of developing Alzheimer's disease, shedding light on the neurobiological mechanisms underlying cognitive reserve and resilience against neurodegeneration. Their findings indicate the potential of cognitive training interventions in reducing cognitive decline and maintaining cognitive function in at-risk populations. Nudo [18] provided insights into the mechanisms of recovery following brain injury, clarifying the principles of neural reorganization and functional compensation that underlie post-stroke rehabilitation. Through a comprehensive synthesis of neuroscientific evidence, he highlighted the adaptive capacity of the brain to rewire and reorganize in response to injury, paving the way for innovative rehabilitation strategies. Park and Bischof [19] explored the aging mind and neuroplasticity, clarifying the neurobiological mechanisms underlying age-related cognitive decline and the potential for cognitive training interventions to mitigate these changes. Their review emphasizes the importance of lifelong learning and cognitive stimulation in preserving cognitive function and promoting healthy brain aging. Willis et al. [20] conducted a landmark study on the long-term effects of cognitive training in older adults, showing the durability of cognitive improvements following structured training interventions. Their findings emphasize the potential for cognitive training programs to induce lasting neuroplastic changes and improve everyday functional outcomes in older adults. Anguera et al. [2] examined the cognitive outcomes of video game training in older adults, revealing the potential for digital interventions to augment cognitive control and executive function. Through a robust experimental design, they evidenced the transfer effects of video game training to untrained cognitive tasks, highlighting the plasticity of cognitive abilities across the lifespan. Takeuchi and Kawashima [3] investigated the effects of processing speed training on cognitive functions and neural systems, expounding the neural mechanisms underlying cognitive training-induced enhancements in processing speed and executive function. Through neuroimaging techniques, they evidenced the structural and functional changes in the brain consequent to training-induced cognitive enhancements. Draganski and May [4] further expounded the structural changes consequent to training, utilizing voxel-based morphometry to evidence alterations in grey matter volume subsequent to skill acquisition. Their findings highlight the structural plasticity of the adult brain and its capacity for remodeling in response to environmental demands. Kelly et al. [5] performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive training interventions in older adults, revealing the cognitive and everyday functional benefits of cognitive stimulation. Through a synthesis of empirical evidence, they highlighted the potential for cognitive training programs to augment cognitive function and promote independent living in older adults. Hillman, Erickson, and Kramer [6] examined the effects of exercise on brain and cognition, expounding the neurobiological mechanisms underlying the cognitive benefits of physical activity.

Through a comprehensive review of the literature, they highlighted the multifaceted effects of exercise on brain structure, function, and cognitive performance across the lifespan. Takeuchi et al. [7] examined the effects of working memory training on structural connectivity, evidencing the neural correlates of training-induced improvements in working memory capacity. Through diffusion tensor imaging, they expounded the structural changes in white matter tracts consequent to cognitive training-induced enhancements in cognitive function. Smith et al. [8] performed a randomized controlled trial of a cognitive training program based on principles of brain plasticity, evidencing improvements in memory and attention in older adults. Through a robust experimental design, they highlighted the efficacy of plasticity-based cognitive training interventions in augmenting cognitive function and independence in older adults. Lampit, Hallock, and Valenzuela [9] performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of computerized cognitive training interventions in older adults, revealing the effect modifiers and moderators of cognitive training efficacy. Through a synthesis of empirical evidence, they highlighted the importance of individualized interventions and adaptive training algorithms in optimizing cognitive training benefits. Zatorre, Fields, and Johansen-Berg [10] examined the plasticity of grey and white matter in response to learning, expounding the structural changes in the brain consequent to skill acquisition and expertise. Through neuroimaging techniques, they evidenced the dynamic nature of brain structure and its capacity for remodeling in response to learning experiences. Lövdén et al. [11] formulated a theoretical framework for the examination of adult cognitive plasticity, integrating empirical evidence from neuroimaging, cognitive psychology, and behavioral genetics. Through a holistic approach, they highlighted the multifarious nature of cognitive plasticity and its ramifications for comprehending individual differences in cognitive aging. Stinear et al. [13] explored the functional potential in chronic stroke patients, expounding the neural mechanisms underlying motor recovery and rehabilitation. Through neurophysiological evaluations, they evidenced the significance of corticospinal tract integrity in prognosticating functional outcomes subsequent to stroke, highlighting the neuroplastic potential of the impaired brain.

This integrated literature review furnishes a comprehensive overview of the contributions of each selected reference to the domain of neuroplasticity and cognitive rehabilitation. Through a synthesis of empirical evidence, theoretical frameworks, and clinical insights, these studies collectively enhance our understanding of the mechanisms, principles, and applications of neuroplasticity in fostering cognitive resilience and augmenting functional outcomes across the lifespan.

Materials and Methods

- **Literature Search Strategy:** The literature search was done carefully across many academic databases, such as PubMed, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and Web of Science. Keywords and search terms were chosen well to cover important topics like "neuroplasticity," "cognitive rehabilitation," "brain plasticity," and "cognitive training programs." Words like AND and OR were used to make the search results better, making sure all the literature was covered. The search only looked at peer-reviewed research articles, review papers, meta-analyses, and important works published in the last 5-10 years to show recent progress in the field.
- **Selection Criteria:** Articles were checked based on some rules to find those most related to the topic of neuroplasticity and cognitive rehabilitation. Key rules included how related they were to the research focus, how well they did their methods, and how important their findings were. Only studies that clearly related to neuroplasticity mechanisms, cognitive rehabilitation interventions, neuroimaging techniques, or clinical implications were included. Same studies, non-peer-reviewed sources, and articles not in English were not included in the review.
- **Data Extraction and Synthesis:** After finding related articles, data extraction was done to get important information, such as study goals, who took part, how they did the interventions, what they measured, and what they found. Data extraction was done by two reviewers to make sure it was accurate and reliable. Any differences were solved by talking or asking a third reviewer if needed.
- After data extraction, a summary of the literature was done to put the findings from the selected articles into a clear story. Common themes, patterns, and trends across studies were found, and how different ideas were related was explored. The summary focused on putting together evidence and theories to give a complete overview of the current research in the field.
- **Analysis and Interpretation:** The summarized data were analyzed to find big themes and new insights about neuroplasticity and cognitive rehabilitation. The analysis focused on explaining key mechanisms of neuroplasticity, checking how well cognitive rehabilitation interventions worked, and looking at what they meant for clinical practice. Interpretation of findings involved thinking deeply about what the summarized

evidence meant and how it helped to understand the brain basis of cognitive function and dysfunction.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were very important throughout the literature review process. All selected articles came from good academic databases and peer-reviewed journals, making sure they followed ethical rules in doing and publishing research. No new data collection involving Humans and Animals was done as part of this review. However, ethical rules about being honest, not copying, and giving credit were followed carefully to keep the trust and quality of the review process.

Results of Findings

The combined findings from the literature review show a many-sided look at neuroplasticity and how important it is for cognitive rehabilitation, making our scientific understanding in neuroscience much better. These studies together show different sides of neuroplasticity, from basic mechanisms to useful applications in clinical settings, making the knowledge in neuroscience go further.

Norman Doidge's important work, "The Brain That Changes Itself," goes beyond just stories by explaining the amazing potential of neuroplasticity. By mixing personal successes with scientific insights, Doidge shows neuroplasticity's big effects for neurological conditions, making us appreciate brain adaptability more [1].

Cramer and Sur [12]. go through the complex landscape of neuroplasticity, giving a complete summary of its mechanisms and clinical applications. Their review not only makes clear neuroplasticity's role in getting better after injury but also starts new ways for neurological rehabilitation, moving forward our understanding and treatment ways in neuroscience.

Mewborn et al.'s systematic review and meta-analysis [14] give numbers to show how well cognitive interventions work in older adults, highlighting neuroplasticity's importance across the lifespan. By showing the cognitive benefits of fit interventions, this study makes stronger the importance of personal approaches in cognitive rehabilitation and adds numbers to neuroplasticity research.

Diamond, Krech, and Rosenzweig's important study^ [15] gives basic insights into how environmental enrichment affects brain plasticity. Their tissue analyses not only highlight neuroplasticity's response to environmental things but also start wider questions into the environmental factors of brain health and cognitive function, making the possibilities of neuroscience research bigger.

Draganski et al. [16] show neuroplasticity's structural basis through nice neuroimaging studies, finding out the brain's ability for shape changes in response to training. By explaining grey matter changes, their findings make deeper our understanding of experience-based plasticity and what it means for cognitive rehabilitation ways in neuroscience.

Belleville et al. [17] give new insights into cognitive training's brain-protecting effects, especially in people at risk of brain decay. Their study not only shows cognitive reserve mechanisms but also makes bigger the healing potential of cognitive interventions in neuroscience, making way for new ways to prevent cognitive decline.

Nudo's complete review [18] cuts up brain recovery mechanisms after injury, showing how synaptic rewiring and cortical remapping help. By explaining post-stroke rehabilitation rules, this review not only helps clinical practices but also makes new neurorehabilitation ways, making better our tools against neurological disorders.

Park and Bischof's look [19] at neuroplasticity in aging minds shows the brain basis of cognitive aging. Their insights not only highlight the plasticity ability in older adults but also make new age-specific cognitive interventions, making a change in neuroscience towards making cognitive life in aging populations better.

Willis et al.'s important study [20] on long-term cognitive training effects shows lasting cognitive benefits, questioning ideas of unavoidable cognitive decline with age. By showing continued cognitive improvements, their findings not only change aging stories but also show the big potential of cognitive interventions in neuroscience.

Anguera et al.'s new study [2] on video game training's cognitive effects shows digital interventions' promise in making cognitive control better. Their study not only starts game-like cognitive interventions but also makes new connections between neuroscience and technology, making new ways for cognitive improvement.

Takeuchi and Kawashima's look [3] at processing speed training's neuroplastic effects shows brain parts behind cognitive training gains. By connecting brain changes with cognitive improvements, their findings not only make deeper our understanding of training-based neuroplasticity but also help personal cognitive rehabilitation ways in neuroscience.

Draganski and May's study[4] on brain shape changes caused by training explains grey matter volume changes, showing neuroplasticity's shape signs. By finding out shape changes, their findings not only show brain's shape flexibility but also make new interventions using shape neuroplasticity in neuroscience.

Kelly et al.'s numbers study [5] of cognitive training interventions measures cognitive and functional gains, making cognitive interventions' success stronger. By putting together evidence, their study not only makes stronger cognitive training's healing potential but also shows its clinical importance in neuroscience, making evidence-based interventions.

Hillman, Erickson, and Kramer's summary [6] explains exercise-caused cognitive benefits, finding out brain parts behind physical activity's cognitive effects. By explaining exercise-brain links, their findings not only support active living but also help whole ways to cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience.

Takeuchi et al.'s study [7] on working memory training's shape connection effects shows training-caused white matter changes. By showing shape neuroplasticity, their study not only shows training effects on brain connection but also shows personal training ways' importance in neuroscience.

Smith et al.'s cognitive training study [8] based on brain plasticity rules shows memory and attention improvements, showing cognitive training's big potential. By showing cognitive gains, their study not only makes stronger plasticity-based interventions but also makes more questions into personal cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience.

Lampit, Hallock, and Valenzuela's numbers study [9] of computer-based cognitive training interventions explains effect changes, making cognitive training's healing picture better. By finding intervention factors, their study not only helps intervention improvement but also makes evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation ways in neuroscience.

Zatorre, Fields, and Johansen-Berg's summary [10] explains grey and white matter plasticity during learning, showing shape changes behind skill learning. By finding out shape neuroplasticity, their summary not only makes deeper our understanding of learning-caused brain changes but also makes fit interventions using shape changes in neuroscience.

Lövdén et al.'s theory [11] for adult cognitive plasticity puts together different evidence, explaining individual differences in how people age cognitively. By putting together what affects cognitive plasticity, their theory not only helps personal interventions but also makes new connections in neuroscience, making cognitive rehabilitation ways better.

Stinear et al.'s study [13] on chronic stroke patients' ability to function shows how important corticospinal tract health is for predicting outcomes. By connecting brain health with function, their study not only helps personal rehabilitation ways but also shows how important neuroimaging is in neuroscience rehabilitation.

In short, these findings together make neuroscience knowledge better by explaining neuroplasticity's complex mechanisms, showing its uses in clinics, and making new ways for cognitive rehabilitation. Each study gives helpful insights, together making our understanding of neuroplasticity's big potential across the lifespan better and making new ways for making brain health and cognitive function better.

Discussion

The combination of studies shows the many-sided nature of neuroplasticity and how important it is for cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience. These findings together explain the mechanisms behind neuroplasticity, its ability to change in response to environmental things, and its healing potential in making cognitive strength across the lifespan. However, with these progress, there are still some gaps and areas for future research, needing more study to fully use the amazing power of neuroplasticity in clinical practice.

Firstly, while studies have shown how well cognitive training interventions work in making cognitive function and everyday functioning better, there is still a need for long-term studies to check how long these effects last. Long-term studies following participants' cognitive paths after intervention would give helpful insights into the long-term benefits of cognitive training and help make lasting interventions in neuroscience.

Also, the difference of cognitive training programs and intervention ways across studies makes it hard to put together findings and make best practices. Future research should focus on making standard methods and comparing effectiveness studies to show the best factors for cognitive training interventions, including how long, how intense, and how they are done, making the findings more reliable and general in neuroscience.

Moreover, while existing research has mostly focused on cognitive interventions, there is still a need to look at different ways that combine cognitive, physical, and social interventions to make the most of neuroplasticity's healing potential. Many-sided interventions that target many areas of functioning may work together to make neuroplasticity better and make whole well-being in neuroscience populations.

Also, the moving of lab findings into real-world clinical settings is a big challenge in neuroscience research. Future studies should focus on using science methods to close the gap between research and practice, making the sharing and use of evidence-based interventions in clinical settings better.

Lastly, while a lot of progress has been made in explaining the mechanisms and rules of neuroplasticity, there is still a need for moving research to make new neuroimaging signs and brain stimulation ways for watching and changing neuroplastic changes in real-time. Progress in neuroimaging technology, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), have promise for finding out the changing link between brain shape and function and helping personal interventions in neuroscience.

Future Recommendations

With the talked about gaps and challenges, there are some future ways to make our understanding of neuroplasticity and what it means for cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience better:

- Do long-term studies to check the long-term success and lasting of cognitive training interventions in making cognitive strength and everyday functioning better across the lifespan.
- Make intervention ways and methods standard to help compare effectiveness studies and make best practices for cognitive rehabilitation in neuroscience populations.
- Look at different ways that combine cognitive, physical, and social parts to make the most of neuroplasticity's healing potential and make whole well-being.
- Focus on using science research to help the moving of evidence-based interventions from lab settings to real-world clinical practice in neuroscience.
- Do more research, to make new brain imaging signs and brain stimulation ways for watching and changing neuroplastic changes right away, helping personal interventions and making treatment work better.

By following these suggestions, future research can help use the full power of neuroplasticity in making brain health and cognitive function better for different people and places in neuroscience.

Bibliography

1. Doidge N. "The Brain That Changes Itself: Stories of Personal Triumph from the Frontiers of Brain Science". *Penguin Books* (2007).
2. Anguera JA., *et al.* "Video game training enhances cognitive control in older adults". *Nature* 501.7465 (2013): 97-101.
3. Takeuchi H and Kawashima R. "Effects of processing speed training on cognitive functions and neural systems". *Reviews in the Neurosciences* 23.3 (2012): 289-301.
4. Draganski B and May A. "Training-induced structural changes in the adult human brain". *Behavioural Brain Research* 192.1 (2008): 137-142.
5. Kelly ME., *et al.* "The impact of cognitive training and mental stimulation on cognitive and everyday functioning of healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis". *Ageing Research Reviews* 15 (2014): 28-43.
6. Hillman CH., *et al.* "Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and cognition". *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 9.1 (2008): 58-65.
7. Takeuchi H., *et al.* "Training of working memory impacts structural connectivity". *Journal of Neuroscience* 30.9 (2010): 3297-3303.
8. Smith GE., *et al.* "A cognitive training program based on principles of brain plasticity: results from the Improvement in Memory with Plasticity-based Adaptive Cognitive Training (IMPACT) study". *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 57.4 (2009): 594-603.

9. Lampit A., *et al.* "Computerized cognitive training in cognitively healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effect modifiers". *PLOS Medicine* 11.11 (2014): e1001756.

10. Zatorre RJ., *et al.* "Plasticity in gray and white: neuroimaging changes in brain structure during learning". *Nature Neuroscience* 15.4 (2012): 528-536.

11. Lövdén M., *et al.* "A theoretical framework for the study of adult cognitive plasticity". *Psychological Bulletin* 136.4 (2010): 659-676.

12. Cramer SC and Sur M. "Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications". *Brain* 134.6 (2011): 1591-1609.

13. Stinear CM., *et al.* "Functional potential in chronic stroke patients depends on corticospinal tract integrity". *Brain* 130.1 (2007): 170-180.

14. Mewborn CM., *et al.* "Cognitive interventions for cognitively healthy, mildly impaired, and mixed samples of older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials". *Neuropsychology Review* 27.4 (2017): 403-439.

15. Diamond MC., *et al.* "The effects of an enriched environment on the histology of the rat cerebral cortex". *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 123.1 (1964): 111-119.

16. Draganski B., *et al.* "Changes in grey matter induced by training". *Nature* 427.6972 (2004): 311-312.

17. Belleville S., *et al.* "Training-related brain plasticity in subjects at risk of developing Alzheimer's disease". *Brain* 134.6 (2011): 1623-1634.

18. Nudo RJ. "Recovery after brain injury: mechanisms and principles". *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7 (2013): 887.

19. Park DC and Bischof GN. "The aging mind: neuroplasticity in response to cognitive training". *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 15.1 (2013): 109-119.

20. Willis SL., *et al.* "Long-term effects of cognitive training on everyday functional outcomes in older adults". *JAMA* 296.23 (2006): 2805-2814.