



## Integrated Approach to Identifying Genomic Regions/QTLs Associated with Salt Tolerance in Wheat: A Review

**Shiksha Chaurasia\***

Department of Division of Genomic Resources, ICAR-National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

\***Corresponding Author:** Shiksha Chaurasia, Department of Division of Genomic Resources, ICAR-National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India.

**DOI:** 10.31080/ASAG.2022.06.1118

**Received:** March 02, 2022

**Published:** March 18, 2022

© All rights are reserved by **Shiksha Chaurasia**.

### Abstract

Land salinization is one of the emerging issues in the 21st century. Salinity stress declines plant growth and its efficiency, which is leading to a substantial reduction in crop yield. Presently, the worldwide challenges are to meet the food consumption demand, along with the decreasing crop productivity per unit area at the same time of stress environment. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the major cereal grain crops and losses gain yield exceeds over 60% due to salinity stress. Now, it is imperative to develop a comprehensive understanding of salt tolerance contrivances and the assortment of reliable tolerance indices are crucial for breeding salt-tolerant wheat cultivars. The specific chromosomal location of these salt-tolerant genes or genetic loci has also been partially characterized through QTLs mapping that cannot use directly in breeding programs. These information helps the efficient transfer of these genes into other crop cultivars through molecular breeding tools. This review highlights the using recent techniques for identifying novel QTLs/genomic regions associated with salinity tolerance in wheat that can help to improve salt tolerance in wheat through marker-assisted breeding programs.

**Keywords:** Association Analysis; Next Generation Sequencing; Quantitative Traits Locus (QTLs); QTL Mapping; Bread Wheat

### Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the major cereal crops, grown worldwide supplying nearly 2.5 billion of the total world population. It is the most strategic staple crop, occupying 33% of the total food grain production area worldwide and providing a source of ~20% protein in the form of the calories and human diet [1]. According to the current scenario of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Foreign Agricultural Service, the global wheat production was recorded about 768 million metric tons in the year 2020-21. Among all the crops, wheat is holding the highest position in production by occupying approximately 217 million hectares of areas worldwide. India is the second-largest producer at 103 million tons and a major exporting country for wheat after the USA. Among all the Indian states, Uttar Pradesh utilizes the largest area with 9.75 million hectares (32%), followed by

Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, and Haryana in wheat production [1]. The expanding world population demands nearly a 70% increase in food production by the end of 2050 [2]. On the other hand, climate changes, reduction in cultivated land, increased use of chemicals have subjected the sustainable production of crop plants worldwide. The emerging scenario challenges us to not only develop new methods and strategies that can alleviate the responsible factors but also develop techniques to boost the yield of crop plants is of utmost importance [1].

Salinity is one of the prominent abiotic stress factors affecting crop yields worldwide; approximately 6% of the world's total land area is threatened by salinity, including 20% of arable land and 33% of irrigated land [1,3]. The negative impact of salinity on plant growth is achieved by dismantling the osmotic potential and cellu-

lar homeostasis of the plant directly affects the metabolic functions and hence, results in reduced growth of the plants. Primarily, saline conditions disturb sodium and chloride ion exchange within the plants. One of the most effective and feasible ways to minimize the detrimental effects of salinity on crop production is to enhance the salinity-tolerant ability. Crops comprising natural variants from a spontaneous mutation in their wild relatives and further domestication and breeding impacted their genetic diversity found in modern crops [4]. Understanding the crop genetics variations due to domestication and cultivation can be utilized as diverse resources for the improvement of crop productivity.

Salt tolerance in wheat is a complex trait (quantitatively inherited) controlled by multiple genes or QTLs (quantitative trait locus)

involving traits such as osmotic adjustment, ions compartmentalization, and morphological and yield associated traits such as plant height, biomass, grain yield, thousand-grain yield, and grain number [5,6]. QTLs mapping/Association mapping method has been widely used in the understanding of genetics and molecular basis of salinity tolerance in the crop. Analysis of QTLs has revealed the approximate locations of significant markers associated with salt tolerance traits across the genome and considerable potential for improving salt tolerance of bread wheat by marker-assisted selection. The present study discusses various genetic tools used for the identification of the suitable genes responsible for attributing salt resistance in wheat varieties. This preview can be useful to program future studies and to classify major and stable QTLs that can be considered to be cloned or further examined by researchers for forthcoming applications in plant breeding programs (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Overview of salinity stress pathway in wheat.

**Current status of salinity affected areas**

Salinity is the foremost damaging environmental stress for plant growth and crop production. It can increase hurriedly in the soil by creating challenges for plants in saline conditions [5]. Due to high salt levels, about 1.5 million hectares of land are inappropriate for agricultural production. Nowadays, it is growing in such a way that over 50% of global land will be salinized by 2050 [7-9].

Including India, other countries such as Bangladesh, Australia, China, Egypt, Mexico, Pakistan, and Turkey are also suffering from salt-affected soils. Presently, India covers about 6.73 million

hectares of salt-affected soils including a higher area with Gujarat (2.23 MHA) followed by Uttar Pradesh (1.37 MHA) and Maharashtra (0.61 MHA). Due to continuous suffering from marginal quality water and the use of natural resources in the state of Rajasthan, Haryana, and Punjab, the salt-affected area of the country would be increased to 20 million hectares by 2050 [10]. The ICAR-Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal entirely working on the development of salt-tolerant wheat varieties. To date, five salt-tolerant varieties of wheat (KRL 210, KRL 213, KRL-19, KRL 1-4, KHARCHIA 65) have been developed with a yield potential of 43-48 q/ha under irrigated timely sown and salinity stress conditions.

### Sources and types of salinity

Salinity is the water-soluble salts dissolved naturally in water and soil and above the soil ECe-4 dS/m increasing soluble salt concentration (salts such as sodium, chloride, calcium, magnesium, and sulfate) is called salinization. Na<sup>+</sup> represents predominant and exchangeable cation which creates toxicity in crops. The first effect of salt stress is reduced water availability that started to create difficulties in water uptake since decreased the osmotic potential in root zone comparison in the soil moisture resulting in decreased plant growth. The second effect of salt stress on plants is chlorophyll degradation causing leaf senescence or old leaves death. Third, the Na<sup>+</sup> molecule entering the cytosol of plants causes physiological damage and because of the high level of Na<sup>+</sup> in soil started to compete with potassium (K<sup>+</sup>) ions which are essential for plant growth results facing the difficulties to absorbing sufficient K<sup>+</sup>. Hence both toxic effects lead to a reduction in biomass and yield of the crop [5]. The sources of salinity have been categorized as primary factors (mineral weathering, capillary rise from shallow brackish groundwater, intrusion or tidal movements of seawater along the coast, estuaries, and salt-laden, sand blown by sea winds, soil erosion or soil degradation, and secondary factors (irrigation through the poor drainage system, overuse of industrial effluents and fertilizers, flooding with salt-rich waters, high water tables. Generally, three types of soils are present all over the salt-affected areas: saline soils, sodic soils, and saline-sodic. Saline soil contains high water-soluble salts i.e., NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub> (ECe ≥ 4.0 dS/m ESP < 15, pH < 8.5). Whereas sodic soil contains a high proportion of Na<sup>+</sup> ions ≥ relative to other cations. (ECe ≤ 4.0 dS/m ESP > 15 (or SAR > 13), pH > 8.5). The third, saline-sodic is mostly present in the arid and semiarid zone with neutral soluble salts (ECe ≥ 4.0 dS/m, ESP > 15, pH < 8.5) [11]. Plants grow well in high salt concentrations called halophytes however some plants that cannot survive even at 10% of seawater are called glycophytes [12].

### Mechanisms ascribing tolerance against salinity stress in plants

Plants evolve different types of physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms to survive in high salinity stress conditions. The mechanisms including such as osmotic balance, ion homeostasis, Na<sup>+</sup> compartmentalization, ion transport/uptake, biosynthesis of compatible solutes, activation of antioxidant enzyme and signal-

ling pathways, hormones regulation, transcriptional/post-translational regulations of genes at different time points. There are three mechanisms of salinity tolerance in plants.

#### Osmotic tolerance

Osmotic stress induced by high salt content around the root zone of soil immediately decreases the osmotic potential inside the soil water resulting reduces plant growth and stomatal conductance. Many plants are regulating the water loss through stomatal conductance as an indicator of plant water status during saline stress conditions. Previous studies demonstrated that stomatal closure is assumed to be initiated by ABA synthesis. This osmotic effect is the same in the effect of drought stress conditions [5,13,14]. Plants have an ability to sustain plant growth and stomatal conductance during osmotic stress if they were in non-saline condition, which is referred to as osmotic tolerance. Early seedling effects of osmotic stress such as inhibit cell elongation, thicker leaves, less lateral branches formation then finally reduction in shoot development. Salt affects more on shoot growth in comparison to root but some studies are reported that the formation of lateral roots is turned down during salinity [5,15]. The mechanism linked with osmotic tolerance is little known but several studies thought that there was a long-distance signal from a root that controls plant growth reduction mediated by ROS waves, Ca<sup>2+</sup> waves [5,6].

#### Shoot ion exclusion

High Na<sup>+</sup> accumulates in leaves rather than roots creating metabolic toxicity of Na<sup>+</sup> that causes cells to die due to inhibition of many enzymatic activities and lack of photosynthetic ability in leaf cells. The high Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in leaves causes metabolic toxicity that competes with K<sup>+</sup>. At the cellular level, K<sup>+</sup> as a co-factor playing role in the activation of approximately fifty enzymes in the cytosol of leaf cells are being necessary for protein synthesis [5-17]. Previous studies were focused on Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion and Na<sup>+</sup> tissue tolerance since Na<sup>+</sup> ion is more toxic than Cl<sup>-</sup> [18,19]. Whereas, in some crops like soybean, citrus, and grapevine, Cl<sup>-</sup> ion are more toxic than Na<sup>+</sup>. To prevent the entry of Na<sup>+</sup> in photo-synthetically active tissues, sodium exclusion mechanisms have been found in crops. The mechanism, Na<sup>+</sup> ion exclusion associated with salt tolerance is already present in some cereal crops such as rice, durum wheat, bread wheat, and barley. Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion method generally involves up or down-regulations of specific ion channels/transport-

ers allowing control of Na<sup>+</sup> transport in cytoplasm throughout the plant's life. Till now, two transporter genes have been identified that involving exclusion: SOS (salt overly sensitive) and HKT (high-affinity potassium transporter family). The SOS gene consisting three proteins, SOS1 encoding functional Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter which is controlled by generated proton gradient through ATPase activity localized in the plasma membrane. Some studies suggested that SOS1 (Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter) is involved in Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion back to the soil and overexpression of this SOS1 gene in Arabidopsis confers the salt tolerance in transgenic plants. The second protein SOS2, which encodes a protein serine/threonine kinase consists of the N-terminal (catalytic) and C-terminal (regulatory) domain involved in Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion signals [20,21]. Third protein SOS3, a Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion binding protein that contains a myristoylation site at N-terminal, conferring salt tolerance [22]. In wheat, two up-regulated SOS genes were also identified, TaSOS1 (a transmembrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter) and TaSOS4 (a cytoplasmic pyridoxal (PL) kinase), and their expression analysis were measured in cultivated and wild wheat by using qRT-PCR technique under salinity stress. Second, two classes of HKT (high-affinity potassium transporter family) are known for controlling Na<sup>+</sup> ion distribution in plants, class I: Na<sup>+</sup> selective transport, class II: Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> co-transport. The gene AtHKT1;1 has been found in Arabidopsis which is localized in the root stele and overexpression of AtHKT1;1, enhances plants salt tolerance by decreasing Na<sup>+</sup> transport to the shoot via the transpiration stream [23]. Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion is the most effective way to improve salt tolerance in many cereal crops by preventing the entry of Na<sup>+</sup> ions into the shoot.

### Shoot tissue tolerance

In high salinity stress conditions, when plants fail to exclude Na<sup>+</sup> ion from a shoot, plants start to accumulate Na<sup>+</sup> in vacuoles via cytoplasm in a shoot that creates a detrimental effect on various stages or mechanisms of plants growth. Many authors suggested that Na<sup>+</sup> ion accumulation in the cytosol of plants is very problematic during salinity stress. Therefore, tissue tolerance mechanisms are evolved to tolerate high salt concentration in plants also refers as Na<sup>+</sup> compartmentalization in the vacuole. To regulate Na<sup>+</sup> sequestration to vacuole from cytoplasm, several channels/transporters in vacuole have been found such as vacuolar NHX (Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>) transporter involves in the transportation of Na<sup>+</sup> ion from the cytosol into the vacuole. In the vacuolar membrane, two types of H<sup>+</sup> pumps are present, vacuolar-type H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase (V-ATPase) and the vacuolar

pyrophosphatase (V-PPase) [24]. The V-ATPase is known as dominant due to playing a role in maintaining solute homeostasis using secondary transport and facilitating vesicle fusion under salt stress. A study reported a study in *Vigna unguiculata* that during salinity stress conditions, the activity of V-ATPase pump increased in seedlings whereas the activity of V-PPase pump was inhibited [25]. The functional activity of NHX depends upon tonoplast membrane-localized H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase (V-ATPase) and H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase (V-PPase). Overexpression of vacuolar AtNHX1 or AVP1 is involved in plant salinity tolerance [22]. To tolerate the toxicity of Na<sup>+</sup>, plants need some osmotic adjustment in the vacuole and this process can be acquired by continue increasing cytosolic K<sup>+</sup> and accumulation of compatible solutes (low molecular weight soluble compounds) including such as glycine betaine, proline, sugars (sucrose and raffinose), polyols (mannitol and sorbitol) [5,24]. The functions of these solutes are protecting the cells by osmotic adjustment and stabilizing the tertiary protein structure with the help of shielded photosynthetic apparatus from stress damages such as reactive oxygen species (ROS). Several genes control the biosynthesis rate of these compatible solutes plays a role in enhancing salt tolerance. For example, the enzyme pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS) gene is known to be involved in the proline biosynthesis process enhanced the salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Na<sup>+</sup> sequestration is an important part of tissue tolerance mostly used by both halophytes and glycophytes [5,16].

Salinity stress can cause disruption of electron transport chains (ETC) in chloroplasts and mitochondria that produce ROS and oxidative stress. Singlet oxygen (O), hydroxyl radical (OH), superoxide radical (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) are strong oxidizing agents causing harm to cell integrity. Antioxidants such as glutathione peroxidase (GPX), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and glutathione reductase (GR) play a critical role in detoxifying ROS induced by salt stress [5,16]. During salt stress, ABA works as cellular signals in plants that increased numbers of salt and water deficit-responsive genes that also correlated with accumulation of K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, proline, and sugars content in vacuoles of roots, which counteract the uptake of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>. In wheat, ABA treatment induces the expression of MAPK4, TIP 1, and GLP 1 genes under salinity stress. Some other compounds having hormonal properties, such as salicylic acid (SA) and brassinosteroids (BR), also participate in salinity responses [26].

## Methods for the identification of Salt tolerance QTLs in Wheat Phenotyping

Accurate phenotyping of AM panel is needed for reliable GWAS. An increasing the number of individuals/lines enhance the power of marker-trait associations rather than increasing marker numbers for genotyping. The phenotypic data can be improved by more replication/trial's overall locations and years that also increase the power of QTLs detection. Precise phenotyping of large populations in replicated manner will require appropriate field designs with accurate statistics and minimum errors.

## Mapping populations/association panel

The success of association mapping largely depends upon varietal population/material used for analysis. The population can be historical germplasm, family-based, and breeding populations. For AM, biparental and multiparent populations can also be used. Biparental crosses included doubled haploid, F3 generations, and others generated by random mating or group mating of inbreds in the diallel scheme. In the case of multi-parental populations, multiparent advanced generation intercrosses (MAGIC) and nested association mapping (NAM) populations are becoming very popular. Both MAGIC and NAM populations are used for variety development and crop improvement with the help of association mapping and linkage-based mapping. For these lines/genotypes, a term used as association mapping population or association mapping panel includes high genetic diversity.

## Molecular markers, high throughput genotyping (NGS)

More knowledge on the genetic variation and determinants of diversity is useful for discovering new genes in crops. Diverse molecular marker systems are now available for genetic mapping in various crops. For characterizing germplasm and genetic diversity analysis different types of molecular markers used previously such as RFLP, RAPD, AFLP, SSR are available. But nowadays, markers except SSR are not used extensively in crop breeding programs due to inefficient application in marker-assisted selection. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are a common type of genetic variation among crops and emerged as the most abundant molecular marker that is amenable to high-throughput genotyping. The availability of SNP genotyping platforms would facilitate the genetic dissection of multiple traits for economic importance and the application of marker-assisted selection. Thousand's millions of SNPs reported in

various crops such as Arabidopsis, rice, soybean and barley used for evaluating genetic diversity, population structure, and association analysis that gained much interest in the scientific and breeding community. In wheat, several high-density SNP genotyping arrays (35 K, 55 K, 90 K, 660 K and 820 K) have been developed and are increasingly being used for molecular dissection of complex traits using GWAS. SNP markers can be developed from sequencing resources such as expressed sequence tags (EST sequence), amplicon sequencing, sequenced genomes and next generation sequencing (NGS) [27].

## Association mapping

Association mapping or "linkage disequilibrium mapping", is a method of mapping quantitative trait loci (QTLs) that takes advantage of historical linkage disequilibrium (LD) to link phenotypes to genotypes for uncovering the genetic associations. Thousands of QTLs associated with significant markers for multiple traits have been identified in various crops by using bi-parental populations and interval mapping (IM). However, QTLs detected by these biparental and interval mapping methods were relevant for only those breeding programs where researchers were using parents for crossing/breeding programs. But in this case, parents may or may not be different from these QTLs as such, detected QTLs through IM could not apply in actual breeding programs, so that these marker-trait associations (MTAs) are partially useful for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in a wider range of crop breeding programs. To overcome these problems, multiple-line cross QTL mapping was started to be used [28]. Although, due to strong linkage disequilibrium (LD), the high detection power but the poor resolution was found in linkage-based QTL-IM methods which makes it difficult for fine mapping. Moreover, this method is very laborious for use in genetic studies because of the development of a biparental population which is costly and time taking. LD-based association mapping (AM) is a choice of an alternative method that uses set of genotypes (known/unknown ancestral) that carry high genetic variability for the particular trait of interest and product of multiple historic recombination events, thus AM provides higher resolution during QTL mapping [28]. However, MTAs detected by association mapping is spurious because of the reason that linkage disequilibrium does not only based on linkage but also includes population stratification and individuals' relatedness. To overcome these problems, family-based AM has been launched and AM used either popula-



tion-based or family-based [29]. To overcome more limitations and exploit the benefits, researchers have made efforts to combine both methods (linkage-based QTL-IM with LD-based AM) and conduct joint linkage AM (JLAM) with linkage and LD.

**Concept of Linkage Disequilibrium:** Initially LD was concerned with population genetics, later LD was recognized in evolutionary biology and human genetics however after passing the time LD rapidly grew fast in 1980 for use in genetic mapping to identify closely linked loci. LD is defined as the differences between the observed frequency of a combination of alleles at two loci and the frequency expected for the random association of alleles at two loci. It is assumed that, in evolutionary time, random recombination events will give an equal distribution of alleles at each locus. So that, the frequency of alleles at a given locus will be independent of alleles at other linked loci. In a random mating population, although allelic frequencies remain constant from generation to generation whenever changes occur in gene due to evolutionary factors such as mutation, selection, migration, and random drift then allelic frequencies will automatically change. For example, a gene has two alleles A, and their frequencies p and q, then genotype frequencies will be  $p^2$  (AA),  $q^2$  (aa) and  $2pq$  (Aa) at this locus called Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Sometimes this equilibrium may be disturbed by more than one evolutionary factor, then it can be restored naturally in next-generation due to multiple recombination events. Suppose we have two independent segregating genes (alleles A, a and B, b) then the frequencies of their allelic combinations (AB, Ab, aB, and ab) would be equal to the products of allelic frequencies of two genes. Therefore, the observed allelic frequency AB (pAB) will be the product of two allelic frequencies A (pA) and B (pB) and allelic frequency Ab (pAb) will be the product of another allelic frequency of A and b. So, the four allelic combinations with frequencies will be pAB, pAb, pAB, and pab, respectively. At equilibrium level, allelic frequencies (pAB, pab) will be equal to the allelic frequencies (pAb, pAB) but whenever the equilibrium may be disturbed by any evolutionary factors then after frequencies (pAB, pab) does not equal to the frequencies (pAb, pAB). The differences between frequencies (pAB, pab and pAb, pAB) are referred to as disequilibrium (d). The linkage disequilibrium is referring that a distance of one allele at a locus occurs with another allele at the second locus can be more than expected in a random assortment of the two loci and these two loci may represent as two markers, two genes/QTLs, or one gene/QTL. In every generation, after random

mating, disequilibrium (d) will be declined by the value of  $rd$  ( $r$  = frequency of recombination between the two loci). This phenomenon is known as LD decay since it will be less than the decline in disequilibrium when genes segregate independently. Whenever a mutation occurs, it will reveal complete LD with this mutant allele present with them at flanking regions. Therefore, more recombination will lead to a decline in the level of LD between the two loci [30].

### Integrated approaches for GWAS (Multi-locus Mixed Model)

Generally, GWAS uses single-locus model to detect the QTLs/genomic regions associated with particular target traits (Table 2). However, quantitative traits are complex traits governed by multiple QTLs. So single-locus model test cannot be entirely reliable until test statistics cannot be expanded with structured populations. This problem could be overcome after adding multiple QTLs as cofactors in the test model. In GWAS, a multi-locus mixed model (MLMM) based on multiple loci as a cofactor in the associations model and it is a simply stepwise mixed regression analysis combined with forward inclusion and backward elimination of loci. The advantage of the multi-locus mixed model increases with trait heritability and high-resolution power. MLMM performs better than the single-locus model combined with structured population and phenotypic traits are governed by multiple QTLs having large significant effects. In MLMM, results are expected with low false discovery rate (FDR) than single-locus tests because of performed multiple testing of marker-trait association by using Bonferroni correction, which is too conservative so that the result sometimes missed many important genomic regions. To address these problems, multi-locus mixed linear models were developed with high power resolution to detect significant QTLs/genomic regions [31,32]. In multi-locus-GWAS models, markers effects are simultaneously tested, these are considered a more appropriate genetic model for the dissection of complex traits. Six types of models were included in mrMLM packages, ISIS EM-BLASSO [33], FASTmrEMMA [32], pLARM EB [31], pKWM EB [34] and FASTmrMLM [33].

### Previous identified QTLs/genomic regions for salt tolerance

AM has been carried out in many crops and QTLs associated with traits of interest have been identified. QTL Mapping makes a considerable contribution to increasing the efficiency of breeding varieties with improved responses for abiotic stresses, e.g.,

drought and salinity. QTL mapping is applied on various crop species to identify the major QTLs loci/genomic regions associated with salinity tolerance traits. These salt tolerance associated traits are quantitatively inherited, controlled by many genes called QTLs, for example, the Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion trait was firstly reported in durum wheat which was located on chromosome 4D. Later, in bread wheat, major QTL locus for salinity tolerance has been mapped, Kna1 controlling Na/K discrimination in roots located on the long arm of chromosome 4D and the locus play a role in the transportation of K<sup>+</sup> from roots to leaves. Another major salt tolerance locus Nax 1 (Sodium Exclusion locus 1) has been identified on chromosome 2AL [36]. The locus Nax 1 was identified in F2 mapping population which were derived from crosses between Line 169 (salt-tolerant durum line) x Tamro (a salt-sensitive Australian durum wheat cul-

tivar 248). Further second locus, Nax2 (Sodium Exclusion locus 1) from line 169 (salt-tolerant durum line) was identified on chromosome 5AL. These genes encoded for high-affinity K transporter (HKT) proteins; HKT1;4 (Nax1) and HKT 1;5 (Nax2) and their possible role were in regulating the Na<sup>+</sup> transportation from root to shoot [36]. Last few years, QTL mapping has been applied for the identification of QTLs/genomic regions in wheat for salt tolerance associated traits such as chlorophyll, seedling biomass, plant dry weights, grain yield, spikelet number, germination, tiller number and leaf injury, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentration and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio in shoots [35-50]. GWAS applied on a diverse panel of wheat cultivars genotyped using 90K SNP array, 35K SNP array and 660K SNP array for mapping of salt tolerance traits in the seedling hydroponics and adult field condition [39,40,50]. A list of previously reported QTLs is summarized in table 1.

| Traits                 | Chromosome  | Mapping populations           | References |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|
| Germination            | 2A, 4A, 7B, 6D                                      | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38]       |
|                        | 2A, 4A, 7B, 6D                                      | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38]       |
|                        | 4A, 4B,6A,7A  | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)        | [41]       |
|                        | 3AL   | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50]       |
| Seedling vigour        | 2A, 4A, 7B, 6D                                      | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38]       |
| Membrane stability     | 3A, 4A, 5B, 7B, 7D, 3D                              | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [46]       |
|                        | 2BL, 3BS, 5AL, 7BL                                  | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39]       |
| Water Potential        | 5D, 2A, 5B, 6B, 6A                                  | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [46]       |
| Osmotic Potential      | 2B, 7B, 5D,7A                                       | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [46]       |
| Relative water content | 4A, 7A, 2A, 7B                                      | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [46]       |
| Chlorophyll            | 2D, 5A, 5B, 5D                                      | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)       | [44]       |
|                        | 7D, 6B, 5A  | RIL (Roshan × Sabalan)        | [35]       |
|                        | 3D, 5B, 6B, 6D, 7D, 1B, 6A, 3A, 7A, 7B, 1D, 3B, 4A, | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [46]       |
|                        | 2AL, 2BS, 3BS, 4AL, 7AS                             | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39]       |
|                        | 1A, 2B, 3D, 7A                                      | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47]       |
|                        | 6DS   | 153 diverse wheat genotypes   | [40]       |
| Root length            | 3B, 5B, 6B, 2D, 3D, 4D                              | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38]       |
|                        | 4D, 6B  | RIL (Xiaoyan 54 and Jing 411) | [34]       |
|                        | 1A, 2A, 2B, 2D, 3B, 4A, 7B                          | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47]       |
|                        | 1DS, 2BL,6BL  | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39]       |
| Shoot length           | 2D, 3D  | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38]       |
|                        | 3A, 5B, 3B, 2B                                      | RIL (Roshan × Sabalan)        | [35]       |
|                        | 1A, 2B, 2D, 4B, 5B, 6B                              | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47]       |

|  |  |                               |      |
|--|--|-------------------------------|------|
| Shoot fresh weight                     | 2A, 1D, 2D                                       | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38] |
|  | 1D, 1A, 6B, 2A, 5B                               | RIL (Roshan × Sabalan)        | [35] |
|  | 2BL, 2DS, 3AL, 5BL, 7AS, 7AL, 7BL                | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 1B, 1D, 2A, 2B, 4B, 5A, 6D                       | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47] |
|  | 1BL, 2AL, 3AL, 4AL, 5AL, 7AL                     | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
| Root fresh weight                      | 2AL, 5BL, 2BL, 2DL, 5BS, 6AS                     | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 1AL, 1BS, 5BL, 6AL, 6AS, 7AS                     | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
| Total fresh weight                     | 2DS, 2DL, 6DL, 7AS                               | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39] |
| Root dry weight                        | 4A   | RIL (Xiaoyan 54 and Jing 411) | [34] |
|  | 2AL, 5BL, 1BL, 5BS, 2BL, 2BS, 2DS, 4AS           | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 2A, 2B, 2D, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6A, 6D, 7D               | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47] |
|  | 2BS, 3AL, 5AL, 1BL, 1DS, 5BL, 4AS, 7AS, 2AL, 4AL | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 2AL, 3AL, 3BS, 5AL, 5BL, 6AL, 6BL, 7AL, 7AS, 7BS | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 1AS, 1DS, 5DL, 6AL, 7BL                          | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39] |
| Shoot dry weight                       | 3B, 6A, 6B                                       | RIL (Roshan × Sabalan)        | [35] |
|  | 7A   | RIL (Xiaoyan 54 and Jing 411) | [34] |
|  | 1BL, 2AL, 5AL, 1AL, 2DS, 5BL, 7BL, 2BL, 7BL, 1BS | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 1B, 2A, 2B, 4B, 5A                               | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47] |
| Total dry weight<br>(Seedling biomass) | 1B, 2B, 5D                                       | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)    | [38] |
|  | 2A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6D, 7A                       | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)       | [44] |
|  | 3A, 7A   | RIL (Xiaoyan 54 and Jing 411) | [34] |
|  | 1B, 1D, 2A, 2B, 4B, 5A                           | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)      | [47] |
|  | 1A, 3A   | RIL (Xiaoyan 54 and Jing 411) | [34] |
|  | 1AS, 3DS, 7BS                                    | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39] |
| Root shoot ratio (DW)                  | 1AL, 6AS   | 135 diverse wheat genotypes   | [39] |
| Booting                                | 2D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)        | [41] |
| Ear emergence time                     | 2D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)        | [41] |
| Days of heading                        | 2D, 6D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)        | [41] |
|  | 2BL, 7AL   | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
|  | 1A, 2B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia)  | [48] |
|  | 2D, 2A   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)        | [41] |
|  | 5A, 5B, 5D                                       | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)       | [44] |
|  | 1B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia)  | [48] |
|  | 5AL  | 150 wheat genotypes           | [50] |
| Days of Maturity                       | 5BL  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes   | [40] |



|                    |  |                              |      |
|--------------------|--|------------------------------|------|
| Plant height       | 2A, 2D, 4D, 5A, 5D, 6A, 7A   | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)      | [45] |
|                    | 2A, 3B, 5B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
|                    | 4A, 4D, 5A   | 191 wheat genotypes          | [47] |
|                    | 2AL, 7AL   | 150 wheat genotypes          | [50] |
|                    | 1AL, 6BL   | 150 wheat genotypes          | [50] |
|                    | 1DS,1DL, 2AL, 4AL, 5BL, 6BS  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Tiller number      | 2A, 2D, 5A, 6D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 1A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 5D   | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)      | [44] |
|                    | 2A, 2B, 2D, 5A, 5B, 6D,7A, 7B  | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)     | [47] |
|                    | 1DS, 6DL, 7BS  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Ear length         | 1B,4A,5A,7B,2D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 2B, 5B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
| Ear weight         | 1B,4A,6B   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 2A, 6B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
| Peduncle length    | 1A,1B, 6B  | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
| Spike length       | 5DL, 7AS, 7BL  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Spikelet number    | 4A, 6A, 2D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 5A   | 191 wheat genotypes          | [47] |
|                    | 2AL, 3AS, 5BL, 7DL   | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Grain number       | 4A, 7B   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 2B, 2D, 3A, 4B, 4D, 5A, 5B, 5D,6A, 6D, 7A                                    | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)      | [45] |
|                    | 2A, 2B, 3B, 5D, 6B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
|                    | 5B   | 191 wheat genotypes          | [47] |
|                    | 3BS, 6BS, 6BS  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Grain weight       | 1B   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 2A, 2B, 6B   | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
| 1000-grains weight | 2D   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 1A, 2B, 2D, 3A, 4B, 4D, 5A,5B,5D, 6A, 6B, 6D, 7A, 7D                         | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)      | [45] |
|                    | 3AL, 3AS, 5AS, 5BL 1AS, 1BS, 1BL, 7AL  | 150 wheat genotypes          | [50] |
|                    | 2BL, 3AL,5AS, 5BL, 5DL, 6BS, 7BS   | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |
| Grain Yield        | 7B   | RIL (Opata 85 X W7984)       | [41] |
|                    | 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4B, 4D, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6A, 6D, 7B                               | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)      | [45] |
|                    | 2B, 6B, 5D 7A  | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia) | [48] |
|                    | 6BL  | 150 wheat genotypes          | [50] |
|                    | 3A, 5A   | 191 wheat genotypes          | [47] |
|                    | 1BS, 1BL, 2BS, 2DS,5BL,1AL, 1BL, 3AL, 4DL, 5AL, 2AL, 2BL, 3BL, 5AL, 6BL, 6BS | 150 wheat genotypes          | [50] |
|                    | 5BS, 6BS, 6DL  | 153 diverse wheat genotypes  | [40] |

|  |   |   |                          |
|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| Biological Yield                           | 7A, 2B                                      | RIL (Attila/Kauz X Kharchia)                      | [48]                     |
|  | 5B  | 191 wheat genotypes                               | [47]                     |
|  | 1BL, 6DL, 7BL                               | 153 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [40]                     |
| Na <sup>+</sup> concentration (Shoot/leaf) | 2AL   | F2 (Line149XTamaroi)                              | [36]                     |
|  | 7AS   | Two DH (Cranbrook/Halberd & Excalibur/Kukri)      | [43]                     |
|  | 2B, 2D, 3D, 4B, 4D, 6D,7A, 7D               | Backcross (Aus29639 X Yitpi)                      | [49]                     |
|  | 2A, 2B, 6A, 7A                              | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)                           | [44]                     |
|  | 1D, 3B, 6B, 2A, 2B                          | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)                        | [46]                     |
|  | 1A,1D, 2A,2B,2D, 3A,4D,5A,5B,5D,6A,6B,7A,7D | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)                           | [45]                     |
|  | 2A,7A                                       | DH (Excalibur × Kukri)<br>RIL (Excalibur × Kukri) | [37]                     |
|  | 6BL, 3AL                                    | 150 wheat genotypes                               | [50]                     |
|  | 1BL, 2BL, 3AL, 3BL, 5AL, 5DL, 7BL           | 135 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [39]                     |
|  | 1AL, 2AS, 2BS, 6AL, 6DL,                    | 153 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [40]                     |
|  | Na <sup>+</sup> concentration (Root)        | 6A  | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan) |
| Cl <sup>-</sup> concentration              | 1D, 2B, 3B,7A                               | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)                        | [46]                     |
|  | 2A, 3A                                      | RIL (Excalibur × Kukri)                           | [37]                     |
| K <sup>+</sup> concentration (Shoot/leaf)  | 1D, 3B, 3D, 4A, 5A, 5B, 5D, 7A, 7D          | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)                           | [44]                     |
|  | 5A, 4A, 6A, 2B                              | RIL (Pasban 90 X Frontana)                        | [46]                     |
|  | 1A, 1B, 2A, 3B, 5A, 5D                      | DH (Berkut X Krichauff)                           | [45]                     |
|  | 2B, 5A                                      | DH (Excalibur × Kukri)                            | [37]                     |
|  | 5AL, 1DL                                    | 150 wheat genotypes                               | [50]                     |
|  | 2AL, 5AL, 5DL, 7BL                          | 135 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [39]                     |
|  | 1D, 2B, 2D, 4B, 5D, 6B                      | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)                          | [47]                     |
|  | 1AS, 2AL, 2DL, 3BL,3BL,4BL, 5BL, 7BL        | 153 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [40]                     |
| K <sup>+</sup> concentration (Root)        | 1D, 3B, 5D                                  | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)                          | [47]                     |
| Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratio      | 4DL   | RIL for chromosome 4B/4D                          | [42]                     |
|  | 1D, 2D, 3A, 4D                              | RILs (Pasban90 X Frontana)                        | [46]                     |
|  | 5BL, 6BL, 6DL, 7BS                          | 135 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [39]                     |
|  | 1BS   | 153 diverse wheat genotypes                       | [40]                     |
| K <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup> ratio      | 6A  | DH (Excalibur × Kukri)                            | [37]                     |
|  | 2AL   | 150 wheat genotypes                               | [50]                     |
|  | 2B, 4B, 6A, 5D                              | RIL (Zhongmai X Xiaoyan)                          | [47]                     |
|  | 2DS   | RIL (Excalibur × Kukri)                           | [37]                     |

**Table 1:** Previous identified QTLs associated with salinity tolerance traits in wheat.

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| TASSEL       | ( <a href="http://sourceforge.net/projects/tassel">http://sourceforge.net/projects/tassel</a> ; <a href="http://www.maizegenetics.net">http://www.maizegenetics.net</a> ) |
| EMMAX        | ( <a href="http://genetics.cs.ucla.edu/emmax/">http://genetics.cs.ucla.edu/emmax/</a> )   |
| GenAMap      | ( <a href="http://sailing.cs.cmu.edu/genamap/">http://sailing.cs.cmu.edu/genamap/</a> )   |
| GenABEL      | ( <a href="http://www.genabel.org/packages/GenABEL">http://www.genabel.org/packages/GenABEL</a> )   |
| FaST-LMM     | ( <a href="http://fastlmm.codeplex.com/">http://fastlmm.codeplex.com/</a> )   |
| GAPIT        | ( <a href="http://www.maizegenetics.net/gapit">http://www.maizegenetics.net/gapit</a> )   |
| STRUCTURE    | ( <a href="http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html">http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html</a> )   |
| SPAGeDI      | ( <a href="http://www.ulb.ac.be/sciences/ecoevol/spagedi.html">http://www.ulb.ac.be/sciences/ecoevol/spagedi.html</a> )   |
| EINGENSTRAT  | ( <a href="http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~reich/software.html">http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~reich/software.html</a> )   |
| MTDFREML     | ( <a href="http://aipl.arsusda.gov/curtvt/mtdfreml.html">http://aipl.arsusda.gov/curtvt/mtdfreml.html</a> )   |
| R            | ( <a href="http://www.r-project.org/">http://www.r-project.org/</a> )   |
| ASREML       | ( <a href="http://www.vsni.co.uk/products/asreml">http://www.vsni.co.uk/products/asreml</a> )   |
| GenStat      | ( <a href="http://www.vsni.co.uk/software/genstat">http://www.vsni.co.uk/software/genstat</a> )   |
| JMP Genomics | ( <a href="http://www.jmp.com/software/genomics/">http://www.jmp.com/software/genomics/</a> )   |
| SAS          | ( <a href="http://www.sas.com">http://www.sas.com</a> )   |

**Table 2:** Software packages used in Association mapping.

### Conclusion

The emerging climatic issues and their effects on saline areas continuously affect wheat growth and yield in different parts of the country. To avoid food scarcity in the upcoming future, the sustainable and effective production of salt-tolerant wheat varieties are needed to develop through a marker-assisted breeding program. The Information gained from our study could be helpful to reveal the details about various appropriate methods and techniques involving association mapping to identify salt tolerance genomic regions in wheat. Availability of sequencing information of QTLs/genomic regions database related to salinity tolerance traits in wheat allow less time required to identify candidate genes and used in fine mapping, marker-assisted selection, QTL cloning and genome editing.

### Bibliography

1. Ramadas S., *et al.* "Wheat production in India: Trends and prospects". *Recent Advances in Grain Crops Research* (2020).
2. FAO. "Food Security and Agricultural Mitigation in Developing Countries: Options for Capturing Synergies". Rome, Italy (2009).
3. Shrivastava P and Kumar R. "Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant growth promoting bacteria as one of the tools for its alleviation". *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 22.2 (2015): 123-131.
4. Liu C., *et al.* "Identification of genes for salt tolerance and yield-related traits in rice plants grown hydroponically and under saline field conditions by genome-wide association study". *Rice* 12.1 (2019): 1-13.
5. Munns R and Tester M. "Mechanisms of salinity tolerance". *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59 (2008): 651-681.
6. Roy SJ., *et al.* "Salt resistant crop plants". *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 26 (2014): 115-124.
7. Bennett TH., *et al.* "Repeated evolution of salt-tolerance in grasses". *Biology Letters* 9.2 (2013): 20130029.
8. Acosta-Motos JR., *et al.* "Plant responses to salt stress: adaptive mechanisms". *Agronomy* 7.1 (2017): 18.
9. Hossain MS. "Present scenario of global salt affected soils, its management and importance of salinity research". *International Research Journal of Biological Sciences* 1 (2019): 1-3.

10. Singh G. "Salinity-related desertification and management strategies: Indian experience". *Land Degradation and Development* 20.4 (2009): 367-385.
11. Rengasamy P. "World salinization with emphasis on Australia". *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57.5 (2006): 1017-1023.
12. Rasool S., et al. "Salt stress: causes, types and responses of plants". In *Ecophysiology and responses of plants under salt stress*. Springer, New York, NY (2013): 1-24.
13. Fricke W., et al. "Rapid and tissue-specific changes in ABA and in growth rate in response to salinity in barley leaves". *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55.399 (2004): 1115-1123.
14. Keskin BC., et al. "Abscisic Acid Regulated Gene Expression in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.)". *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 4.8 (2010): 617.
15. Julkowska MM., et al. "Genetic components of root architecture remodeling in response to salt stress". *The Plant Cell* 29.12 (2017): 3198-3213.
16. Tester M and Davenport R. "Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and Na<sup>+</sup> transport in higher plants". *Annals of Botany* (2003): 503-527.
17. Munns R., et al. "Approaches to increasing the salt tolerance of wheat and other cereals". *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57.5 (2006): 1025-1043.
18. Munns R., et al. "Genetic variation for improving the salt tolerance of durum wheat". *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 51.1 (2000): 69-74.
19. Genc Y., et al. "Reassessment of tissue Na<sup>+</sup> concentration as a criterion for salinity tolerance in bread wheat". *Plant, Cell and Environment* 30.11 (2007): 1486-1498.
20. Conde A., et al. "Membrane transport, sensing and signaling in plant adaptation to environmental stress". *Plant and Cell Physiology* 52.9 (2011): 1583-1602.
21. Liu J., et al. "The Arabidopsis thaliana SOS2 gene encodes a protein kinase that is required for salt tolerance". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America* 97.7 (2000): 3730-3734.
22. Ishitani M., et al. "SOS3 function in plant salt tolerance requires N-myristoylation and calcium binding". *The Plant Cell* 12.9 (2000): 1667-1677.
23. Ramezani A., et al. "Quantitative expression analysis of TaSOS1 and TaSOS4 genes in cultivated and wild wheat plants under salt stress". *Molecular Biotechnology* 53.2 (2013): 189-197.
24. Wang B., et al. "Effects of salt treatment and osmotic stress on V-ATPase and V-PPase in leaves of the halophyte Suaeda salsa". *Journal of Experimental Botany* 52.365 (2001): 2355-2365.
25. Otoch MDLO., et al. "Salt modulation of vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase and H<sup>+</sup>-Pyrophosphatase activities in *Vigna unguiculata*". *Journal of Plant Physiology* 158.5 (2001): 545-551.
26. Jiang W., et al. "Genome-wide identification and transcriptional expression analysis of superoxide dismutase (SOD) family in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)". *PeerJ* 7 (2019): e8062.
27. Winfield MO., et al. "High-density SNP genotyping array for hexaploid wheat and its secondary and tertiary gene pool". *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 14.5 (2016): 1195-1206.
28. Steinhoff J., et al. "Multiple-line cross quantitative trait locus mapping in European elite maize". *Crop Science* 51.6 (2011): 2505-2516.
29. Yu J., et al. "Genetic design and statistical power of nested association mapping in maize". *Genetics* 178.1 (2008): 539-551.
30. Singh BD and Singh AK. "Marker-assisted plant breeding: principles and practices". New Delhi: Springer India (2015).
31. Zhang J., et al. "pLARmEB: integration of least angle regression with empirical Bayes for multilocus genome-wide association studies". *Heredity* 11.6 (2017): 517-524.
32. Wen YJ., et al. "Methodological implementation of mixed linear models in multi-locus genome-wide association studies". *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 19.4 (2018): 700-712.
33. Tamba CL., et al. "Iterative sure independence screening EM-Bayesian LASSO algorithm for multi-locus genome-wide association studies". *PLoS Computational Biology* 13.1 (2017): e1005357.

34. Ren WL, et al. "pKWmEB: integration of Kruskal-Wallis's test with empirical Bayes under polygenic background control for multi-locus genome-wide association study". *Heredity* 120.3 (2018): 208-218.
35. Ghaedrahmati M, et al. "Mapping QTLs Associated with Salt Tolerance Related Traits in Seedling Stage of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". *Journal of Agriculture, Science and Technology* 16 (2014): 1413-1428.
36. Lindsay MP, et al. "A locus for sodium exclusion (Nax1), a trait for salt tolerance, mapped in durum wheat". *Functional Plant Biology* 31.11 (2004): 1105-1114.
37. Asif MA, et al. "Mapping of novel salt tolerance QTL in an Excalibur× Kukri doubled haploid wheat population". *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 131.10 (2018): 2179-2196.
38. Batool N, et al. "Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) mapping for salt stress tolerance in wheat at germination stage". *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 55.1 (2018).
39. Chaurasia S, et al. "Multi-locus genome-wide association studies reveal novel genomic regions associated with vegetative stage salt tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". *Genomics* 112.6 (2020): 4608-4621.
40. Chaurasia S, et al. "Multi-locus genome-wide association studies reveal novel genomic regions associated with vegetative stage salt tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". *Genomics* 112.6 (2020): 4608-4621.
41. De León JLD, et al. "Quantitative trait loci associated with salinity tolerance in field grown bread wheat". *Euphytica* 181.3 (2011): 371-383.
42. Dubcovsky J, et al. "Mapping of the K+/Na+ discrimination locus Kna1 in wheat". *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 92.3-4 (1996): 448-454.
43. Edwards J, et al. "Identification of a QTL on chromosome 7AS for sodium exclusion in bread wheat" (2008).
44. Genc Y, et al. "Sodium exclusion QTL associated with improved seedling growth in bread wheat under salinity stress". *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 121.5 (2010): 877-894.
45. Genc Y, et al. "Quantitative trait loci for agronomic and physiological traits for a bread wheat population grown in environments with a range of salinity levels". *Molecular Breeding* 32.1 (2013): 39-59.
46. Ilyas N, et al. "Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping for physiological and biochemical attributes in a Pasban90/Frontana recombinant inbred lines (RILs) population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under salt stress condition". *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 27.1 (2020): 341-351.
47. Luo Q, et al. "Mapping QTL For Seedling Morphological and Physiological Traits Under Normal and Salt Treatments in a RIL Wheat Population". *Research Square* 134.9 (2021): 2991-3011.
48. Narjesi V, et al. "Analysis of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for grain yield and agronomic traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under normal and salt-stress conditions". *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 33.6 (2015): 2030-2040.
49. Ogonnaya FC, et al. "Mapping quantitative trait loci associated with salinity tolerance in synthetic derived backcrossed bread lines" (2008).
50. Oyiga BC, et al. "Allelic variations and differential expressions detected at quantitative trait loci for salt stress tolerance in wheat". *Plant, cell and Environment* 41.5 (2018): 919-935.

#### Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- Issue of Publication Certificate
- High visibility of your Published work

Website: [www.actascientific.com/](http://www.actascientific.com/)

Submit Article: [www.actascientific.com/submission.php](http://www.actascientific.com/submission.php)

Email us: [editor@actascientific.com](mailto:editor@actascientific.com)

Contact us: +91 9182824667