



## Laser Versus Conventional Fenestration in Stapedotomy for Otosclerosis: Our Institutional Experience

Dhwani U Mehta<sup>1\*</sup>, Chinmayee P Joshi<sup>2</sup>, Vaishali A Patel<sup>3</sup> and Kalpesh B Patel<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Third Year Resident, Department of ENT, B.J. Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, B.J. Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>3</sup>Second Year Resident, Department of ENT, B.J. Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>4</sup>Associate Professor and Head of Unit, Department of ENT, B.J. Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

**\*Corresponding Author:** Dhwani U Mehta, Third Year Resident, Department of ENT, B.J. Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

**Received:** October 10, 2019; **Published:** November 15, 2019

### Abstract

**Context:** Otosclerosis is a localized hereditary disorder of bone metabolism of the otic capsule. The most classical manifestation is conductive hearing loss secondary to stapedial fixation. Our study compares a series of CO<sub>2</sub> laser stapedectomy (LS) with a series of conventional stapedectomy (CS) with respect to technical advantages, hearing results and complications.

**Aims:** To evaluate whether CO<sub>2</sub> laser fenestration in primary stapedotomy for otosclerosis is safer than conventional fenestration techniques.

**Settings and Design:** Prospective, interventional randomized study.

**Methods and Material:** 30 patients (20 females, 10 males) who underwent surgery for otosclerotic disease between January 2018 and January 2019 were studied in our institute.

**Statistical analysis used:** Paired student t test.

**Results and Conclusion:** (i) CO<sub>2</sub> Laser is a safe and efficient one shot technique. (ii) LS is technically less difficult, produces an atraumatic, bloodless opening in the footplate of stapes without mechanical manipulation of the stapes and less inner ear damage. (iii) The air-bone gap closure is better in laser group (32±3.85).

**Keywords:** CO<sub>2</sub> Laser; Stapedial Otosclerosis; Stapedotomy

### Introduction

Otosclerosis is a localized hereditary disorder of bone metabolism of the otic capsule enchondral bone that is characterized by disordered resorption and deposition of bone [1]. Conductive or mixed deafness, tinnitus and, more rarely vestibular symptoms, are the clinical signs of this pathology. The onset is usually around the third or fourth decade of life [2], predominating in females (M:F 2:1). The disease is autosomal-dominant, with a 20–40 % penetrance [2–4]. Both ears are involved in the majority of cases.

Surgical management is the definitive treatment. It can be treated surgically by removing (part of) the stapes and replacing it with a prosthesis—stapedotomy and stapedectomy, respectively, with aid of conventional and laser techniques [5–7].

The objective of this systematic review was to evaluate whether CO<sub>2</sub> laser fenestration in primary stapedotomy for otosclerosis is safer than conventional fenestration techniques, in terms of air-bone gap closure and adverse effects, including SNHL, vertigo, tinnitus, and footplate fractures.

### Materials and Methods

This is an interventional randomized study where 30 patients (20 females, 10 males) who underwent surgery for otosclerotic disease between January 2018 and January 2019 were prospectively studied in our E.N.T Department of B.J Medical College, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad.

The inclusion criterion was a diagnosis of otosclerosis based on a clinical history of progressive hearing loss, normal otoscopic findings, an audiogram showing a mean conductive hearing loss >20 dB in the range of 0.5–4 kHz, the absence of cochleo-stapedial reflexes and surgery findings. People with only hearing ear, with active infection of external or middle ear and with poor medical conditions were excluded from the study.

The patients were examined including history, general examination, ENT examination, Otoscopy and Tuning Fork Test followed by microscopic examination of the ear. Pure Tone Audiometry and impedance audiometry were done for all cases.

Patients were divided into two groups: group 1 (15 patients, 8 females, treated with conventional stapedotomy) and group 2 (15 patients, 12 females, treated with CO<sub>2</sub> laser stapedotomy).

Surgery was always performed on the ear with the poorest air conduction threshold in the frequencies considered (0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz).

All surgical procedures were performed under local anesthesia and by the same surgeon.

First group of patients were treated with conventional technique using perforator.

Second group of patients were treated with conventional technique using CO<sub>2</sub> laser.

CO<sub>2</sub> laser was used to vaporize the stapedial tendon, the posterior crus and to fenestrate the oval window with a one-shot technique.

In all patients, hole diameter was 0.6 mm. A self-crimping Teflon/Titanium self crimping piston prosthesis was used in both

groups. Prosthesis length varied between 4 to 4.75 depending upon the surgical findings and diameter of used prosthesis was mostly 0.4 mm.

Postoperative audiograms were obtained at 6 weeks and 9 months intervals.

In all patients, 4-frequency pure tone average (4-PTA) was measured for both air and bone conduction. ABG [(airconducted threshold)–(bone-conducted threshold)] was calculated at 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 3,000 Hz.

Means of preoperative pure tone average of air conduction (AC), ABG at 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz, and bone conduction (BC) at 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz were compared with corresponding post operative values (ex. AC variation = preoperative AC–postoperative AC). A successful result was considered to be a postoperative ABG of atleast 10 dB.

Pre and post operatively all patients were asked about tinnitus and vertigo.

Intraoperative complications (floating footplate, fracture of the footplate, subluxation of the ossicular chain, perforation of tympanic membrane and perilymphatic gusher) and acute/late postoperative complications (dysgeusia, facial palsy, vertigo and hypoacusis/deafness) were recorded.

### Results

Majority of the patients in both the groups were females, aged between 30 and 50 years with duration of hearing loss less than 10 years. All 30 patients had bilateral hearing loss.

Teflon piston was used in most cases with both the techniques (Table 1).

Piston	CO2 laser (n=15)	Conventional (n=15)
Teflon	11	14
Titanium	4	1

**Table 1:** Type of piston used.

Most commonly used piston length and diameter with both the techniques were 4.5 mm and 0.4 mm respectively (Table 2).

		Conventional (n=15)	CO <sub>2</sub> laser (n=15)
Length (in mm)	4	2	1
	4.25	4	5
	4.5	7	7
	4.75	2	2
Diameter (in mm)	0.4	10	11
	0.5	4	3
	0.6	1	1

**Table 2:** Length and Diameter of piston used in patients.

The ABG gain in LS and CS groups was comparable at each frequency with better gain at low frequencies. There was a statistically significant (calculated p value < 0.00001 at <0.0) reduction in ABG following surgery in both groups at frequencies 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz. Paired students t test was used for statistical analysis (Table 3).


	Pre operative ABG (Mean ± SD) in dB	Post operative ABG (Mean ± SD) in dB	Gain (Mean ± SD) in dB
Conventional	47.2 ± 5.25	21.8 ± 4.64	25.3 ± 5.15
CO <sub>2</sub> laser	48.53 ± 3.5	16.5 ± 2.29	32 ± 3.85

**Table 3:** Difference between ABG Pre and post operatively.


There was minimum difference in ABG post operatively at 6 weeks and 9 months follow up. 6 monthly Audiograms of some patients (treated later in this study design) are included in results as the hearing outcomes were better on follow up.

Bar Diagram showing comparison between complications in both techniques. Though chances of intra and post operative complications are lesser with CO<sub>2</sub> laser; One of the patients treated with CO<sub>2</sub> laser technique had perforation in the tympanic membrane on 1 month follow-up with decreased hearing, which was corrected by tympanoplasty thereafter with better hearing outcome later on.

Bar diagram showing Average Air Bone gap closure with both techniques.



**Graph 1:** Comparison of post stapedotomy complications between conventional techniques and CO<sub>2</sub> laser



**Graph 2:** Average Air Bone Gap in conventional techniques and CO<sub>2</sub> laser

**Discussion**

Compared to a study done by Matkovic in 2003 [8], patients(40) treated with CO<sub>2</sub> laser stapedotomy had better air-bone gap closure than the control group(40), with 6 months follow-up 82.6% had <10% ABG closure while in control group it was 75.3%. Vertigo and Chances of footplate fracture were higher with conventional technique.

Badran in 2006 [9] when compared the post stapedotomy ABG closure between the CO<sub>2</sub> laser and perforator techniques, it favoured the later for better hearing outcome. Though vertigo and footplate fracture incidence were significantly higher with perforator.

Cuda conducted a study in 2009 [10] regarding comparison of outcomes after using CO<sub>2</sub> laser and drill for stapedotomy, vertigo were seen more with laser technique while none of the patients had footplate fractures.

Here, our study suggests that LS yields better results as compared with conventional stapedectomy in treatment of otosclerosis as there is a minimum difference in AB gap closure between the two techniques with CO<sub>2</sub> laser technique showing better improvement in hearing. All patients in laser and conventional group had ABG closure within 10 dB at the end of 6th week which was confirmed by an audiogram at 9<sup>th</sup> month of surgery.

There are increased risk of footplate fractures and SNHL following the use of perforator. While no footplate complication or sudden deafness were recorded in patients treated with laser.

Few cases of temporary vertigo and postural instability were found in cases with conventional technique. No cases of postoperative dysgeusia (due to the removal of chorda tympani) were recognized.

The above considerations were made taking into account the limited size of our sample, according to functional results, lack of long-term outcomes and complications incidence as important aspects of stapes surgery.

## Conclusion

From our study it can be concluded that CO<sub>2</sub> laser technique has shown better hearing outcome and is considered to be safer than conventional method in view of intra operative complications like footplate fractures as it offers formation of a symmetric hole with minimum inner ear mechanical trauma due to its non-touch technique. Also incidence of post operative vertigo, vomiting and tinnitus are less with laser as compared to conventional technique. Though availability, surgeon's skills and cost of CO<sub>2</sub> laser still are the drawbacks.

## Bibliography

1. Chole R and McKenna M. "Pathophysiology of otosclerosis". *Otology and Neurotology* 22 (2001): 249-257.
2. Millman B., et al. "Long-term follow-up of stapedectomy in children and adolescents". *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 115 (1996): 78-81.
3. Briggs RJ and Luxford WM. "Correction of conductive hearing loss in children". *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America* 27 (1994): 607-620.
4. Niedermeyer HP, et al. "Shift of the distribution of age in patients with Otosclerosis". *Acta Otolaryngology* 121 (2001): 197-199.
5. Hausler R. "General history of stapedectomy". *Advances in Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* 65 (2007): 1-5.
6. Jovanovic S., et al. "'One shot' CO2 laser stapedotomy". *HNO* 54 (2006): 842-850
7. Vincent R., et al. "Surgical findings and long-term hearing results in 3,050 stapedotomies for primary otosclerosis: a prospective study with the otology-neurotology database". *Otology and Neurotology* 27 (2006): S25-S47.
8. Matkovic S., et al. "Advantages of CO2 laser use in surgical management of otosclerosis". *Vojnosanitetski Pregled* 60 (2003): 273-278.
9. Badran K., et al. "How we do it: switching from mechanical perforation to the CO2 laser; audit results of primary small fenestra stapedotomy in a district general hospital". *Clinical Otolaryngology* 31 (2006): 546-549.
10. Cuda D., et al. "Microdrill, CO2-laser, and piezoelectric stapedotomy: a comparative study". *Otology and Neurotology* 30 (2009): 1111-1115.

Volume 1 Issue 3 November 2019

© All rights are reserved by Dhvani U Mehta., et al.