# ACTA SCIENTIFIC DENTAL SCIENCES (ISSN: 2581-4893)

Volume 4 Issue 1 January 2020

Mini Review

## The Effect of Metastasis on Treatment and Prognosis of Oral Cancer: Short Review

### Moustafa Elhousiny\*

PHD, Research Assistant, College of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia

\*Corresponding Author: Moustafa Elhousiny, PHD, Research Assistant, College of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia.

DOI: 10.31080/ASDS.2020.04.743

Received: December 09, 2019

Published: December 31, 2019

© All rights are reserved by Moustafa

Elhousiny.

## **Abstract**

Metastasis is the leading cause of fatality in 90% of cancers, and approximately 60% of all cancer cases will have either regional or distant metastasis at initial diagnosis. Global data shows that survival rates drop significantly with the advance of disease stage and metastatic activity; therefore, patients with localised disease have a far better prognosis than those with disseminated tumours. In this aspect, oral squamous-cell carcinoma (OSCC) is locally invasive neoplasms. Both cancers are considered the worst prognosis cancers with an overall survival rate of 50% or slightly higher. In this review, we summarise the latest data in the literature about the impact of metastasis on oral cancer prognosis and the choice of treatment, emphasising the urgent need for reliable markers for the early detection and prevention of oral neoplasms to improve the patient outcome.

Keywords: Metastasis; Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma; Oral Cancer; Prognosis; Treatment

### Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) (ICD10 C00-C06) is considered the 6<sup>th</sup> most common cancer for both sexes [1-2]. Oral cancer is the leading cancer type and accounts for more than a third of all cancers in South-East Asian regions such as India and Sri-Lanka [3]. OSCC, which represents 90% of all oral cavity tumours, is a locally invasive lesion with its average survival rate at 50% [2]. More than 60% of oral cancer cases are diagnosed at advanced stages which decreases the survival probability significantly (Figure 1) [4-5]. The asymptomatic nature of oral cavity tumours and the delay in diagnosis/referral are the main factors contributing to the discovery of late-stage tumours that have already metastasised at the time of diagnosis [6-8].

# Metastasis and oral cancer prognosis

Cervical metastasis and extracapsular spread (ECS) affect survival rate and has a great impact on both recurrence and rate of distant metastasis [9]. Several studies reported a significant reduction of survival to less than 20% in higher lymph node group or patients with ECS [9-11]. The same studies have reported an overall increase of recurrence rate of patients with either cervical metastasis or ECS, in which the group with both had the lowest survival rate as well as the worst recurrence rate of two to three times the control group [12-16].

**Figure 1:** Percentage of survival by stage of disease and percentage of Cases by Stage at Diagnosis; Oral cavity and Pharynx Cancer SEER.

The problem of metastasis is even more complicated due to the occurrence of micro metastasis which can be in the range of 11 - 44% in T1-T2 clinically negative lymph node patients and has a deterministic effect on the prognostic outcome of the disease [17-21]. Micro metastases significantly decrease the survival rates and recurrence rates even when the most aggressive treatment modalities are in place [22-24]. Jang., et al. found that 57% of early-

stage oral cancer patients who presented with occult metastasis had decreased survival rates (24% compared with 66% for those with no micro-metastasis) despite receiving radiation treatment and neck dissection [25]. Thiele., *et al.* studied 122 patients with early-stage oral cancer, all of whom underwent neck dissection and found 17 (13.9%) patients with occult metastasis [26]. Even with neck dissection, the disease-specific survival for those patients was down to 17.8% from 61.9% for the occult-free patients.

The final form of metastasis in OSCC is distant metastasis (DM) which has been reported in a range of 3-30% prevalence among different studies [27-28]. The rate of distant metastasis at initial diagnosis of the oral tumours is estimated to be less than 3% [29-31]. The rates of distant metastasis along the course of the disease range from 9 - 30% [32-33], which might explain the huge fluctuation in the rate of metastasis reported by different studies. Another explanation might be that distant metastasis develops as a consequence of a regional metastasis in patients who fail to achieve loco-regional disease control after treatment [34-36]. It has been concluded that patients with positive lymph nodes are three to seven times more likely to develop distant metastasis [37-39]. Li., et al. [40] reported 1, 3 and 5-year survival rates of 56.8%,

9.1% and 6.8%, respectively for patients with DMs. Only three out of 36 patients with distant metastasis survived to the second year. The most common sites for metastasis from OSCC tumours are: lung (>60%) followed by bone, liver, and skin [41].

#### Metastasis and treatment in oral cancer

The metastatic potential of OSCC is critical in the decision-making when choosing treatment, necessitating aggressive therapeutic modalities to ensure residual disease-free outcomes [42]. The risk of micro-metastasis is around 30% in early-stage cases, which significantly increases the risk of recurrence and reduces the chances of survival [17-21]. Neck dissection is suggested for elective treatment and staging of the clinically negative lymph node patients with stages I and II. However, the indications and advantages of this procedure in the head and neck area are under debate [43]. Several retrospective studies and few RCTs have been conducted to identify the benefits of this invasive procedure [44-46] (Table 1). A recent meta-analysis reported in favour of neck dissection, although several issues have been raised regarding the study design, statistical analysis and selection criteria, highlighting the need for proper randomised trials [47].

Author	Study Sample	Study Type	Recurrence Treated Vs OBS %	DSS survival	Overall survival
Smith [92], 2004	171	Retro	20	96	same
			7	92	
Kelner [97],2014	172	Retro	7*	96*	87^
			15	85	84
Feng[96],	229	Retro	9.6*	79.2*	20*
			19.2	61.9	41
Keski-Sa"ntti [93],2006	80	Retro	44*	63^	82^
			20	66	77
Liu [94], 2011	13	Retro	14.8	NS	NS
			23.2		
Poeschl [106],2012	86	Retro	16.6		NA
			18.4	NS	
D'Cruz [110],2009	359	Retro	5.7*	74^	60^
			47	68	60
Yuen [112],2009	71	RCT	6	89^	N/A
			37	87	
Fakih [111],1989	70	RCT	30	63	N/A
			58	52	
Klingerman[95],1994	67	RCT	12	N/A	72*
			39		49

<sup>\*</sup> Significant results, NS. Author claimed non-significant, ^ Non-significant, N/A Data not available, DSS = disease-specific survival, Retro = retrospective, RCT = randomised control trial, OBS = observation.

**Table 1:** Comparison of studies performed on the outcome of neck treatment through neck dissection or observation according to survival.

Patients with advanced stages of head and neck tumours were treated with surgery and radiotherapy or radiotherapy alone for inoperable tumours, which resulted in poor survival and severe morbidity [48-49]. Pignon., *et al.* [50] performed a meta-analysis of 70 trials, including 10,741 patients, highlighting only 4% improvement in the survival of advanced-stage patients of head and neck cancers in favour of the multimodality treatment. In 2009, an updated version of the meta-analysis was been published with 24 new trials with similar results and no difference between outcomes in the previous two decades [51]. Another meta-analysis claimed that induction chemotherapy (i.e. before treatment) resulted in 7% enhancement in the distant metastasis-free rate compared with loco-regional treatment alone: however, no effect on survival was achieved [52].

Furness., et al. analyzed 89 randomized studies on oral and oropharyngeal cancer and found that induction or concomitant chemotherapy plus surgery and radiotherapy produced a 10 - 20% survival advantage in patients with advanced-stage neoplasms. However, the study did not show any significant improvement in terms of recurrent or metastatic control for these treatments [53]. A recent study showed an improved outcome for patients with advanced oral cancer treated with multimodality in terms of recurrence, metastasis-free and overall survival compared with those treated with mono or dual therapies [54]. The study revealed that 115 patients of 222 (51%) died due to OSCC-related causes with 58% survival advantage for surgery chemo-radiotherapy (S-CRT) group. Patients in this group achieved a 22% reduction in the recurrence rate, from 39%. The metastasis-free rate for S-CRT group was 84% but this was a secondary objective of the study and the comparison criteria were not clear. The results confirm the notion that despite a slight improvement in survival, these treatment regimens still fail to prove any advantage in recurrent oral cancer. OSCC has a higher rate of recurrence even in patients who undergo treatment [55]. Patients with recurrent diseases have a very poor prognosis, and even with the salvage treatment, the outcome remains unfavourable [56-57]. The relative success in early detection and prevention of early-stage tumours has resulted in prolonged survival periods but with more recurrence and distant metastasis incidence rates observed [58].

## Conclusion

The choice of treatment is currently determined using diagnostic tools available to identify the level of the cervical metastasis. Clinical examination alone is not capable of detecting occult metastasis; therefore, adjunctive methods such as imaging should be used to diagnose such lesions. The low specificity and sensitivity of these diagnostics compels clinicians to deal with the consequences of metastasis after it has occurred. Therefore, the management of OSCC patients with metastasis, or those in early

stages with high risk of metastasis, requires invasive management approaches to ensure the best outcome. Unfortunately, due to the knowledge gap in understanding metastasis, we experience more relapses with cancer treatment. Metastasis has shifted to become the prime killer of the OSCC patient, not the primary tumour itself. Molecular diagnostics (e.g. PCR, DNA microarray) are revealing higher than ever metastatic rates, up to 30%, even in very early stages, with some new opinions that metastasis commences as early as the tumour itself. Only by understanding the molecular mechanism of the pathogenesis of metastasis, can we establish any basis for precise clinical models, which will allow the identification of the critical characteristic of those cells and this is a vital requirement for development of effective therapeutic modalities.

## **Conflict of Interest**

None Declared This article is an intellectual property of the author and this consent is to confirm the approval of the author to publish the article in the intended journal. This is also to confirm that this article has not been published before or under any publication consideration.

### **Bibliography**

- Ferlay J., et al. "Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008". International Journal of Cancer 127.12 (2010): 2893-2917.
- Warnakulasuriya S. "Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer". Oral Oncology 45.4 (2009): 309-316.
- 3. Rao S., et al. "Epidemiology of oral cancer in Asia in the past decade-an update (2000-2012)". Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 14.10 (2013): 5567-5577.
- 4. Shah I., *et al.* "Clinical stage of oral cancer patients at the time of initial diagnosis". *Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad* 22.3 (2010): 61-63.
- 5. Pulte D and Brenner H. "Changes in survival in head and neck cancers in the late 20th and early 21st century: a period analysis". *The Oncologist* 15.9 (2010): 994-1001.
- Van der Waal I. "Are we able to reduce the mortality and morbidity of oral cancer; some considerations". Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral Y Cirugia Bucal 18.1 (2013): 33.
- 7. Centelles P., et al. "Timing of oral cancer diagnosis: Implications for prognosis and survival". Oral Cancer Ogbureke (2012):173-188.

- 8. Chen G and Chen C. "A study on survival rates of oral squamous cell carcinoma]". *The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences* 12.6 (1996): 317-325.
- Dünne A., et al. "Meta-analysis of the prognostic significance of perinodal spread in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) patients". European Journal of Cancer 42.12 (2006):1863-1868.
- 10. Jones A. "Prognosis in mouth cancer: tumor factors". *European Journal of Cancer Part B: Oral Oncology* 30.1 (1994): 8-15.
- 11. Tankéré F., *et al.* "Prognostic value of lymph node involvement in oral cancers: a study of 137 cases". *The Laryngoscope* 110.12 (2000): 2061-2065.
- 12. Woolgar J., *et al.* "Survival and patterns of recurrence in 200 oral cancer patients treated by radical surgery and neck dissection". *Oral Oncology* 35.3 (1999): 257-265.
- 13. Woolgar J., *et al.* "Cervical lymph node metastasis in oral cancer: the importance of even microscopic extracapsular spread". *Oral Oncology* 39.2 (2003): 130-137.
- 14. Zou S., *et al.* "Relationship between extracapsular spread of cervical metastatic lymph node and cervical recurrence in oral cancer patients]". *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi= Zhonghua Kouqiang Yixue Zazhi= Chinese Journal of Stomatology* 42.9 (2007): 541-543.
- Liao C., et al. "Outcome analysis of patients with oral cavity cancer and extracapsular spread in neck lymph nodes". International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics 81.4 (2011): 930-937.
- 16. Myers JN., et al. "Extracapsular spread. A significant predictor of treatment failure in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue". *Cancer* 92.12 (2001): 3030-3036.
- 17. Woolgar J. "Micrometastasis in oral/oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: incidence, histopathological features and clinical implications". *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 37.3 (1999): 181-186.
- 18. Ferlito A., *et al.* "The incidence of lymph node micrometastases in patients pathologically staged N0 in cancer of oral cavity and oropharynx". *Oral Oncology* 38.1 (2002): 3-5.

- 19. Pimenta Amaral T., *et al.* "Predictive factors of occult metastasis and prognosis of clinical stages I and II squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue and floor of the mouth". *Oral Oncology* 40.8 (2004): 780-786.
- Hiratsuka H., et al. "Multivariate analysis of occult lymph node metastasis as a prognostic indicator for patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity". Cancer 80.3 (1997): 351-356.
- 21. El-Naaj I., *et al.* "Incidence of oral cancer occult metastasis and survival of T1-T2N0 oral cancer patients". *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 69.10 (2011): 2674-2679.
- 22. Goto M., *et al.* "Prognostic significance of late cervical metastasis and distant failure in patients with stage I and II oral tongue cancers". *Oral Oncology* 41.1 (2005): 62-69.
- 23. Qi-Gen F. "Occult Node Metastasis in Early Tongue Squamous Cell Carcinoma". *Anaplastology* S6. 1 (2013): 2161-1173.
- 24. Zhang T., et al. "Treatment of cT1N0M0 tongue cancer: outcome and prognostic parameters". Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 72.2 (2014): 406-414.
- 25. Jang W., et al. "Treatment of patients with clinically lymph node-negative squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity". Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology 38.6 (2008): 395-401.
- 26. Thiele O., *et al.* "The role of elective supraomohyoidal neck dissection in the treatment of early, node-negative oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC): a retrospective analysis of 122 cases". *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery* 40.1 (2012): 67-70.
- 27. Betka J. "Distant metastases from lip and oral cavity cancer". *ORL* 63.4 (2001): 217-221.
- 28. Takes R., *et al.* "Distant metastases from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Part I. Basic aspects". *Oral Oncology* 48.9 (2012): 775-779.
- 29. Kuperman D., *et al.* "Squamous cell cancer of the head and neck with distant metastasis at presentation". *Head and Neck* 33.5 (2011): 714-718.
- 30. De Bree R., *et al.* "Screening for distant metastases in patients with head and neck cancer". *The Laryngoscope* 110.3 (2000): 397-401.

- 31. Jäckel M and Rausch H. "Distant metastasis of squamous epithelial carcinomas of the upper aerodigestive tract. The effect of clinical tumor parameters and course of illness]". *HNO* 47.1 (1999): 38-44.
- 32. Garavello W., et al. "Risk factors for distant metastases in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma". Archives of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 132.7 (2006): 762-766.
- 33. Ljumanovic R., *et al.* "Distant metastases in head and neck carcinoma: identification of prognostic groups with MR imaging". *European Journal of Radiology* 60.1 (2006): 58-66.
- 34. Leibel S., et al. "The effect of local-regional control on distant metastatic dissemination in carcinoma of the head and neck: results of an analysis from the RTOG head and neck database". International Journal of Radiation, Oncology, Biology, Physics 21.3 (1991): 549-556.
- Li X., et al. "Clinicopathologic risk factors for distant metastases from head and neck squamous cell carcinomas". European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 35.12 (2009): 1348-1353.
- 36. Sumioka S., *et al*. "Risk factors for distant metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity". *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery: official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons* 71.7 (2013): 1291-1297.
- 37. Shintani S., *et al.* "Regional lymph node involvement affects the incidence of distant metastasis in tongue squamous cell carcinomas". *Anticancer Research* 15.4 (1994): 1573-1576.
- 38. Lim J., *et al.* "Predictive factors of isolated distant metastasis after primary definitive surgery without systemic treatment for head and neck squamouscell carcinoma". *Oral Oncology* 46.7 (2010): 504-508.
- 39. Shingaki S., et al. "Surgical treatment of stage I and II oral squamous cell carcinomas: analysis of causes of failure". British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 33.5 (1995): 304-308.
- 40. Lee D., *et al.* "Distant metastases and survival prediction in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma". *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* (2012).
- 41. Ferlito A., *et al.* "Incidence and sites of distant metastases from head and neck cancer". *Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* 63.4 (2001): 202-207.

- 42. Genden E., *et al.* "Contemporary management of cancer of the oral cavity". *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* 267.7 (2010): 1001-1017.
- 43. Wei W., et al. "Management of the N0 neck—reference or preference". Oral Oncology 42.2 (2006): 115-122.
- 44. Klingerman J., *et al.* "Supraomohyoid neck dissection in the treatment of T1/T2 squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity". *The American Journal of Surgery* 168 (1994): 391-394.
- 45. Fakih A and Patel A. Prophylactic neck dissection in squamous cell carcinoma of oral tongue: a prospective randomized study 5.5 (1989): 327-330.
- 46. Yuen A., *et al.* "Prospective randomized study of selective neck dissection versus observation for N0 neck of early tongue carcinoma". *Head and Neck* 31.6 (2009): 765-772.
- 47. Fasunla A., *et al.* "A meta-analysis of the randomized controlled trials on elective neck dissection versus therapeutic neck dissection in oral cavity cancers with clinically node-negative neck". *Oral Oncology* 47.5 (2011): 320-324.
- 48. Deng H., *et al.* "The treatment of oral cancer: an overview for dental professionals". *Australian Dental Journal* 56.3 (2011): 244-252.
- 49. Rapidis A. "Multidisciplinary Management of Oral Cavity and Maxillary Sinus Cancers". *Springer* (2011): 363-380.
- Pignon J., et al. "Chemotherapy added to loco-regional treatment for head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma: three meta-analyses of updated individual data". The Lancet 355.9208 (2000): 949-955.
- 51. Pignon J., et al. "Meta-analysis of chemotherapy in head and neck cancer (MACH-NC): an update on 93 randomised trials and 17,346 patients". Radiotherapy and Oncology 92.1 (2009): 4-14.
- 52. Ma J., et al. "Induction chemotherapy decreases the rate of distant metastasis in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma but does not improve survival or loco-regional control: a meta-analysis". *Oral oncology* 48.11 (2012): 1076-1084.
- 53. Furness S., *et al.* "Interventions for the treatment of oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancer: chemotherapy". *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (2011): 4.

- 54. Zhang H., et al. "Survival outcomes of patients with advanced oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma treated with multimodal therapy: a multi-institutional analysis". Journal of Otolaryngology-Head \and Neck Surgery 42.1 (2013): 30.
- 55. Da Silva S., *et al.* "Recurrent oral cancer: current and emerging therapeutic approaches". *Frontiers in Pharmacology* (2012): 3.
- 56. Goodwin WJ. "Salvage surgery for patients with recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the upper aerodigestive tract: when do the ends justify the means?" *Laryngoscope* 110.93 (2000): 1-18.
- 57. Koo B., *et al.* "Recurrence and salvage treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity". *Oral Oncology* 42.8 (2006): 789-794.
- 58. Ord R and Blanchaert R. "Current management of oral cancer: A multidisciplinary approach". The *Journal of the American Dental Association* 132.1 (2001): 19-23.

## Assets from publication with us

- Prompt Acknowledgement after receiving the article
- Thorough Double blinded peer review
- Rapid Publication
- Issue of Publication Certificate
- · High visibility of your Published work

Website: https://www.actascientific.com/

Submit Article: https://www.actascientific.com/submission.php

Email us: editor@actascientific.com

Contact us: +91 9182824667