ACTA SCIENTIFIC DENTAL SCIENCES (ISSN: 2581-4893)

Volume 2 Issue 12 December 2018

Osseodensification Technique - A Novel Bone Preservation Method to Enhance Implant Stability

Gayathri S*

Department of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, P.M.S College of Dental Science and Research, Kerala University of Health Sciences, Kerala, India

*Corresponding Author: Gayathri S, Department of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, P.M.S College of Dental Science and Research, Kerala University of Health Sciences, Kerala, India.

Received: October 15, 2018; Published: November 05, 2018

Abstract

Nowadays, dental implants have become a widespread treatment modality for replacing missing teeth. Success of implant therapy depends on primary implant stability, which influences osseointegration. Traditional drilling method excavates bone to create an osteotomy to receive implant fixture. A new promising technique, osseodensification, has recently been introduced that preserves the bone bulk by creating a layer of compacted bone along the surface of the osteotomy. This technique enhances the bone density around dental implants and increases primary stability. The purpose of this review article is to discuss the osseodensification procedure and its advantages over traditional drilling method in detail.

Keywords: Dental Implants; Primary Implant Stability; Osseointegration; Bone Density; Conventional Drilling; Osseodensification

Introduction

Nowadays, the replacement of lost natural tooth by means of dental implants has become a routine procedure in dental practice. Osseointegration, "a direct structural and functional connection between ordered, living bone and the surface of a load-carrying implant" [1], is a predominant parameter determining the success of an implant. However, a secure primary implant stability is essential for successful osseointegration and long-term successful clinical outcome of implant therapy [2,3]. Primary stability comes from the mechanical engagement between implant surface and bone walls of the osteotomic site at the time of surgical placement of the implant [4]. The achievement of primary implant stability depends on various patient-related [5,6], surgical procedure-related [7,8] and implant-related factors [9,10].

Bone density is considered as a key factor to take into account while predicting implant stability [11-13]. The quality and quantity of the histological structure of bone at the implant interface is strongly correlated with the density of bone available at the implant site [14] and bone strength is directly related to its bulk of mineral density and collagen integrity [15]. Therefore, it is important to

preserve bone bulk during the preparation of an osteotomy in order to achieve implant stability and long-term clinical success.

Traditional drilling method for osteotomy preparation involves cutting and extraction of bone tissue to create a cylindrical hole that will receive an implant fixture [16] Over the past decades, several surgical techniques have been developed to improve conditions of local bone at implant recipient sites by preserving the existing bone volume and increasing its density, especially in situations where bone density is low. Sennerby [17] suggested that omission of the stage of bone tapping in low density bone might improve primary implant stability. Undersized implant site preparation is also recommended [18-20]. Degidi., et al. [20] reported a 10% undersized osteotomic implant site preparation in respect to the implant diameter to reduce bone cutting and enhance primary implant stability in the presence of poor-quality bone. In stepped osteotomy technique [21-23] the under preparation is performed only in the apical area of the implant site, whereas the crestal portion is treated with a standard protocol. Bone condensation technique with osteotomes [24,25] is another surgical technique aimed to compact the bone with the mechanical action of cylindrical steel

Citation: Gayathri S. "Osseodensification Technique – A Novel Bone Preservation Method to Enhance Implant Stability". Acta Scientific Dental Sciences 2.12 (2018): 17-22.

instruments along the osteotomic walls to improve localized bone density. This technique consists of preparation of a small-sized pilot hole followed by compression of the bone tissue laterally and apically with a spreader or implant-shaped instrument. But this procedure seems to create trabecular fractures with debris, leading to an obstruction of the osseointegration process.

Recently, a new bone preserving, non-extraction site osteotomy preparation technique has been introduced for the preparation of implant bed based on an osseodensification drilling concept [26,27]. This review focuses on osseodensification technique and its advantages over traditional drilling osteotomy preparation technique.

The osseodensification Concept

Osseodensification is a novel, biomechanical, non-excavation osteotomy preparation technique developed by Salah Huwais in 2013. For this purpose, Huwais invented specially designed densifying burs called Densah burs (by Versah LLC- The osseodensification company). Unlike traditional drills, this drill design creates an environment which increases the primary stability by means of non-subtractive drilling [28]. Densifying burs combine the advantages of osteotomes with the speed and tactile control of the drills during osteotomy.

Osseodensification technique generates a layer of condensed autograft surrounding the implant along the surface of the osteotomy making it valuable in clinical settings where there is an anatomic paucity of bone. The logic behind osseodensification concept is that compacted, autologous bone immediately in contact with an endosteal device will not only have higher degrees of primary stability due to physical interlocking between the bone and the device, but also facilitate osseointegration due to osteoblasts nucleating on instrumented bone in close proximity to the implant [29].

Characteristics of densifying burs (Figure 1)

- A conically tapered body with a maximum diameter adjacent the shank and minimum diameter adjacent the apical end. This taper design controls the expansion process, as the bur enters deeper into the osteotomy.
- The apical end includes atleast one lip to grind bone when rotated in the counterclockwise/non-cutting/burnishing direction and cut bone when rotated in the clockwise/ cutting/drilling direction.

- Helical flutes and interposed lands are disposed about the body. Each flute has a burnishing face and an opposing cutting face. The burnishing face burnishes bone when rotated in the burnishing direction and the cutting face cuts bone when turned in the cutting direction.
- At least one of the lip and the lands are configured to generate an opposing axial reaction force when continuously rotated in a burnishing direction and concurrently forcibly advanced into an osteotomy. This results in a push-back phenomenon, which provides the user enhanced control over the expansion procedure.



Figure 1: Characteristics of a densifying bur.

Osseodensification procedure

Densifying burs can be used with a standard surgical engine, rotating at 800-1200 rpm in the counterclockwise, non-cutting/ burnishing direction (Densifying mode) to densify bone or in the clockwise cutting direction (Cutting mode) as a drill to cleanly cut the bone if needed (Figure.2). A downward surgical pressure coupled with profuse saline irrigation at the point of contact creates a compression wave inside the osteotomy that works with the fluting to create a densified layer along the walls and base of the osteotomy, through compaction and autografting the surrounding bone while plastically expanding the bony ridge at the same time. The irrigation fluid along with the fluid content of the bone helps this

18

process by creating a lubrication film between the two surfaces to reduce friction and more evenly distribute the compressive forces. Bouncing motion of the bur (in and out of the osteotomy) is recommended, which will create a rate-dependent stress to produce a rate-dependent strain. This allows the saline irrigation to gently pressurize the bone walls and facilitates increased bone plasticity and bone expansion [4,28,31].

Huwais., *et al.* [31] reported that the diameter of the osseodensified osteotomy site was reduced by 91% of the bur diameter, when the osteotomy remained empty during imaging. This might be due to the spring-back effect of the compacted bone, caused by the residual strains of viscoelastic deformation created during the osteotomy preparation [32]. This spring-back effect creates compressive forces against the implant, thereby enhancing the bone-to-implant contact and primary stability, which have been shown to promote osteogenic activity through a mechanobiologic healing process [33].

Osseodensification technique versus traditional drilling method for osteotomy preparation (Table 1).

Osseodensification technique is a bone preservation method, whereas traditional drilling method involves cutting and

Figure 2: Dual use capability of densifying bur- a) Densifying mode- creates osseodensification; b) Cutting mode-precisely cuts bone.

(Image source: Densah[®] Bur and C-Guide[™] Instructions for Use by Versah - the osseodensification company).

Technique	Osseodensification technique	Traditional drilling technique
Bone excavation	Non-excavation, bone preservation method, allows com- paction - auto-grafting of bone with minimal trauma.	Involves cutting and excavation of bone tissue.
Bur design	Taper design with more than four lands and flutes and a tip with flute/s to guide through the osteotomy and eliminates potential chatter.	Regular twist drills have only two to four lands to guide them through the osteotomy
Osteotomy	Creates precise circumferential osteotomy Diameter of osteotomy is 0.5mm smaller than tradition- al drilling osteotomy.	May not always produce a precise circumfer- ential osteotomy. May become elongated and elliptical due to chatter of the drills.
Heat generation	Heat generation is reduced with copious amount of sa- line external irrigation along with a bouncing-pumping motion of bur.	Heat generation during rotary cutting is a crucial factor influencing the development of osseointe-gration.
Implant placement Insertion torque Removal torque % Bone volume % Bone-implant contact Implant stability	Higher (Compared to traditional drilling techniques)	Less (compared to osseodensification technique)
In narrow ridges	Facilitates expansion of narrow ridges in width. Allows larger diameter implant placement without creating bone dehiscence or fenestration.	Larger diameter implant placement may result in bone dehiscence or fenestration.

Table 1: Osseodensification technique Vs Traditional drilling technique.

Citation: Gayathri S. "Osseodensification Technique – A Novel Bone Preservation Method to Enhance Implant Stability". *Acta Scientific Dental Sciences* 2.12 (2018): 17-22.

excavation of bone tissue. It has been demonstrated that densifying drills increase the percentage bone volume and percentage bone-to-implant contact area for implants placed in low-density bone compared to traditional osteotomies, which may enhance osseointegration [4]. Drilled osteotomies may sometimes become elongated and elliptical due to chatter of the drills [34]. Lack of precise osteotomy may lead to reduced insertion torque, leading to poor implant stability. Heat generation during rotary cutting is one of the crucial factors influencing the development of osseointegration [35]. During drilling, temperature rises due to the plastic deformation and shear failure of bone and friction at the machining face, which may affect the viability as well as the structure and mechanical properties. These circumstances, may reduce the implant insertion torque, leading to poor primary stability and potential lack of integration to bone [27]. External irrigation with copious amount of saline along with a bouncing motion of bur used in osseodensification technique seems beneficial in reducing the heat generated during the osteotomy preparation [31].

The diameter of an osteotomy prepared by osseodensification is found to be smaller than conventional osteotomies prepared with the same burs [31]. The percentage of bone at the implant surface is reported to be increased by approximately three times for implants placed with osseodensification compared with standard drilling by creating a crust of increased bone mineral density around the osteotomy site [31].

Trisi., *et al.* [36] reported a significant increase in insertion torque and concomitant reduction in micromotion by bone compaction techniques with that of standard drilling. Lahens B., *et al.* [29] and Huwais., *et al.* [31] observed that osseous densification increased the insertion torque compared to standard drilling. High insertion torque can significantly increase the initial bone-to-implant contact percentage [37] and is found to be directly related to implant primary stability and host bone density [36]. High insertion torque is also important for achieving a good clinical outcome with early or immediate loading [38].

Higher removal torque values are noted with implants placed by osseous densification compared to drilling [4,31,39]. This may be due to the reverse compression applied to the implant by the compressed bone in osteotomy prepared by osseodensification.

Advantages of osseodensification technique

Osseodensification is a unique, highly controllable, fast and efficient bone preservation osteotomy preparation technique which results in increased primary stability, bone mineral density and percentage of bone at the implant surface leading to faster wound healing and enhanced osseointegration [27,28,31]. Healing process may be accelerated due to bone matrix, cells and biochemicals maintained and autografted along the osteotomy surface site [31].

By osseodensification technique wider implant diameter can be inserted in narrow ridges without creating bone dehiscence or fenestration [4]. Increased insertion [4,29,36] and removal torque values [31,38] have been reported with dental implants placed into osseodensified osteotomies.

The dual use capability of densifying bur in both cutting and noncutting direction may enable the clinician to autograft the maxillary sinus and expands any ridge in maxilla and mandible. Osseodensification facilitates ridge expansion while maintaining alveolar ridge integrity, thereby allowing for total implant length placement in autogenous bone with adequate primary stability and promotes a shorter waiting period to the restoration [27,28].

Conclusion

Preservation of bone bulk during implant osteotomy preparation is crucial for securing primary implant stability, which is highly associated with successful osseointegration and longterm successful clinical outcome. Most of the techniques proposed for implant osteotomy site preparation involve excavation and removal of bone. Recently, a unique; fast and efficient; bone preserving; biomechanical osteotomy preparation technique called osseodensification has been introduced. The osseodensification technique reduces bone sacrifice that appears unavoidable with conventional drilling procedures and prevents fracturing of trabeculae causing a delayed bone growth, as reported with the osteotome technique. The concept of osseodensification has changed the paradigm of implant site preparation and is found to be beneficial in creating a stronger expanded osteotomy for implant placement, through compaction and autografting the surrounding bone particularly in areas with low-density bone. The osseodensification technique is shown to increase the primary

20

stability, the bone mineral density, and the percentage of bone at the implant surface. However, long-term clinical trials and randomized controlled studies are warranted for high level evidence

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Bibliography

- Brånemark PI. "Introduction to osseointegration. In: Tissue integrated prostheses: Osseointegration in clinical dentistry". /edited by Brånemark PI, Zarb G, Albrektsson T. Chicago: Quintessence (1985): 350.
- 2. Albrektsson T., *et al.* "Osseointegrated titanium implants. Requirements for ensuring a long-lasting, direct bone-toimplant anchorage in man". *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica* 52 (1981): 155-170.
- 3. Lioubavina-Hack N., *et al.* "Significance of primary stability for osseointegration of dental implants". *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 17.3 (2006): 244-250.
- Trisi P., et al. "New Osseodensification Implant Site Preparation Method to Increase Bone Density in Low-Density Bone: In Vivo Evaluation in Sheep". *Implant Dentistry* 25.1 (2016): 24-31.
- 5. Alsaadi G., *et al.* "Impact of local and systemic factors on the incidence of oral implant failures, up to abutment connection". *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 34.7 (2007): 610-617.
- 6. Alsaadi G., *et al.* "Impact of local and systemic factors on the incidence of late oral implant loss". *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 19.7 (2008): 670-676.
- Turkyilmaz I., *et al.* "Two alternative surgical techniques for enhancing primary implant stability in the posterior maxi A clinical study including bone density, insertion torque, a resonance frequency analysis data". *Clinical Implant Dentis and Related Research* 10 (2008): 231-237.
- 8. Tabassum A., *et al.* "Influence of surgical technique and surf roughness on the primary stability of an implant in artifi bone with different cortical thickness: a laboratory stu *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 21.2 (2010): 213-220.
- 9. Dos Santos MV., *et al.* "The effects of superficial roughness a design on the primary stability of dental implants". *Clin Implant Dentistry and Related Research* 13 (2011): 215-22

- 10. Elias CN., *et al*. "Influence of implant shape, surface morphology, surgical technique and bone quality on the primary stability of dental implants". *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of the Biomedical Materials* 16 (2012): 169-180.
- 11. Molly L. "Bone density and primary stability in implant therapy". *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 17 (2006): 124-135.
- 12. Turkyilmaz I., *et al.* "Influence of bone density on implant stability parameters and implant success: a retrospective clinical study". *BMC Oral Health* 8 (2008): 32.
- Chrcanovic BR., et al. "Bone Quality and Quantity and Dental Implant Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis". International Journal of Prosthodontics 30.3 (2017): 219-237.
- 14. Todisco M., *et al.* "Bone mineral density and bone histomorphometry are statistically related". The *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants* 20 (2005): 898-904.
- 15. Lang TF, *et al.* "Measurement of bone mineral density at the spine and proximal femur by volumetric quantitative computed tomography and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in elderly women with and without vertebral fractures". *Bone* 30.1 (2002): 247-250.
- Bertollo N., *et al.* "Drilling of bone: Practicality, limitations and complications associated with surgical drill bits". (2011): 45-82.
- 17. Sennerby L. "On the bone tissue response to titanium implants". Thesis, Department of Handicap Research, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden; (1991).
- 18. Tabassum A., *et al.* "Bone particles and the undersized surgical technique". *Journal of Dental Research* 89 (2010): 581-586.
- 19. Alghamdi H., *et al.* "Undersized implant site preparation to enhance primary implant stability in poor bone density: A prospective clinical study". *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 69 (2011): 506-512.
- 20. Degidi M., *et al.* "Influence of under preparation on primary stability of implants inserted in poor quality bone sites: An in vitro study". *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 73 (2015): 1084-1088.
- 21. Boustany CM., *et al.* "Effect of a modified stepped osteotomy on the primary stability of dental implants in low-density bone: A cadaver study. *The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants* 30 (2015): 48-55.

- 22. Daprile G. "The Use of Stepped Osteotomy in Low Density Bone Sites: An In Vitro Study". *European Association for Osseointegration Online Library* (2016): 164583.
- 23. Degidi M., *et al.* "Influence of Stepped Osteotomy on Primary Stability of Implants Inserted in Low-Density Bone Sites: An In Vitro Study". *The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants* 32.1 (2017): 37-41.
- 24. Summers RB. "A new concept in maxillary implant surgery: the osteotome technique". *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry* 15.2 (1994): 154-162.
- 25. Toffler M. "Site development in the posterior maxilla using osteo compression and apical alveolar displacement". *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry* 22.9 (2001): 775-780.
- 26. Huwais S. "Fluted osteotome and surgical method for use". *US Patent Application* US2013/0004918 (2013).
- 27. Huwais S. "Enhancing implant stability with osseodensification-a case report with 2-year follow-up". *Implant practice* 8.1 (2015): 28-34.
- Hofbauer AM., *et al.* "Osseodensification Facilitates Ridge Expansion with Enhanced Implant Stability in The Maxilla: Part II Case Report With 2-Year Follow-Up". *Implant practice* 8.2 (2015): 14-21.
- 29. Lahens B., *et al.* "Biomechanical and histologic basis of osseodensification drilling for endosteal implant placement in low density bone. An experimental study in sheep". *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* 63 (2016): 56-65.
- 30. Huwais S. "Autografting osteotome". *Google Patents* W02014/077920A1 (2014)
- 31. Huwais S., *et al.* "A Novel Osseous Densification Approach in Implant Osteotomy Preparation to Increase Biomechanical Primary Stability, Bone Mineral Density, and Bone-to-Implant Contact". *The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants* (2016): 1-10.
- 32. Kold S., *et al.* "Compacted cancellous bone has a spring-back effect". *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica* 74 (2003): 591-595.
- 33. Duncan RL., *et al.* "Mechanotransduction and the functional response of bone to mechanical strain". *Calcified Tissue International* 57 (1995): 344-358.

- 34. Eriksson RA, *et al.* "Assessment of bone viability after heat trauma. A histological, histochemical and vital microscopic study in the rabbit". *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 18.3 (1984): 261-268.
- 35. Mishra SK., *et al.* "Heat Generated by Dental Implant Drills During Osteotomy—A Review". *The Journal of the Indian Prosthodontic Society* 14.2 (2014): 131-143.
- 36. Trisi P., *et al.* "Implant micromotion is related to peak insertion torque and bone density". *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 20 (2009): 467-471.
- 37. Capparé P., *et al.* "Correlation between initial BIC and the insertion torque/depth integral recorded with an instantaneoustorque-measuring implant motor: An *in vivo* study". *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research* 17 (2015): e613-20.
- 38. Szmukler-Moncler S., *et al.* "Considerations preliminary to the application of early and immediate loading protocols in dental implantology". *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 11 (2000): 12-25.
- Lopez CD., et al. "Osseodensification for enhancement of spinal surgical hardware fixation". Journal of Mechanical Behaviour of Biomedical Materials 69 (2017): 275-281.

Volume 2 Issue 12 December 2018 © All rights are reserved by Gayathri S.

22

Citation: Gayathri S. "Osseodensification Technique – A Novel Bone Preservation Method to Enhance Implant Stability". *Acta Scientific Dental Sciences* 2.12 (2018): 17-22.